



# Draft International Standards for Phytosanitary Measures

Consultation 2010

***Draft Annex to ISPM 27: 2010  
(Diagnostic protocols for regulated  
pests)***

***Plum pox virus***





# Outline of presentation

- Background
- Outline of the draft annex
  - Pest information
  - Taxonomic Information
  - Detection and identification
  - Identification of strains
  - Records
- Issues considered during drafting





## Background

- This proposed annex to ISPM 27: 2010 (Diagnostic protocols for regulated pests) provides information on *Plum pox virus*, its detection and identification, and the identification of strains.
- Drafted by the Technical Panel on Diagnostic protocols (TPDP). The topic was added to the work programme by CPM-1 (2006)
- Approved for member consultation by the standards committee in 20XX. The April 2010 SC agreed to send this diagnostic protocol for member consultation through the special process in 2010.





## Outline of draft Annex

- *The draft protocol presents and overview of Pest Information and Taxonomic Information*
  - *Plum pox virus (PPV) affects fruit trees of the genus Prunus. Management costs estimated at 10,000 million euros since 1970*
  - *PPV is transmitted by aphids in the field, but infected propagative material is the main way PPV is spread over long distances*





# Outline of draft Annex – Detection and Identification

- *The draft protocol provides specific guidance for detecting and identifying PPV using biological, serological or molecular tests*
  - ***Biological detection of PPV***
    - *Grafting is widely used in certification schemes and is a reliable and sensitive method of detection. There is no published quantitative data on specificity, sensitivity, and detection.*
    - *It is not a rapid test, symptoms take many weeks to develop.*





# Outline of draft Annex – Serological Detection of PPV

- *Serological detection and identification of PPV*
  - *Highly recommended for screening large numbers of samples.*
  - *Two types of enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (DASI-ELISA and DAS-ELISA); availability of kits for PPV detection are described.*







# Outline of draft Annex – Molecular Detection of PPV

## – *Molecular detection*

- *Molecular methods may be more expensive and time consuming than serological methods but are generally more sensitive.*

## – *Methods, primers, procedures are presented for*

- *Reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR)*
- *Immunocapture RT-PCR*
- *Co-operational RT-PCR*
- *Real-time RT-PCR*





## Outline of draft Annex – Identification of strains

- *Serological and molecular detection methods can also be used to identify PPV strains, e.g. the principal strains (D and M)*
- *It is not necessary for NPPOs to determine which strains of PPV are present; but identification or characterization of PPV-type can yield important information in first detections in a country or in an extensive area.*







## Main discussion points during development of the diagnostic protocol

- *The minimum requirements for the identification of PPV and in particular strains of PPV*
- *Inclusion of requirements for identification of PPV in different circumstances, e.g. “routine diagnosis of a pest widely established in a country” as opposed to “detection of a pest in a consignment originating in a country where the pest is declared to be absent”*

