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Black text is standard text to all specifications that must not be changed or removed.

[Bracketed black text] is text that must be retained but amended for the specification being drafted.

Blue text is explanatory material that needs to be removed by the originator after drafting a section.

[Bracketed highlighted text] is special instructions for IPPC Secretariat staff.

**DRAFT SPECIFICATION FOR ISPM: [Revision of ISPM-39] ([Topic no. 1])**

Specifications are the first stage in the process of preparing the text of a new standard. The content of the specification in its draft and approved format changes only very little. This template shows what a specification should include.

Specifications are commented on in the OCS and paragraph numbering is provided via the OCS.

Status box

“Status box” is changed to “Publication history” after approval of the specification and the content rewritten according to established style.

|  |
| --- |
| This is not an official part of the specification and it will be modified by the IPPC Secretariat after approval |
| **Date of this document** | [*2018-08-28*] |
| **Document category** | Draft specification for *Revision / Amendment of ISPM -39* |
| **Current document stage** | *To* *consideration of Focus Group on Commodity and Pathway Standards,* |
| **Major stages** | *All the major stages of the standard setting process.*  |
| **Steward history** |  ***New specification*** *for consideration of Focus Group on Commodity and Pathway Standards* |
| **Notes** | *-NIL-* |

Title

1. [*Revision / Amendment to ISPM-39 – International Movement of Wood*].

 [Reason for the revision of the standard]

 *The potential biosecurity risk associated with wooden logs with bark is very high and present Standards and / or treatments do not address the concern fully. The New Standard OR an amendment to ISPM-39 will address the phytosanitray risk associated with wooden log with bark (and without bark). The mitigation measures including new phytosanitray treatments are necessary to address the risk associated with the international movement of wooden logs with (and without) bark. This standard / amendment may fill gaps in existing Standards in addressing the risk associated with wood and wood products*

*Thus, as evident form ISPM-IS, debarked wood is a must for any type of phytosanitray treatment, however there is no Standard or guidelines to address the risk associated with big sized logs exported along with bark. The present treatment like heat or fumigation fails to address the immense risk*

Scope

1. *This Standard shall identify risks associated with wooden log especially with bark and shall provide for the mitigation measures including new phytosanitray treatments at end point.*

Purpose

1. *Large movement of wooden logs takes place internationally. This Standard shall identify the risk associated and address the same with appropriate end point phytosanitray treatments as presently available treatments like HT, DH, KD, or MB do not really address the core issue and may be only partially efficient thereby posing the great biosecurity threat to plant/animal/human biosecurity along with associated environment*

Tasks

1. This is a list of the expert drafting group’s specific needs, activities (e.g. consider, identify, describe, review, revise) and expectations.
2. The two penultimate tasks must be added to all specifications, and the last one only in case of revision to an ISPM.
3. The expert drafting group (EDG) should undertake the following tasks:
4. *[Identify the gaps in existing standards related to international movement of wood and wooden products. Identify the specific needs of wooden log movement especially with bark. The consideration may also be given to the fact / probability, while assessing the risk, on availability of appropriate phytosanitray certification infrastructure and expertise at the contracting parties from where the wooden logs are originated. In absence of robust certification system, the pest movement is very likely thereby threatening the biosecurity of the importing and nearby countries]*
5. *[Identify the gaps in the mitigation measures for addressing the risk associated with wooden logs with bark especially on the silhouette of requirement of bark removal even for the packaging material*
6. *[Assess the feasibility and efficacy of existing phytosanitray treatments in fully addressing the risk associated with international movement of wooden logs]*
7. *[Specify special measures to mitigate the risk identified as above]*
8. *[Specify phytosanitray capacity of the specific countries exporting the logs and identify areas of high risk potential]*
9. *[Identify and recommend new phytosanitray treatment* *to address the risk associated with international movement of wooden logs]*
10. Consider whether the ISPM could affect in a specific way (positively or negatively) the protection of biodiversity and the environment. If this is the case, the impact should be identified, addressed and clarified in the draft ISPM.

*The revision/amendment will positively affect the global biodiversity and the environment given the fact that the immense risk, if identified in international movement of wooden logs with bark, shall protect the target (and nearby) countries’ biosecurity*

1. Consider implementation of the standard by contracting parties and identify potential operational and technical implementation issues. Provide information and possible recommendations on these issues to the Standards Committee (SC).

*------*

[For revision of ISPM only] Review all references to the ISPM under revision in other ISPMs to ensure that they are still relevant and propose consequential changes if necessary.

*The review suggests that the topic proposed is still relevant*

Provision of resources

1. Funding for the meeting may be provided from sources other than the regular programme of the IPPC (FAO). As recommended by ICPM-2 (1999), whenever possible, those participating in standard setting activities voluntarily fund their travel and subsistence to attend meetings. Participants may request financial assistance, with the understanding that resources are limited and the priority for financial assistance is given to developing country participants. Please refer to the *Criteria used for prioritizing participants to receive travel assistance to attend meetings organized by the IPPC Secretariat* posted on the International Phytosanitary Portal (IPP) (see <https://www.ippc.int/en/core-activities/>).

Collaborator

1. *To be determined.*

Steward

1. Please refer to the *List of topics for IPPC standards* posted on the International Phytosanitary Portal (IPP) (see <https://www.ippc.int/core-activities/standards-setting/list-topics-ippc-standards>).

*As decided by Focus Group*

Expertise

1. *Not Known.*
2. *------------*
3. *------------*
4. *------------*
5. *------------*

Participants

1. *To be determined.*

References

1. The IPPC, relevant ISPMs and other national, regional and international standards and agreements as may be applicable to the tasks, and discussion papers submitted in relation to this work.

*--------------------*

1. *Further Information -----------*

Discussion papers

1. Participants and interested parties are encouraged to submit discussion papers to the IPPC Secretariat (ippc@fao.org) for consideration by the EDG.

*------------*