Protecting the world's plant resources from pests



International Plant Protection Convention

Compiled comments with TPDP's responses – 2016-007: Draft revision of Annex to ISPM 27 – DP02 - Plum pox virus

(1 July – 30 September 2017)

DRAFT REVISION OF ANNEX TO ISPM 27: DP02 - PLUM POX VIRUS (2016-007)

Summary comments

| Name | Summary |
|----------------|--|
| Cameroon | Exame achevé |
| Cuba | No hay sugerencias al Protocolo de Diagnóstico de Plum Pox Virus, estamos de acuerdo con el mismo. |
| έρρο Σ | Finalised by the EPPO Secretariat on behalf of its 51 Member Countries. |
| European Union | Finalised by the European Commission on behalf of the EU and its 28 Member States on 29/09/2017. |
| Samoa | no further comments |
| South Africa | No comments from the National Plant Protection Organisation of South Africa. |
| Turkey | Plum pox virus -TR |

| # | Para | Text | Comment | SC's response |
|---|------|-------------------|--|---|
| 1 | G | (General Comment) | Cameroon Les préoccupations que nous avons sont ccelles relatives à l'infrastructure et le niveau technique requis pour conduire de tels tests. Les formations et le développement de kits de diagnostic rapide pourraient aider à combler ces lacune pour les pays de notre région en gérénal. <i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> | Noted. NPPOs are encouraged to seek suitable training from labs/experts identified in Section 6. Contact Points. However, the comment is more an implementation issue and it is outside of the TPDP's remit. It will be forwarded to the relevant IPPC bodies. |
| 2 | G | (General Comment) | Costa Rica We do not have comments on this document, we agree Category : TECHNICAL | NOTED |
| 3 | G | (General Comment) | Myanmar This disease absent in Myanmar.Myanmar has no comment, | NOTED |

| # | Para | Text | Comment | SC's response |
|----|------|-------------------|---|---|
| | | | Category : SUBSTANTIVE | |
| 4 | G | (General Comment) | Peru We agree with the Draft revision of DP 2: Plum pox virus (2016-007) <i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> | NOTED |
| 5 | G | (General Comment) | United States of America Perhaps it would be more valuable if the protocol had input from researchers from a variety of regions to present more of a global expertise. <i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> | Noted. The TPDP discussed this draft DP revision prior to submitting it to the SC for approval for consultation. |
| 6 | G | (General Comment) | Canada Canada supports the draft diagnostic protocol on Plum pox virus (2016-007). <i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> | NOTED |
| 7 | G | (General Comment) | European Union Finally, it is suggested to improve the structure of the protocol by presenting more clearly which step of the diagnostic process is involved, e.g. sampling (most likely similar for all ELISA tests and all molecular tests, respectively); sample preparation; detection of PPV (all strains); identification of PPV (universal) and identification of individual strains (including overview table as suggested before). <i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> | Modified (The DP was modified with sections identified for Host range, Symptoms, Sampling etc. Preparation of any comprehensive table may require the re- constitution of a new drafting group) |
| 8 | G | (General Comment) | Panama Panama has no comments on this document. Category : EDITORIAL | NOTED |
| 9 | G | (General Comment) | Saint Vincent and The Grenadines No additional comments. This standard is highly technical and would be difficult to be implemented by St. Vincent and the Grenadines Category : SUBSTANTIVE | NOTED NPPOs are encouraged to seek suitable training from labs/experts identified in Section 6. Contact Points. However, the comment is more an implementation issue and it is outside of the TPDP's remit. It will be forwarded to the relevant IPPC bodies. |
| 10 | G | (General Comment) | Barbados Barbados has no comments to make on this document. Category : SUBSTANTIVE | NOTED |
| 11 | G | (General Comment) | Viet Nam Vietnam would like to request providing the | Modified |

| # | Para | Text | Comment | SC's response |
|----|------|-------------------|--|--|
| | | | protocol for detection and identification of Plum pox virus using RT-LAMP (Reverse transcription - Loop-mediated isothermal amplification) method and providing the method for preserving the suspectedly infested-PPV samples/ plant sap (after extraction). Because LAMP/ RT-LAMP is rapid, accurate and cost effective for detection and identification of virus that is highly potential to apply in Vietnam, especially in Plant Quarantine Stations or In international trade, it's necessary to preserve the samples/plant sap (after extraction) after performing a diagnosis for further technical argument/discussion. <i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> | A paragraph was added in Section 3. Detection and Identification that indicates that this DP describes well established methods and that other new methods such as LAMP and NGS exist, but as yet are not fully validated. A NPPO may use any suitably validated test. Preservation of plant material is out of the scope. However, some guidance for storage is provided in the Records Section of the protocol. |
| 12 | G | (General Comment) | TajikistanI support the document as it is and I have no commentsCategory : SUBSTANTIVE | NOTED |
| 13 | G | (General Comment) | New Zealand Have no comments on the draft. Category : SUBSTANTIVE | NOTED |
| 14 | G | (General Comment) | Bahamas There is a need for strict plant quarantine and procedures for testing of imported nursery stock to prevent the introduction of PPV to the region. The Bahamas therefore support this diagnostic protocol. <i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> | NOTED |
| 15 | G | (General Comment) | Thailand agree with the proposed draft DP for Plum pox vivus Category : SUBSTANTIVE | NOTED |
| 16 | G | (General Comment) | Lao People's Democratic Republic Lao PDR agreed with this draft revision. Category : SUBSTANTIVE | NOTED |
| 17 | G | (General Comment) | Honduras HONDURAS NO TIENE COMENTARIOS Category : TECHNICAL | NOTED |
| 18 | G | (General Comment) | Algeria No comment Category : TECHNICAL | NOTED |
| 19 | G | (General Comment) | Nicaragua Nicaragua considera que este protocolo es una herramienta de gran importancia para los países, que por el comportamiento de la | NOTED |

| # | Para | Text | Comment | SC's response |
|----|------|--|--|---|
| | | | enfermedad tienen afectaciones del virus. El estudio de las diferentes cepas del virus permitirá contar la información actualizada para emitir un diagnóstico acertado y de manera especifica sobre las diferentes cepas del virus. <i>Category : EDITORIAL</i> | |
| 20 | G | (General Comment) | Colombia No obstante, teniendo en cuenta las características variables de los síntomas que causa Plum Pox Virus –PPV-, se solicita mantener e incluir fotografías de los síntomas más relevantes, las cuales serían de gran utilidad para países que no registran esta plaga. <i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> | Modified Database links are now included for symptoms of the virus |
| 21 | 33 | Main discussion points during development of the diagnostic protocol | EPPO Category : TECHNICAL | NOTED |
| 22 | 52 | Sharka (plum pox)- <u>Plum pox virus</u> is one of the most serious diseases of stone fruit. The disease, caused by <i>Plum pox virus</i> (PPV), affects plants of the genus <i>Prunus</i> . It is particularly detrimental in <i>P. armeniaca</i> , <i>P. domestica</i> , <i>P. persica</i> and <i>P. salicina</i> because it reduces quality and causes premature fruit drop. It is estimated that the costs of managing sharka worldwide since the 1970s exceed 10 000 million euros (Cambra et al., 2006b). | Viet Nam Do not use an other name Category : TECHNICAL | Considered but not incorporated. (Sharka/Plum pox is the name of the disease. Plum pox virus is the causal agent of the disease. Under section 2 "taxonomic information" this is better explained.) |
| 23 | 52 | Sharka (plum pox) is one of the most serious <u>viral</u> diseases of stone fruit. The disease, caused by <i>Plum pox virus</i> (PPV), affects plants of the genus <i>Prunus</i> Prunus (family Rosacea). Sharka was first reported in P. domestica in Bulgaria in 1917–1918, and was described as a viral disease in 1932. Since then, the virus has spread progressively to a large part of Europe, around the Mediterranean basin and the Near East. It has been found with a restricted distribution in South and North America and Asia (EPPO, 2006; CABI, 2016). It is particularly detrimental in <i>P. armeniaca</i> , <i>P. domestica</i> , <i>P. persica</i> and <i>P. salicina</i> because it reduces quality and causes premature fruit drop. It is estimated that the costs of managing sharka worldwide since the 1970s exceed 10 000 million euros (Cambra <i>et al.</i> , 2006b). | Kenya Category : SUBSTANTIVE | Modified (Changes made as suggested, but some grammar and spelling corrected also) |
| 24 | 52 | Sharka (plum pox) is one of the most serious diseases of stone fruit. The disease, caused by <i>Plum pox virus</i> (PPV), affects plants of the genus | PPPO replace premature with early <i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> | Incorporated |

| # | Para | Text | Comment | SC's response |
|----|------|--|--|---|
| | | <i>Prunus</i>. It is particularly detrimental in <i>P. armeniaca</i>, <i>P. domestica</i>, <i>P. persica</i> and <i>P. salicina</i> because it reduces quality and causes premature <u>early</u> fruit drop. It is estimated that the costs of managing sharka worldwide since the 1970s exceed 10 000 million euros (Cambra <i>et al.</i>, 2006b). | | |
| 25 | 53 | Sharka <u>Plum pox virus</u> was first reported in <i>P. domestica</i> in Bulgaria in 1917–1918, and was described as a viral disease in 1932. Since then, the virus has spread progressively to a large part of Europe, around the Mediterranean basin and the Near East. It has been found with a restricted distribution in South and North America and Asia (EPPO, 2006; CABI, 2016). | Viet Nam Should be use name of pest as title <i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> | Modified (Section 1 was modified. NB: Sharka/plum pox disease was first observed in 1917-1918. The viral nature was described in 1932.) |
| 26 | 53 | The diseaseSharka was first reported is particularly detrimental in P. armeniaca, P. domestica, P. persica and P. salicina because it reduces quality and causes premature fruit drop. It is estimated that the costs of managing sharka worldwide since the 1970s exceed 10 000 million euros (Cambra et al., 2006b). P. domestica in Bulgaria in 1917–1918, and was described as a viral disease in 1932. Since then, the virus has spread progressively to a large part of Europe, around the Mediterranean basin and the Near East. It has been found with a restricted distribution in South and North America and Asia (EPPO, 2006; CABI, 2016). | Kenya Category : SUBSTANTIVE | Modified (Section 1 was modified. NB: Sharka/plum pox disease was first observed in 1917-1918. The viral nature was described in 1932.) |
| 27 | 54 | Plum pox virus is a member of the genus Potyvirus in the family Potyviridae. The virus particles are flexuous rods of approximately 700 nm × 11 nm, and are composed of a single-stranded RNA molecule consisting of almost 10 000 nucleotides coated by up to 2 000 subunits of a single coat protein (García and Cambra, 2007). PPV is transmitted in the field by aphids in a non-persistent manner, but movement of infected propagative plant material is the main way in which PPV is spread over long distances. <u>Transmission via seed and pollen have not been reported</u> (Pasquini and Barba, 2006; Ilardi and Tavazza, 2015). Under experimental conditions, PPV can be transmitted mechanically to numerous Prunus spp. and several herbaceous plants e.g Arabidopsis thaliana, Chenopodium foetidum, Nicotiana benthamiana, N. clevelandii, N. glutinosa and Pisum sativum. | Kenya Category : TECHNICAL | Modified (Changes made as recommended and general improvements made, such as an update of reference. Garcia and Cambra 2007 was changed to Garcia et al., 2014.) |

| # | Para | Text | Comment | SC's response |
|----|------|---|---|--|
| 28 | 54 | Plum pox virus is a member of the genus Potyvirus in the family Potyviridae. The virus particles are flexuous rods of approximately 700 nm × 11 nm, and are composed of a single-stranded positive sense RNA molecule consisting of almost 10 000 nucleotides coated by up to 2 000 subunits of a single coat protein (García and Cambra, 2007). PPV :Ilardi and Tavazza, 2015). Thegenomic RNA carries a virus-encoded protein(VPg) at its 5' end and poly(A)tail at its 3' end. It possess a long open reading frame which is transmitted in the field by aphids in-translated into a non-persistent mannerlarge polyprotein precursor from the second AUG codon (García et al., but movement of infected propagative plant material-2014). The polyprotein is the main way in which later used to produce mature proteins. As an obligate intracellular pathogen with a limited genome capacity, PPV is spread over long distancesunable to accomplish its infection cycle. Therefore it relies on the multifunction properties of its proteins and host factors for its infectivity process(García et al., 2014) Transmission: PPV is transmitted in the field by aphids in a non-persistent manner, but movement of infected propagative plant material is the main way in which PPV is spread over long distances. | Kenya Category : SUBSTANTIVE | Modified (Section 1 was modified. Some changes were not made as recommended. Additional information on the genome organization and characteristics of the virus were not added as they were not seen as necessary or relevant for diagnostic purposes) |
| 29 | 55 | <i>Plum pox virus</i> isolates can be classified currently into nine strains: D (Dideron), M (Marcus), C (Cherry), EA (El Amar), W (Winona), Rec (Recombinant), T (Turkish), CR (Cherry Russian) and An (Ancestor Marcus) (James <i>et al.</i> , 2013;). Most PPV isolates belong to the D and M strains. PPV D and M strains can easily infect <i>P. armeniaca</i> and <i>P. domestica</i> but differ in their ability to infect <i>P. persica</i> cultivars. The strains vary in their pathogenicity; for example M isolates generally cause faster epidemics and more severe symptoms than D isolates in <i>P. armeniaca</i> , <i>P. domestica</i> , <i>P. persica</i> and <i>P. salicina</i> . EA isolates are geographically restricted to Egypt and little information is available about their epidemiology and biological properties. PPV isolates infecting <i>P. avium</i> and <i>P. cerasus</i> have been identified in several European | Viet Nam para 63 move to before para 56 <i>Category : EDITORIAL</i> | Considered but not incorporated. (The existing format is consistent with other IPPC virus-related DPs such as that for Citrus tristeza virus. Also a section on host range has now been identified.) |

| # Para | Text | Comment | SC's response |
|--------|--|--|---------------|
| | countries. These isolates form two distinct strains that have been defined as PPV-C and PPV-CR. An atypical PPV was detected in <i>P. domestica</i> in Canada (PPV-W) representing a distinct PPV strain. PPV W has since been detected in several countries in Europe (James <i>et al.</i>, 2013). In addition, natural recombinants between the D and M strains of PPV have been described as PPV-Rec, these showing an epidemiological behaviour similar to the D strain. A second type of recombinant strain has been reported in Turkey (T strain, Ulubaş Sercçe <i>et al.</i>, 2009). A single isolate of PPV An has been described and it has been proposed as a potential ancestor of PPV M (Palmisano <i>et al.</i>, 2012). A novel sour cherry-adapted Tat strain, neither C nor CR, has also been proposed (Chirkov <i>et al.</i>, 2016). <u>Under natural conditions, PPV readily infects fruit trees of the genus Prunus used as commercial varieties or rootstocks: P. armeniaca, P. cerasifera, P. davidiana, P. domestica, P. mahaleb, P. marianna, P. mume, P. persica, P. salicina, and interspecific hybrids between these species. Prunus also infects many wild and ornamental Prunus species such as P. besseyi, P. cistena, P. glandulosa, P. insititia, P. laurocerasus, P. spinosa, P. tomentosa and P. triloba. Under experimental conditions, PPV can be transmitted mechanically to numerous Prunus spp. and several herbaceous plants (Arabidopsis thaliana, Chenopodium foetidum, Nicotiana benthamiana, N. clevelandii, N. glutinosa and Pisum sativum).</u> | | |
| 30 55 | Plum pox virus isolates can be classified currently into nine monophyletic strains: D (Dideron), M (Marcus), C (Cherry), EA (El Amar), W (Winona), Rec (Recombinant), T (Turkish), CR (Cherry Russian) and An (Ancestor Marcus) (James <i>et al.</i>, 2013;). Most PPV isolates belong to the D and M strains. PPV D and M strains can easily infect <i>P. armeniaca</i> and <i>P. domestica</i> but differ in their ability to infect <i>P. persica</i> cultivars. The strains vary in their pathogenicity; for example M isolates generally cause faster epidemics and more severe symptoms than D isolates in <i>P. armeniaca</i>, <i>P. domestica</i>, <i>P. persica</i> and <i>P. salicina</i>. EA isolates are | European Union Category : TECHNICAL | Incorporated. |

| # | Para | Text | Comment | SC's response |
|----|------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| | | geographically restricted to Egypt and little information is available about their epidemiology and biological properties. PPV isolates infecting <i>P. avium</i> and <i>P. cerasus</i> have been identified in several European countries. These isolates form two distinct strains that have been defined as PPV-C and PPV-CR. An atypical PPV was detected in <i>P. domestica</i> in Canada (PPV-W) representing a distinct PPV strain. PPV W has since been detected in several countries in Europe (James <i>et al.</i> , 2013). In addition, natural recombinants between the D and M strains of PPV have been described as PPV-Rec, these showing an epidemiological behaviour similar to the D strain. A second type of recombinant strain has been reported in Turkey (T strain, Ulubaş Sercçe <i>et al.</i> , 2009). A single isolate of PPV An has been described and it has been proposed as a potential ancestor of PPV M (Palmisano <i>et al.</i> , 2012). A novel sour cherry-adapted Tat strainputative strain (Tat), neither C nor CR, has also been proposed (Chirkov <i>et al.</i> , 2016). | | |
| 31 | 55 | <i>Plum pox virus</i> isolates can be classified currently into nine strains: D (Dideron), M (Marcus), C (Cherry), EA (El Amar), W (Winona), Rec (Recombinant), T (Turkish), CR (Cherry Russian) and An (Ancestor Marcus) (James <i>et al.</i> , 2013;). Most PPV isolates belong to the D and M strains. PPV D and M strains can easily infect <i>P. armeniaca</i> and <i>P. domestica</i> but differ in their ability to infect <i>P. persica</i> cultivars. The strains vary in their pathogenicity; for example M isolates generally cause faster epidemics and more severe symptoms than D isolates in <i>P. armeniaca</i> , <i>P. domestica</i> , <i>P. persica</i> and <i>P. salicina</i> . EA isolates are geographically restricted to Egypt and little information is available about their epidemiology and biological properties. PPV isolates infecting <i>P. avium</i> and <i>P. cerasus</i> have been identified in several European countries. These isolates form two distinct strains that have been defined as PPV-C and PPV-CR. An atypical PPV was detected in <i>P. domestica</i> in Canada (PPV-W) representing a distinct PPV strain. PPV W has since been detected in several countries in Europe (James <i>et al.</i> , 2013). In addition, natural recombinants between the D and M strains of PPV have been described as PPV-Rec, these showing shows an epidemiological behaviour similar to the D strain. A second type of recombinant strain has been reported in Turkey (T strain, Ulubaş Sercçe <i>et al.</i> , 2009). A single isolate of PPV An has been described and it has been proposed as a | Kenya Category : EDITORIAL | Incorporated (Except that 'show' is inserted instead of 'shows'.) |

Compiled comments with TPDP's responses - 2016-007: Draft revision of Annex to ISPM 27 - DP02 - Plum pox virus

| # | Para | Text | Comment | SC's response |
|----|------|--|-------------------------|---|
| | | potential ancestor of PPV M (Palmisano et al., 2012). A novel sour cherry- | | |
| | | adapted Tat strain, neither C nor CR, has also been proposed (Chirkov | | |
| | | <i>et al.</i> , 2016). | | |
| 32 | 55 | Plum pox virusPPV Isolates/Strains | Kenya | Modified |
| | | | Category : SUBSTANTIVE | (Some changes made as recommended. Some changes |
| | | | Category . SUBSTAINTIVE | recommended were not made as it |
| | | <u>Plum pox virus</u> isolates can be classified currently into nine strains: D | | would alter meaning e.g. "In |
| | | (Dideron), M (Marcus), C (Cherry), EA (El Amar), W (Winona), Rec | | European countries PPV-C and PPV |
| | | (Recombinant), T (Turkish), CR (Cherry Russian) and An (Ancestor | | CRetc) |
| | | Marcus) (James et al., 2013;). The strains have specific symptomatology, | | |
| | | host range, epidemiology, pathogenicity, genome sequences and aphid | | |
| | | transmissibility. Most PPV isolates belong to the D and M strains. PPV D | | |
| | | and M strains can easily infect P. armeniaca and P. domestica but differ in | | |
| | | their ability to infect <i>P. persica</i> cultivars. The two strains vary in their | | |
| | | pathogenicity; for example M isolates generally cause faster epidemics | | |
| | | and more severe symptoms than D isolates in P. armeniaca, P. domestica, | | |
| | | P. persica and P. salicina. EA isolates are geographically restricted to | | |
| | | Egypt and little information is available about their epidemiology and | | |
| | | biological properties. PPV-In European countries, PPV-C and PPV-CR | | |
| | | isolates have been identified as the PPV strains infecting P. avium and | | |
| | | P. cerasus have been identified Whereas in several European countries. | | |
| | | These isolates form two Canada, a distinct strains that have been defined | | |
| | | as PPV-C and PPV-CR. An atypical PPV strain PPV-A was detected in | | |
| | | P. domestica in Canada (PPV-W) Thus representing a distinct PPV strain- | | |
| | | PPV W. This strain has since then been detected in several countries in | | |
| | | Europe (James et al., 2013). In addition, natural recombinants between the | | |
| | | D and M strains of PPV have been described as PPV-Rec, these showing | | |
| | | an epidemiological behaviour similar to the D strain. A second type of | | |
| | | recombinant strain has been reported in Turkey (T strain, Ulubaş Sercçe | | |
| | | et al., 2009). A single isolate of PPV An has been described and it has | | |
| | | been proposed as a potential ancestor of PPV M (Palmisano et al., 2012). | | |
| | | A novel sour cherry-adapted Tat strain, neither C nor CR, has also been | | |
| | | proposed (Chirkov et al., 2016). | | |
| 33 | 55 | <i>Plum pox virus</i> isolates can be classified currently into nine monophyletic | EPPO | Incorporated |
| | | strains: D (Dideron), M (Marcus), C (Cherry), EA (El Amar), W | | |
| | | (Winona), Rec (Recombinant), T (Turkish), CR (Cherry Russian) and An | Category : TECHNICAL | |

| # | Para | Text | Comment | SC's response |
|----|------|---|--------------------------------|---------------|
| | | (Ancestor Marcus) (James <i>et al.</i> , 2013;). Most PPV isolates belong to the D and M strains. PPV D and M strains can easily infect <i>P. armeniaca</i> and <i>P. domestica</i> but differ in their ability to infect <i>P. persica</i> cultivars. The strains vary in their pathogenicity; for example M isolates generally cause faster epidemics and more severe symptoms than D isolates in <i>P. armeniaca</i> , <i>P. domestica</i> , <i>P. persica</i> and <i>P. salicina</i> . EA isolates are geographically restricted to Egypt and little information is available about their epidemiology and biological properties. PPV isolates infecting <i>P. avium</i> and <i>P. cerasus</i> have been identified in several European countries. These isolates form two distinct strains that have been defined as PPV-C and PPV-CR. An atypical PPV was detected in <i>P. domestica</i> in Canada (PPV-W) representing a distinct PPV strain. PPV W has since been detected in several countries in Europe (James <i>et al.</i> , 2013). In addition, natural recombinants between the D and M strains of PPV have been described as PPV-Rec, these showing an epidemiological behaviour similar to the D strain. A second type of recombinant strain has been reported in Turkey (T strain, Ulubaş Sercçe <i>et al.</i> , 2009). A single isolate of PPV An has been described and it has been proposed as a potential ancestor of PPV M (Palmisano <i>et al.</i> , 2012). A novel sour cherry-adapted Tat strainputative strain (Tat), neither C nor CR, has also been proposed (Chirkov <i>et al.</i> , 2016). | | |
| 34 | 55 | Plum pox virus isolates can be classified currently into nine strains: D (Dideron), M (Marcus), C (Cherry), EA (El Amar), W (Winona), Rec (Recombinant), T (Turkish), CR (Cherry Russian) and An (Ancestor Marcus) (James <i>et al.</i>, 2013;). Most PPV isolates belong to the D and M strains. PPV D and M strains can easily infect <i>P. armeniaca</i> and <i>P. domestica</i> but differ in their ability to infect <i>P. persica</i> cultivars. The strains vary in their pathogenicity; for example M isolates generally cause faster epidemics and more severe symptoms than D isolates in <i>P. armeniaca</i>, <i>P. domestica</i>, <i>P. persica</i> and <i>P. salicina</i>. EA isolates are geographically restricted to Egypt and little information is available about their epidemiology and biological properties. PPV isolates infecting <i>P. avium</i> and <i>P. cerasus</i> have been identified in several European countries. These isolates form two distinct strains that have been defined as PPV-C and PPV-CR. An atypical PPV was detected in <i>P. domestica</i> in Canada (PPV-W) representing a distinct PPV strain. PPV W has since | Turkey Category : TECHNICAL | Incorporated |

| # | Para | Text | Comment | SC's response |
|----|------|--|---|---------------|
| | | been detected in several countries in Europe (James <i>et al.</i> , 2013). In addition, natural recombinants between the D and M strains of PPV have been described as PPV-Rec, these showing an epidemiological behaviour similar to the D strain. A second type of recombinant strain has been reported in Turkey (T strain, Ulubaş Sercçe <i>et al.</i> , 2009). A single isolate of PPV An has been described and it has been proposed as a potential ancestor of PPV M (Palmisano <i>et al.</i> , 2012). A novel sour cherry-adapted Tat strainputative strain (Tat), neither C nor CR, has also been proposed (Chirkov <i>et al.</i> , 2016). | | |
| 35 | 55 | <i>Plum pox virus</i> isolates can be classified currently into nine <u>monophyletic</u> strains: D (Dideron), M (Marcus), C (Cherry), EA (El Amar), W (Winona), Rec (Recombinant), T (Turkish), CR (Cherry Russian) and An (Ancestor Marcus) (James <i>et al.</i> , 2013;). Most PPV isolates belong to the D and M strains. PPV D and M strains can easily infect <i>P. armeniaca</i> and <i>P. domestica</i> but differ in their ability to infect <i>P. persica</i> cultivars. The strains vary in their pathogenicity; for example M isolates generally cause faster epidemics and more severe symptoms than D isolates in <i>P. armeniaca, P. domestica, P. persica</i> and <i>P. salicina</i> . EA isolates are geographically restricted to Egypt and little information is available about their epidemiology and biological properties. PPV isolates infecting <i>P. avium</i> and <i>P. cerasus</i> have been identified in several European countries. These isolates form two distinct strains that have been defined as PPV-C and PPV-CR. An atypical PPV was detected in <i>P. domestica</i> in Canada (PPV-W) representing a distinct PPV strain. PPV W has since been detected in several countries in Europe (James <i>et al.</i> , 2013). In addition, natural recombinants between the D and M strains of PPV have been described as PPV-Rec, these showing an epidemiological behaviour similar to the D strain. A second type of recombinant strain has been reported in Turkey (T strain, Ulubaş Sercçe <i>et al.</i> , 2009). A single isolate of PPV An has been described and it has been proposed as a potential ancestor of PPV M (Palmisano <i>et al.</i> , 2012). A novel sour cherry-adapted Tat strain, neither C nor CR, has also been proposed (Chirkov <i>et al.</i> , 2016). | Turkey Category : TECHNICAL | Incorporated |
| 36 | 55 | <i>Plum pox virus</i> isolates can be classified currently into nine strains: D (Dideron), M (Marcus), C (Cherry), EA (El Amar), W (Winona), Rec (Recombinant), T (Turkish), CR (Cherry Russian) and An (Ancestor | Philippines not appropriate <i>Category : EDITORIAL</i> | Incorporated |

| # | Para | Text | Comment | SC's response |
|----|------|--|--|--|
| | | Marcus) (James <i>et al.</i> , 2013;2013)). Most PPV isolates belong to the D and M strains. PPV D and M strains can easily infect <i>P. armeniaca</i> and <i>P. domestica</i> but differ in their ability to infect <i>P. persica</i> cultivars. The strains vary in their pathogenicity; for example. The M isolates generally cause faster epidemics and more severe symptoms than D isolates in <i>P. armeniaca</i> , <i>P. domestica</i> , <i>P. persica</i> and <i>P. salicina</i> . EA isolates are geographically restricted to Egypt and little information is available about their epidemiology and biological properties. PPV isolates infecting <i>P. avium</i> and <i>P. cerasus</i> have been identified in several European countries. These isolates form two distinct strains that have been defined as PPV-C and PPV-CR. An atypical PPV was detected in <i>P. domestica</i> in Canada (PPV-W) representing a distinct PPV strain. PPV W has since been detected in several countries in Europe (James <i>et al.</i> , 2013). In addition, natural recombinants between the D and M strains of PPV have been described as PPV-Rec, these showing an epidemiological behaviour similar to the D strain. A second type of recombinant strain has been reported in Turkey (T strain, Ulubaş-as T strain (Ulubaş Sercçe <i>et al.</i> , 2009). A single isolate of PPV An has been described and it has been proposed as a potential ancestor of PPV M (Palmisano <i>et al.</i> , 2012). A novel sour cherry-adapted Tat strain, neither C nor CR, has also been proposed (Chirkov <i>et al.</i> , 2016). | | |
| 37 | 59 | Synonym: Sharka virus | Viet Nam Which references to make this synonym? Category : EDITORIAL | Considered but not incorporated (There is no reference available, but Sharka virus is a recognized synonym by the scientific community) |
| 38 | 62 | 3. Detection and Identification <u>Host range</u> | Kenya Category : TECHNICAL | Incorporated (A section on Host Range was created.) |
| 39 | 63 | Under natural conditions, PPV readily infects fruit trees of the genus <i>Prunus</i> used as commercial varieties or rootstocks: <i>P. armeniaca</i> , <i>P. cerasifera</i> , <i>P. davidiana</i> , <i>P. domestica</i> , <i>P. mahaleb</i> , <i>P. marianna</i> , <i>P. mume</i> , <i>P. persica</i> , <i>P. salicina</i> , and interspecific hybrids between these species. <i>Prunus avium</i> , <i>P. cerasus</i> and <i>P. dulcis</i> may be infected | Viet Nam para 63 move to before para 56 <i>Category : EDITORIAL</i> | Modified (It is included now in a section Host Range) |

| # | Para | Text | Comment | SC's response |
|----|------|---|----------------------|---|
| | | occasionally. The virus also infects many wild and ornamental Prunus | | |
| | | species such as P. besseyi, P. cistena, P. glandulosa, P. insititia, | | |
| | | P. laurocerasus, P. spinosa, P. tomentosa and P. triloba. Under | | |
| | | experimental conditions, PPV can be transmitted mechanically to | | |
| | | numerous Prunus spp. and several herbaceous plants (Arabidopsis | | |
| | | thaliana, Chenopodium foetidum, Nicotiana benthamiana, N. clevelandii, | | |
| | | N. glutinosa and Pisum sativum). | | |
| 40 | 63 | Under natural conditions, PPV readily infects fruit trees of the genus | Kenya | Modified |
| | | Prunus used as commercial varieties or rootstocks: P. armeniaca, | Category : TECHNICAL | (A section 3.1 Host Range was created. Information on |
| | | P. cerasifera, P. davidiana, P. domestica, P. mahaleb, P. marianna, | Category . TECHNICAL | transmission has been moved to |
| | | P. mume, P. persica, P. salicina, and interspecific hybrids between these | | Section 1) |
| | | species. Prunus avium, P. cerasus and P. dulcis may be infected | | |
| | | occasionally. The virus also infects many wild and ornamental Prunus | | |
| | | species such as P. besseyi, P. cistena, P. glandulosa, P. insititia, | | |
| | | P. laurocerasus, P. spinosa, P. tomentosa and P. triloba. Under | | |
| | | experimental conditions, PPV can be transmitted mechanically to | | |
| | | numerous Prunus spp. and several herbaceous plants (Arabidopsis | | |
| | | thaliana, Chenopodium foetidum, Nicotiana benthamiana, N. clevelandii, | | |
| | | N. glutinosa and Pisum sativum). | | |
| 41 | 63 | Under natural conditions, PPV readily infects fruit trees of the genus | Kenya | Modified |
| | | Prunus-(family Rosacea) used as commercial varieties or rootstocks: | Category : TECHNICAL | (A section 3.1 Host Range was created. Information on |
| | | Major hosts include, P. P. armeniaca, P. cerasifera, P. davidiana, | | transmission has been moved to |
| | | P. domestica, P. mahaleb, P. marianna, P. mume, P. persica, P. salicina, | | section 1. Also |
| | | and interspecific hybrids between these species. Occasonally, Prunus | | improvements/updates made to information.) |
| | | avium, P. cerasus and P. dulcis may be infected occasionally. The virus | | |
| | | also infects many wild and ornamental Prunus species such as P. besseyi, | | |
| | | P. cistena, P. glandulosa, P. insititia, P. laurocerasus, P. spinosa, | | |
| | | P. tomentosa and P. triloba. Under experimental conditions, PPV can be | | |
| | | transmitted mechanically to numerous Prunus spp. and several herbaceous | | |
| | | plants (Arabidopsis thaliana, Chenopodium foetidum, Nicotiana | | |
| | | benthamiana, N. clevelandii, N. glutinosa and Pisum sativum). | | |
| 42 | 64 | Sharka Plum pox virus symptoms may appear on leaves, shoots, bark, | Viet Nam | Incorporated |
| | | petals, fruits and stones in the field. They are usually distinct on leaves | Category : EDITORIAL | |
| | | early in the growing season and include mild light-green discoloration; | | |
| | | chlorotic spots, bands or rings; vein clearing or yellowing; or leaf | | |
| | | deformation. Some of these leaf symptoms are similar to those caused by | | |

| # | Para | Text | Comment | SC's response |
|----|------|---|----------------------|---|
| 43 | 64 | other viruses, such as <i>American plum line pattern virus</i> . <i>Prunus cerasifera</i> cv. GF 31 shows rusty-brown corking and cracking of the bark. Flower symptoms can occur on petals (discoloration) of some <i>P. persica</i> cultivars when infected with PPV-M or in <i>P. glandulosa</i> infected with PPV-D. Infected fruits show chlorotic spots or lightly pigmented yellow rings or line patterns. Fruits may become deformed or irregular in shape and develop brown or necrotic areas under the discoloured rings. Some fruit deformations, especially in <i>P. armeniaca</i> and <i>P. domestica</i> , are similar to those caused by <i>Apple chlorotic leaf spot virus</i> . Diseased fruits may show internal browning and gummosis of the flesh and reduced quality. In severe cases the diseased fruits drop prematurely from the tree. In general, the fruits of early maturing cultivars. Stones from diseased fruits of <i>P. armeniaca</i> show typical pale rings or spots. The alcohol or spirits produced from diseased fruits are unmarketable owing to an undesirable flavour. Symptom development and intensity depend strongly on the host plant and climatic conditions; for example the virus may be latent for several years in cold climates. | Kenya | Modified |
| 43 | 64 | Sharka symptoms may appear on leaves, shoots, bark, petals, fruits and stones in the field. They are usually distinct on leaves early in the growing season and include mild light-green discoloration; chlorotic spots, bands or rings; vein clearing or yellowing; or leaf deformation. Some of these leaf symptoms are similar to those caused by other viruses, such as <i>American plum line pattern virus. Prunus cerasifera</i> cv. GF 31 shows rusty-brown corking and cracking of the bark. Flower symptoms can occur on petals (discoloration) of some <i>P. persica</i> cultivars when infected with PPV-M or in <i>P. glandulosa</i> infected with PPV-D. Infected fruits show chlorotic spots or lightly pigmented yellow rings or line patterns. Fruits may become deformed or irregular in shape and develop brown or necrotic areas under the discoloured rings. Some | Category : EDITORIAL | (Symptoms consolidated under a new section 3.2 Symptoms) |

| # | Para | Text | Comment | SC's response |
|----|------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| | | fruit deformations, especially in <i>P. armeniaca</i> and <i>P. domestica</i> , are similar to those caused by <i>Apple chlorotic leaf spot virus</i> . Diseased fruits may show internal browning and gummosis of the flesh and reduced quality. In severe cases the diseased fruits drop prematurely from the tree. In general, the fruits of early maturing cultivars show more marked symptoms than those of late maturing cultivars. Stones from diseased fruits of | | |
| | | Diseased fruits may show internal browning and gummosis of the flesh and reduced quality. In severe cases the diseased fruits drop prematurely from the tree. In general, the fruits of early maturing cultivars show more marked symptoms than those of late maturing cultivars. Stones from diseased fruits of <i>P. armeniaca</i> show typical pale rings or spots. The alcohol or spirits produced from diseased fruits are unmarketable owing to an undesirable flavour. Symptom development and intensity depend strongly on the host plant and climatic conditions; for example the virus may be latent for several years in cold climates. The alcohol or spirits produced from diseased fruits are unmarketable owing to an undesirable flavour. | | |
| 44 | 64 | Sharka symptoms may appear on leaves, shoots, bark, petals, fruits and stones in the field. They are usually distinct on leaves early in the growing season and include mild light-green discoloration; chlorotic spots, bands or rings; vein clearing or yellowing; or leaf deformation. Some of these leaf symptoms are similar to those caused by other viruses, such as <u>disease</u> <u>symptoms</u> | Kenya Category : EDITORIAL | Modified (Symptoms consolidated under a new section 3.2 Symptoms.) |

| # | Para | Text | Comment | SC's response |
|----|------|---|---|--|
| # | | Sharka symptoms may appear on leaves, shoots, bark, petals, fruits and stones in the field. They are usually distinct on leaves early in the growing season and include mild light-green discoloration; chlorotic spots, bands or rings; vein clearing or yellowing; or leaf deformation. Some of these leaf symptoms are similar to those caused by other viruses, such as <i>American plum line pattern virus</i> . <i>Prunus cerasifera</i> cv. GF 31 shows rusty-brown corking and cracking of the bark. Flower symptoms can occur on petals (discoloration) of some <i>P. persica</i> cultivars when infected with PPV-M or in <i>P. glandulosa</i> infected with PPV-D. Infected fruits show chlorotic spots or lightly pigmented yellow rings or line patterns. Fruits may become deformed or irregular in shape and develop brown or necrotic areas under the discoloured rings. Some fruit deformations, especially in <i>P. armeniaca</i> and <i>P. domestica</i> , are similar to those caused by <i>Apple</i> <i>chlorotic leaf spot virus</i> . Diseased fruits may show internal browning and gummosis of the flesh and reduced quality. In severe cases the diseased fruits drop prematurely from the tree. In general, the fruits of early maturing cultivars show more marked symptoms than those of late maturing cultivars. Stones from diseased fruits of <i>P. armeniaca</i> show typical pale rings or spots. The alcohol or spirits produced from diseased fruits are unmarketable owing to an undesirable flavour. Symptom development and intensity depend strongly on the host plant and climatic conditions; for example the virus may be latent for several years in cold climates. | | SC s response |
| 45 | 64 | Sharka symptoms may appear on leaves, shoots, bark, petals, fruits and stones in the field. They are usually distinct on leaves early in the growing season and include mild light-green discoloration; chlorotic spots, bands or rings; vein clearing or yellowing; or leaf deformation. Some of these leaf symptoms are similar to those caused by other viruses, such as <i>American plum line pattern virus</i> . <i>Prunus cerasifera</i> cv. GF 31 shows rusty-brown corking and cracking of the bark. Flower symptoms can occur on petals (discoloration) of some <i>P. persica</i> cultivars when infected with PPV-M or in <i>P. glandulosa</i> infected with PPV-D. Infected fruits show chlorotic spots or lightly pigmented yellow rings or line patterns. Fruits may become deformed or irregular in shape and develop brown or necrotic areas under the discoloured rings. Some fruit deformations, especially in <i>P. armeniaca</i> and <i>P. domestica</i> , are similar to those caused by <i>Apple</i> | PPPO Need more explanation on flower symptoms occurring on petals. Not very clear. <i>Category : EDITORIAL</i> | Modified (More details of flower symptoms are provided. Also a reference is given. Symptoms consolidated under a new section 3.2 Symptoms) |

| # | Para | Text | Comment | SC's response |
|----|------|---|---|--|
| | | <i>chlorotic leaf spot virus</i> . Diseased fruits may show internal browning and gummosis of the flesh and reduced quality. In severe cases the diseased fruits drop prematurely from the tree. In general, the fruits of early maturing cultivars show more marked symptoms than those of late maturing cultivars. Stones from diseased fruits of <i>P. armeniaca</i> show typical pale rings or spots. The alcohol or spirits produced from diseased fruits are unmarketable owing to an undesirable flavour. Symptom development and intensity depend strongly on the host plant and climatic conditions; for example the virus may be latent for several years in cold climates. | | |
| 46 | 64 | Sharka symptoms may appear on leaves, shoots, bark, petals, fruits and | Philippines | Modified |
| | | stones in the field. They are usually distinct on leaves early in the growing season and include mild light-green discoloration; chlorotic spots, bands or rings; vein clearing or yellowing; or leaf deformation. Some of these leaf these symptoms are similar to those caused by other viruses, such as <i>American plum line pattern virus</i> - <i>Prunus cerasifera</i> cv. GF 31 shows rusty-brown corking and cracking of the bark. Flower symptoms discoloration can occur on petals (discoloration) of some <i>P. persica</i> cultivars when infected with PPV-M or in <i>P. glandulosa</i> infected with PPV-D. Infected fruits show chlorotic spots or lightly pigmented yellow rings or line patterns. Fruits may become deformed or irregular in shape and develop brown or necrotic areas under the discoloured rings. Some fruit deformations, especially in <i>P. armeniaca</i> and <i>P. domestica</i> , are similar to those caused by <i>Apple chlorotic leaf spot virus</i> . Diseased fruits may show internal browning and gummosis of the flesh and reduced quality. In severe cases the diseased fruits drop prematurely from the tree. In general, the fruits of early maturing cultivars. Stones from diseased fruits of <i>P. armeniaca</i> show typical pale rings or spots. The alcohol or spirits produced from diseased fruits are unmarketable owing to an undesirable flavour. Symptom development and intensity severity depend strongly on the host plant and climatic conditions; for example the The | Category : SUBSTANTIVE | (More details of flower symptoms provided. Also a reference is given. Symptoms consolidated under a new section 3.2 Symptoms) |
| 47 | 65 | virus may be latent for several years in cold climates. General guidance on sampling methodologies is provided in ISPM 31 | European Union | Modified. |
| т/ | 05 | (<i>Methodologies for sampling of consignments</i>). Appropriate sample selection is critical for PPV detection. Sampling should take into account | Remark: In summer shoots without leaves are shipped as grafting material, therefore only phloem tissue or buds can be used for testing. | A statement regarding dormant cuttings was added. This was included now in the Section 3.4 |

| # | Para | Text | Comment | SC's response |
|----|------|--|---|--|
| | | virus biology and local climatic conditions, in particular the weather conditions during the growing season. If typical symptoms are present, samples should be collected of flowers, leaves or fruits showing symptoms. In symptomless plants, samples should be taken from shoots that are at least one year old and have mature or fully expanded leaves, collected from the middle of each of the main branches (detection is not reliable in shoots less than one year old). Samples should be collected from at least four different sites (e.g. four branches or four leaves) in each plant; this is critical because of the uneven distribution of PPV. Sampling should not be done during months with the highest temperatures. Tests on samples collected earlier in the spring. Plant material should preferably be collected from the internal parts of the tree canopy. In springtime, samples can be flowers, shoots with fully expanded leaves, or fruits. In summer and autumn, mature leaves and the skin of mature fruits collected from the field or packing houses can be used for analysis. Flowers, leaves, shoots and fruit skin can be stored at 4 °C for not more than 10 days before processing. Fruits can be stored for one month at 4 °C before processing. In winter, dormant buds or bark tissues from the basal part of twigs, shoots, or branches, or complete spurs can be selected. | Category : SUBSTANTIVE | titled: Sampling for serological and molecular tests. |
| 48 | 65 | General guidance on sampling methodologies is provided in ISPM 31 (<i>Methodologies for sampling of consignments</i>). Appropriate sample selection is critical for PPV detection. Sampling should take into account virus biology and local climatic conditions, in particular the weather conditions during the growing season. If typical symptoms are present, samples should be collected of flowers, leaves or fruits showing symptoms. In symptomless plants, samples should be taken from shoots that are at least one year old and have mature or fully expanded leaves, collected from the middle of each of the main branches (detection is not reliable in shoots less than one year old). Samples should be collected from at least four different sites (e.g. four branches or four leaves) in each plant; this is critical because of the uneven distribution of PPV. Sampling should not be done during months with the highest temperatures. Tests on samples collected in the autumn are less reliable than tests done on samples collected earlier in the spring. Plant material should preferably be collected from the internal parts of the tree canopy. In springtime, samples | European Union Reliability of the detection of PPV may depend on the method used. Molecular tools such as real-time RT-PCR should be able to detect low virus concentration even in young shoots. Suggestion is added in the paragraph. <i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> | NOTED |

| # | Para | Text | Comment | SC's response |
|----|------|--|---|---|
| 49 | Para | Text can be flowers, shoots with fully expanded leaves, or fruits. In summer and autumn, mature leaves and the skin of mature fruits collected from the field or packing houses can be used for analysis. Flowers, leaves, shoots and fruit skin can be stored at 4 °C for not more than 10 days before processing. Fruits can be stored for one month at 4 °C before processing. In winter, dormant buds or bark tissues from the basal part of twigs, shoots, or branches, or complete spurs can be selected. General guidance on sampling methodologies is provided in ISPM 31 (<i>Methodologies for sampling of consignments</i>). Appropriate sample selection is critical for PPV detection. Sampling should take into account virus biology and local climatic conditions, in particular the weather conditions during the growing season. If typical symptoms are present, samples should be collected of flowers, leaves or fruits showing symptoms. In symptomless plants, samples should be taken from <u>grafted</u> shoots that are at least one year old one_year-old and have mature or fully expanded leaves, collected from the middle of each of the main branches (detection is not reliable in shoots less than one year old). Samples should be collected from at least four different sites (e.g. four branches or four leaves) in each plant; this is critical because of the uneven distribution of PPV. Sampling should not be done during months with the highest temperatures. Tests on samples collected in the autumn are less reliable than tests done on samples collected from the internal parts of the tree canopy. In springtime, samples can be flowers, shoots with fully expanded leaves, or fruits. In summer and autumn, mature leaves and the skin of mature fruits collected from the field or packing houses can be used for analysis. <u>Dormant buds can also be used in summer</u> , especially for testing <u>graftwood. RT-PCR or real time PCR should be used for detection of PPV</u> in dormant buds and preferably also in mature leaves in summer, <u>especially for detection of latent inf</u> | Comment European Union SharCo : Containment of Sharka virus in view of EU-expansion, 2010. DE.3.2 : Procedures for sampling in nursery blocks and validated tools and protocols for reliable and accurate PPV detection. Category : SUBSTANTIVE | SC's response |
| | | shoots, shoots or branches, or complete spurs spurs, can be selected. | | |
| 50 | 65 | General guidance on Sampling for analysis | Kenya | Modified A Section 3.4: Sampling for |
| | | | Category : EDITORIAL | serological and molecular tests has |

| # | Para | Text | Comment | SC's response |
|----|------|---|---------------------------------|---|
| | | <u>General guidance on</u> sampling methodologies is provided in ISPM 31 (<i>Methodologies for sampling of consignments</i>). Appropriate sample selection is critical for PPV detection. Sampling should take into account virus biology and local climatic conditions, in particular the weather conditions during the growing season. If typical symptoms are present, samples should be collected of flowers, leaves or fruits showing symptoms. In symptomless plants, samples should be taken from shoots that are at least one year old and have mature or fully expanded leaves, collected from the middle of each of the main branches (detection is not reliable in shoots less than one year old). Samples should be collected from at least four different sites (e.g. four branches or four leaves) in each plant; this is critical because of the uneven distribution of PPV. Sampling should not be done during months with the highest temperatures. Tests on samples collected in the autumn are less reliable than tests done on samples collected earlier in the spring. Plant material should preferably be collected from the internal parts of the tree canopy. In springtime, samples can be flowers, shoots with fully expanded leaves, or fruits. In summer and autumn, mature leaves and the skin of mature fruits collected from the field or packing houses can be used for analysis. Flowers, leaves, shoots and fruit skin can be stored at 4 °C for not more than 10 days before processing. Fruits can be stored for one month at 4 °C before processing. In winter, dormant buds or bark tissues from the basal part of twigs, shoots, or branches, or complete spurs can be selected. | | been created that has all related sampling information. |
| 51 | 65 | General guidance on sampling methodologies is provided in ISPM 31 (<i>Methodologies for sampling of consignments</i>). Appropriate sample selection is critical for PPV detection. Sampling should take into account virus biology and local climatic conditions, in particular the weather conditions during the growing season. If typical symptoms are present, samples should be collected of flowers, leaves or fruits showing symptoms. In symptomless plants, samples should be taken from shoots | Ghana Category : SUBSTANTIVE | Considered but not incorporated. (Since Prunus species, the host of PPV, are temperate crops, the concepts of dry season and rainy season are not as appropriate as spring and autumn.) |

| # | Para | Text | Comment | SC's response |
|----|------|--|------------------------|--|
| | | collected from the middle of each of the main branches (detection is not | | |
| | | reliable in shoots less than one year old). Samples should be collected | | |
| | | from at least four different sites (e.g. four branches or four leaves) in each | | |
| | | plant; this is critical because of the uneven distribution of PPV. Sampling | | |
| | | should not be done during months with the highest temperatures. Tests on | | |
| | | samples collected in the autumn are less reliable than tests done on | | |
| | | samples collected earlier in the spring. Plant material should preferably be | | |
| | | collected from the internal parts of the tree canopy. In | | |
| | | springtimespringtime or during the rainy season, samples can be flowers, | | |
| | | shoots with fully expanded leaves, or fruits. In summer and autumnautumn | | |
| | | or dry or the hot climate, mature leaves and the skin of mature fruits | | |
| | | collected from the field or packing houses can be used for analysis. | | |
| | | Flowers, leaves, shoots and fruit skin can be stored at 4 °C for not more | | |
| | | than 10 days before processing. Fruits can be stored for one month at 4 °C | | |
| | | before processing. In winterwinter or cold season, dormant buds or bark | | |
| | | tissues from the basal part of twigs, shoots, or branches, or complete spurs | | |
| | | can be selected. | | |
| 52 | 65 | General guidance on sampling methodologies is provided in ISPM 31 | Ghana | Considered but not |
| | | (Methodologies for sampling of consignments). Appropriate sample | Category : SUBSTANTIVE | incorporated. (Since Prunus species, the host of |
| | | selection is critical for PPV detection. Sampling should take into account | | PPV, are temperate crops, the |
| | | virus biology and local climatic conditions, in particular the weather | | concepts of dry season and rainy |
| | | conditions during the growing season. If typical symptoms are present, | | season are not as appropriate as spring and autumn.) |
| | | samples should be collected of flowers, leaves or fruits showing | | |
| | | symptoms. In symptomless plants, samples should be taken from shoots | | |
| | | that are at least one year old and have mature or fully expanded leaves, | | |
| | | collected from the middle of each of the main branches (detection is not | | |
| | | reliable in shoots less than one year old). Samples should be collected | | |
| | | from at least four different sites (e.g. four branches or four leaves) in each | | |
| | | plant; this is critical because of the uneven distribution of PPV. Sampling | | |
| | | should not be done during months with the highest temperatures. Tests on | | |
| | | samples collected in the autumn or dry season are less reliable than tests | | |
| | | done on samples collected earlier in the springspring or in the rainy | | |
| | | season. Plant material should preferably be collected from the internal | | |
| | | parts of the tree canopy. In springtime, samples can be flowers, shoots | | |
| | | with fully expanded leaves, or fruits. In summer and autumn, mature | | |
| | | leaves and the skin of mature fruits collected from the field or packing | | |

| # | Para | Text | Comment | SC's response |
|----|------|---|---|--|
| | | houses can be used for analysis. Flowers, leaves, shoots and fruit skin can | | |
| | | be stored at 4 °C for not more than 10 days before processing. Fruits can | | |
| | | be stored for one month at 4 °C before processing. In winter, dormant | | |
| | | buds or bark tissues from the basal part of twigs, shoots, or branches, or | | |
| | | complete spurs can be selected. | | |
| 53 | 65 | General guidance on sampling methodologies is provided in ISPM 31 | EPPO | Modified |
| | | (Methodologies for sampling of consignments). Appropriate sample | SharCo : Containment of Sharka virus in view of EU-expansion, 2010. DE.3.2 : Procedures for | (Sampling from dormant cuttings now described, and the |
| | | selection is critical for PPV detection. Sampling should take into account | sampling in nursery blocks and validated tools | recommendation for testing with |
| | | virus biology and local climatic conditions, in particular the weather | and protocols for reliable and accurate PPV | RT-PCR or real-time PCR are |
| | | conditions during the growing season. If typical symptoms are present, | detection | indicated. Taking leaves from one |
| | | samples should be collected of flowers, leaves or fruits showing | Category : SUBSTANTIVE | year old shoots of a symptomless mature plant is different from |
| | | symptoms. In symptomless plants, samples should be taken from grafted | | taking leaves from one year old |
| | | shoots that are at least one year old one-year-old and have mature or fully | | grafted shoots.) |
| | | expanded leaves, collected from the middle of each of the main branches | | |
| | | (detection is not reliable in shoots less than one year old). Samples should | | |
| | | be collected from at least four different sites (e.g. four branches or four | | |
| | | leaves) in each plant; this is critical because of the uneven distribution of | | |
| | | PPV. Sampling should not be done during months with the highest | | |
| | | temperatures. Tests on samples collected in the autumn are less reliable | | |
| | | than tests done on samples collected earlier in the spring. Plant material | | |
| | | should preferably be collected from the internal parts of the tree canopy. | | |
| | | In springtime, samples can be flowers, shoots with fully expanded leaves, | | |
| | | or fruits. In summer and autumn, mature leaves and the skin of mature | | |
| | | fruits collected from the field or packing houses can be used for analysis. | | |
| | | Dormant buds can also be used in summer, especially for testing | | |
| | | graftwood. RT-PCR or real time PCR should be used for detection of PPV | | |
| | | in dormant buds and preferably also in mature leaves in summer, | | |
| | | especially for detection of latent infections. Flowers, leaves, shoots and | | |
| | | fruit skin can be stored at 4 °C for not more than 10 days before | | |
| | | processing. Fruits can be stored for one month at 4 °C before processing. | | |
| | | In winter, dormant buds or bark tissues from the basal part of twigs, | | |
| | | shoots, shoots or branches, or complete spurs spurs, can be selected. | | |
| 54 | 65 | General guidance on sampling methodologies is provided in ISPM 31 | EPPO | Modified |
| | | (Methodologies for sampling of consignments). Appropriate sample | Category : SUBSTANTIVE | (Sampling from dormant cuttings now described, and the |
| | | selection is critical for PPV detection. Sampling should take into account | | recommendation for testing with |
| | | virus biology and local climatic conditions, in particular the weather | | RT-PCR or real-time PCR are |

| # | Para | Text | Comment | SC's response |
|----|------|--|--|--|
| | | conditions during the growing season. If typical symptoms are present, samples should be collected of flowers, leaves or fruits showing symptoms. In symptomless plants, samples should be taken from shoots that are at least one year old and have mature or fully expanded leaves, collected from the middle of each of the main branches (detection is not reliable in shoots less than one year old). Samples should be collected from at least four different sites (e.g. four branches or four leaves) in each plant; this is critical because of the uneven distribution of PPV. Sampling should not be done during months with the highest temperatures. Tests on samples collected in the autumn are less reliable than tests done on samples collected earlier in the spring. Plant material should preferably be collected from the internal parts of the tree canopy. In springtime, samples can be flowers, shoots with fully expanded leaves, or fruits. In summer and autumn, mature leaves and the skin of mature fruits collected from the field or packing houses can be used for analysis. Flowers, leaves, shoots and fruit skin can be stored at 4 °C for not more than 10 days before processing. Fruits can be stored for one month at 4 °C before processing. In winter, dormant buds or bark tissues from the basal part of twigs, shoots, or branches, or complete spurs can be selected. | | indicated. Taking leaves from one year old shoots of a symptomless mature plant is different from taking leaves from one year old grafted shoots.) |
| 55 | 65 | General guidance on sampling methodologies is provided in ISPM 31 (<i>Methodologies for sampling of consignments</i>). Appropriate sample selection is critical for PPV detection. Sampling should take into account virus biology and local climatic conditions, in particular the weather conditions during the growing season. If typical symptoms are present, samples should be collected of flowers, leaves or fruits showing symptoms. In symptomless plants, samples should be taken from shoots that are at least one year old and have mature or fully expanded leaves, collected from the middle of each of the main branches (detection is not reliable in shoots less than one year old). Samples should be collected from at least four different sites (e.g. four branches or four leaves) in each plant; this is critical because of the uneven distribution of PPV. Sampling should not be done during months with the highest temperatures. Tests on samples collected in the autumn are less reliable than tests done on samples collected earlier in the spring. Plant material should preferably be collected from the internal parts of the tree canopy. In springtime, samples can be flowers, shoots with fully expanded leaves, or fruits. In summer | EPPO Remark: In summer shoots without leaves are shipped as grafting material, therefore only phloem tissue or buds can be used for testing. <i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> | Modified (Sampling from dormant cuttings now described) |

| # | Para | Text | Comment | SC's response |
|----|------|---|----------------------|---|
| | | and autumn, mature leaves and the skin of mature fruits collected from the | | |
| | | field or packing houses can be used for analysis. Flowers, leaves, shoots | | |
| | | and fruit skin can be stored at 4 °C for not more than 10 days before | | |
| | | processing. Fruits can be stored for one month at 4 °C before processing. | | |
| | | In winter, dormant buds or bark tissues from the basal part of twigs, | | |
| | | shoots, or branches, or complete spurs can be selected. | | |
| 56 | 65 | General guidance on sampling methodologies is provided in ISPM 31 | Turkey | Considered but not incorporated |
| | | (Methodologies for sampling of consignments). Appropriate sample | Category : EDITORIAL | ("taken from shoots that are at least one year old" is correct and |
| | | selection is critical for PPV detection. Sampling should take into account | | more accurate.) |
| | | virus biology and local climatic conditions, in particular the weather | | |
| | | conditions during the growing season. If typical symptoms are present, | | |
| | | samples should be collected of flowers, leaves or fruits showing | | |
| | | symptoms. In symptomless plants, samples should be taken from shoots | | |
| | | that are at least one year old one-year-old and have mature or fully | | |
| | | expanded leaves, collected from the middle of each of the main branches | | |
| | | (detection is not reliable in shoots less than one year old). Samples should | | |
| | | be collected from at least four different sites (e.g. four branches or four | | |
| | | leaves) in each plant; this is critical because of the uneven distribution of | | |
| | | PPV. Sampling should not be done during months with the highest | | |
| | | temperatures. Tests on samples collected in the autumn are less reliable | | |
| | | than tests done on samples collected earlier in the spring. Plant material | | |
| | | should preferably be collected from the internal parts of the tree canopy. | | |
| | | In springtime, samples can be flowers, shoots with fully expanded leaves, | | |
| | | or fruits. In summer and autumn, mature leaves and the skin of mature | | |
| | | fruits collected from the field or packing houses can be used for analysis. | | |
| | | Flowers, leaves, shoots and fruit skin can be stored at 4 °C for not more | | |
| | | than 10 days before processing. Fruits can be stored for one month at 4 °C | | |
| | | before processing. In winter, dormant buds or bark tissues from the basal | | |
| | | part of twigs, shoots, or branches, or complete spurs can be selected. | | |
| 57 | 65 | General guidance on sampling methodologies is provided in ISPM 31 | Philippines | Modified |
| | | (Methodologies for sampling of consignments). | Category : EDITORIAL | A Section 3.4: Sampling for serological and molecular tests has |
| | | | | been created that has all related |
| | | | | sampling information. Also the |
| | | For field sampling, a Appropriate ppropriate sample selection is critical for | | wording has not been changed as sampling may occur in greenhouses |
| | | PPV detection. Sampling should take into account virus biology and local | | or screen houses also) |
| | | climatic conditions, in particular the weather conditions during the | | |

| # | Para | Text | Comment | SC's response |
|----|------|--|------------------------------------|---|
| | | growing season. If typical symptoms are present, samples should be collected of flowers, leaves or fruits showing symptoms. In symptomless plants, samples should be taken from shoots that are at least one year old and have mature or fully expanded leaves, collected from the middle of each of the main branches (detection is not reliable in shoots less than one year old). Samples should be collected from at least four different sites (e.g. four branches or four leaves) in each plant; this is critical because of the uneven distribution of PPV. Sampling should not be done during months with the highest temperatures. Tests on samples collected in the autumn are less reliable than tests done on samples collected earlier in the spring. Plant material should preferably be collected from the internal parts of the tree canopy. In springtime, samples can be flowers, shoots with fully expanded leaves, or fruits. In summer and autumn, mature leaves and the skin of mature fruits collected from the field or packing houses can be used for analysis. Flowers, leaves, shoots and fruit skin can be stored at 4 °C for not more than 10 days before processing. Fruits can be stored for one month at 4 °C before processing. In winter, dormant buds or bark tissues from the basal part of twigs, shoots, or branches, or complete spurs can be selected. | | |
| 58 | 65 | General guidance on sampling methodologies is provided in ISPM 31 (<i>Methodologies for sampling of consignments</i>). Appropriate sample selection is critical for PPV detection. Sampling should take into account virus biology and local climatic conditions, in particular the weather conditions during the growing season. If typical symptoms are present, samples should be collected of flowers, leaves or fruits showing symptoms. In symptomless plants, samples should be taken from shoots that are at least one year old and have mature or fully expanded leaves, collected from the middle of each of the main branches (detection is not reliable in shoots less than one year old). Samples should be collected from at least four different sites (e.g. four branches or four leaves) in each plant; this is critical because of the uneven distribution of PPV. Sampling should not be done during months with the highest temperatures. Tests on samples collected in the autumn are less reliable than tests done on samples collected earlier in the spring. Plant material should preferably be collected from the internal parts of the tree canopy. In springtime, samples can be flowers, shoots with fully expanded leaves, or fruits. In summer | Slovenia Category : SUBSTANTIVE | Modified (The paragraph was moved and modified. It is now in Section 3.4: Sampling for serological and molecular tests. Changes made as recommended, except where changes resulted in change of meaning.) |

| # | Para | Text | Comment | SC's response |
|----|------|--|---|--|
| | | and autumn, mature leaves and the skin of mature fruits collected from the field or packing houses can be used for analysis. <u>Dormant buds can also be used in summer, especially for testing</u> <u>graftwood. RT-PCR or real time PCR must be used for detection of PPV</u> <u>in dormant buds and preferably also in mature leaves in summer,</u> <u>especially for detection of latent infections.</u> Flowers, leaves, shoots and fruit skin can be stored at 4 °C for not more than 10 days before processing. Fruits can be stored for one month at 4 °C before processing. In winter, dormant buds or bark tissues from the basal part of twigs, shoots, or branches, or complete spurs can be selected. | | |
| 59 | 66 | Detection of PPV can be achieved using a biological, serological or molecular test; identification requires either a serological or molecular test. A serological or molecular test is the minimum requirement to detect and identify PPV (e.g. during routine diagnosis of a pest widely established in a country). In instances where the national plant protection organization (NPPO) requires additional confidence in the identification of PPV (e.g. detection in an area where the virus is not known to be present or detection in a consignment originating in a country where the pest is declared to be absent), further tests may be done. Where the initial identification was done using a molecular method, subsequent tests-the confirmation should use serological methods and vice versabe performed preferably with a test with a higher analytical sensitivity that the one used for initial identification. It is possible to confirm the results of a molecular test by another molecular test targeting a different genome region or sequence analysis. Further tests may also be done to identify the strain of PPV present. In all cases, positive and negative controls must be included in the tests. The recommended techniques are described in the following sections. | European Union Category : SUBSTANTIVE | Incorporated |
| 60 | 66 | Detection of PPV can be achieved using a biological, serological or molecular test; identification requires either a serological or molecular test. A serological or molecular test is the minimum requirement to detect and identify PPV (e.g. during routine diagnosis of a pest widely established in a country). In instances where the national plant protection organization (NPPO) requires additional confidence in the identification of PPV (e.g. detection in an area where the virus is not known to be present | European Union SharCo : Containment of Sharka virus in view of EU-expansion, 2010. DE.3.2 : Procedures for sampling in nursery blocks and validated tools and protocols for reliable and accurate PPV detection. <i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> | Modified (It is now indicated that 'confirmation should be performed preferably with a test with a higher analytical sensitivity or if possible by a molecular test targeting a different genome region') |

| # | Para | Text | Comment | SC's response |
|----|------|--|--|--|
| | | or detection in a consignment originating in a country where the pest is declared to be absent), further tests may be done. Where the initial identification was done using a molecular method, subsequent tests should use serological methods and vice versa. Further tests may also be done to identify the strain of PPV present. In all cases, positive and negative controls must be included in the tests. The recommended techniques are described in the following sections. | | |
| 61 | 66 | Detection of PPV can be achieved using a biological, serological or molecular test; identification requires either a serological or molecular test. A serological or molecular test is the minimum requirement to detect and identify PPV (e.g. during routine diagnosis of a pest widely established in a country). In instances where the national plant protection detection Detection of PPV can be achieved using a biological, serological or molecular test; identification requires either a serological or molecular test; identification requires either a serological or molecular test. A serological or molecular test is the minimum requirement to detect and identify PPV (e.g. during routine diagnosis of a pest widely test. A serological or molecular test is the minimum requirement to detect and identify PPV (e.g. during routine diagnosis of a pest widely established in a country). In instances where the national plant protection organization (NPPO) requires additional confidence in the identification of PPV (e.g. detection in an area where the virus is not known to be present or detection in a consignment originating in a country where the pest is declared to be absent), further tests may be done. Where the initial | Kenya Category : EDITORIAL | Incorporated (Reorganized as recommended.) |
| | | identification was done using a molecular method, subsequent tests should use serological methods and vice versa. Further tests may also be done to identify the strain of PPV present. In all cases, positive and negative controls must be included in the tests. The recommended techniques are described in the following sections. | | |
| 62 | 66 | Detection of PPV can be achieved using a biological, serological or molecular test; identification requires either a serological or molecular test. A serological or molecular test is the minimum requirement to detect and identify PPV (e.g. during routine diagnosis of a pest widely established in a country). In instances where the national plant protection organization (NPPO) requires additional confidence in the identification of PPV (e.g. detection in an area where the virus is not known to be present | EPPO <i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> | Incorporated (Reorganized as recommended. It is now indicated that `confirmation should be performed preferably with a test with a higher analytical sensitivity or if possible by a molecular test targeting a different genome region' |

| # | Para | Text | Comment | SC's response |
|----|------|--|--|--|
| | | or detection in a consignment originating in a country where the pest is | | |
| | | declared to be absent), further tests may be done. Where the initial | | |
| | | identification was done using a molecular method, subsequent tests should | | |
| | | use serological methods and vice versa. Further tests may also be done to | | |
| | | identify the strain of PPV present. In all cases, positive and negative | | |
| | | controls must be included in the tests. The recommended techniques are | | |
| | | described in the following sections. | | |
| 63 | 66 | Detection of PPV can be achieved using a biological, serological or | EPPO | Incorporated |
| | | molecular test; identification requires either a serological or molecular | The confirmation should preferably be done with a more sensitive test than the test used | (Reorganized as recommended. It is now indicated that 'confirmation |
| | | test. A serological or molecular test is the minimum requirement to detect | for the initial identification. | should be performed preferably |
| | | and identify PPV (e.g. during routine diagnosis of a pest widely | Category : SUBSTANTIVE | with a test with a higher analytical |
| | | established in a country). In instances where the national plant protection | | sensitivity or if possible by a molecular test targeting a different |
| | | organization (NPPO) requires additional confidence in the identification of | | genome region |
| | | PPV (e.g. detection in an area where the virus is not known to be present | | |
| | | or detection in a consignment originating in a country where the pest is | | |
| | | declared to be absent), further tests may be done. Where the initial | | |
| | | identification was done using a molecular method, subsequent tests the | | |
| | | confirmation should use serological methods and vice versabe performed | | |
| | | preferably with a test with a higher analytical sensitivity that the one used | | |
| | | for initial identification. It is possible to confirm the results of a molecular | | |
| | | test by another molecular test targeting a different genome region or | | |
| | | sequence analysis. Further tests may also be done to identify the strain of | | |
| | | PPV present. In all cases, positive and negative controls must be included | | |
| | | in the tests. The recommended techniques are described in the following | | |
| | | sections. | | |
| 64 | 66 | Detection of PPV can be achieved using a biological, serological or | Philippines | Incorporated |
| | | molecular test; test while identification requires either a serological or | Category : EDITORIAL | |
| | | molecular test. A serological or molecular test is the minimum | | |
| | | requirement to detect and identify PPV (e.g. especially during routine | | |
| | | diagnosis of a pest widely established in a country)country. In instances | | |
| | | where the national plant protection organization (NPPO) requires | | |
| | | additional confidence in the identification of PPV (e.g. detection in an area | | |
| | | where the virus is not known to be present or detection in a consignment | | |
| | | originating in a country where the pest is declared to be absent), further | | |
| | | tests may be done. Where the initial identification was done using a | | |
| | | molecular method, subsequent tests should use serological methods and | | |

| # | Para | Text | Comment | SC's response |
|----|------|--|---|--|
| | | vice versa. Further tests may also be done to identify the strain of PPV | | |
| | | present. In all cases, positive and negative controls must be included in the | | |
| | | tests. The recommended techniques are described in the following | | |
| | | sections. | | |
| 65 | 66 | Detection of PPV can be achieved using a biological, serological or | Slovenia | Incorporated |
| | | molecular test; identification requires either a serological or molecular | Molecular methods for detection of PPV are more sensitive that serological ones therefore | (Reorganized as recommended. It is now indicated that 'confirmation |
| | | test. A serological or molecular test is the minimum requirement to detect | the result obtained by molecular method cannot always be confirmed by the use of | should be performed preferably |
| | | and identify PPV (e.g. during routine diagnosis of a pest widely | | with a test with a higher analytical |
| | | established in a country). In instances where the national plant protection | serological analysis. Category : TECHNICAL | sensitivity or if possible by a molecular test targeting a different |
| | | organization (NPPO) requires additional confidence in the identification of | Category . TECHNICAE | genome region |
| | | PPV (e.g. detection in an area where the virus is not known to be present | | |
| | | or detection in a consignment originating in a country where the pest is | | |
| | | declared to be absent), further tests may be done. Where the initial | | |
| | | identification was done using a molecular method <u>different</u> , subsequent | | |
| | | tests should use serological methods and vice versapreferably more | | |
| | | sensitive method than the one used for initial identification. Further tests | | |
| | | may also be done to identify the strain of PPV present. In all cases, | | |
| | | positive and negative controls must be included in the tests. The | | |
| | | recommended techniques are described in the following sections. | | |
| 66 | 68 | In this diagnostic protocol, methods (including reference to brand names) | European Union | NOTED The text in the main body of the |
| | | are described as published, as these define the original level of sensitivity, | Category : EDITORIAL | document and the footnote has |
| | | specificity and reproducibility achieved. The use of names of reagents, | | been adjusted to avoid repetition |
| | | chemicals or equipment in these diagnostic protocols implies no approval | | while still including all relevant |
| | | of them to the exclusion of others that may also be suitable. Laboratory | | information. |
| | | procedures presented in the protocols may be adjusted to the standards of | | |
| | | individual laboratories, provided that they are adequately validated. | | |
| 67 | 68 | In this diagnostic protocol, methods (including reference to brand names) | EPPO We note that this is an IPPC editorial policy | NOTED The text in the main body of the |
| | | are described as published, as these define the original level of sensitivity, | Category : EDITORIAL | document and the footnote has |
| | | specificity and reproducibility achieved. The use of names of reagents, | <i>,</i> , | been adjusted to avoid repetition |
| | | chemicals or equipment in these diagnostic protocols implies no approval | | while still including all relevant information. |
| | | of them to the exclusion of others that may also be suitable. Laboratory | | mormation. |
| | | procedures presented in the protocols may be adjusted to the standards of | | |
| | | individual laboratories, provided that they are adequately validated. | | |
| 68 | 68 | In this diagnostic protocol, methods (including reference to brand names) | Uruguay Text deleted to avoid repetition with text in the | Incorporated. The text in the main body of the |
| | | are described as published, as these define the original level of sensitivity, | footnote | document and the footnote has |
| | | specificity and reproducibility achieved. The use of names of reagents, | Category : TECHNICAL | been adjusted to avoid repetition |

| # | Para | Text | Comment | SC's response |
|----|------|---|--|--|
| | | chemicals or equipment in these diagnostic protocols implies no approval of them to the exclusion of others that may also be suitable. Laboratory procedures presented in the protocols may be adjusted to the standards of individual laboratories, provided that they are adequately validated. | | while still including all relevant information. |
| 69 | 74 | For sample processing, approximately 0.2–0.5 g of fresh plant material is cut into small pieces and placed in a suitable tube or plastic bag. The sample is homogenized in approximately 4–10 ml (1:20 w/v) of extraction buffer using an electrical tissue homogenizer, or a manual roller, hammer or similar tool. The extraction buffer is phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) pH 7.2–7.4, containing 2% polyvinylpyrrolidone and 0.2% sodium diethyl dithiocarbamate (Cambra <i>et al.</i> , 1994), or an alternative suitably validated buffer. Plant material should be homogenized thoroughly and used fresh. | United States of America Second sentence: Or 1:10 as recommended by the ELISA kit manufacturer <i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> | Modified |
| 70 | 74 | For sample processing, approximately 0.2–0.5 g of fresh plant material is cut into small pieces and placed in a suitable tube or plastic bag. The sample is homogenized in approximately 4–10 ml (1:20 w/v) of extraction buffer using an electrical tissue homogenizer, or a manual roller, hammer or similar tool. The extraction buffer is phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) pH 7.2–7.4, containing 2% polyvinylpyrrolidone and 0.2% sodium diethyl dithiocarbamate (Cambra <i>et al.</i> , 1994), or an alternative suitably validated suitable buffer. Plant material should be homogenized thoroughly and used fresh. | European Union It is unclear what 'a validated buffer' means. <i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> | Incorporated |
| 71 | 74 | For sample processing, approximately 0.2–0.5 g of fresh plant material is cut into small pieces and placed in a suitable tube or plastic bag. The sample is homogenized in approximately 4–10 ml (1:20 w/v) of extraction buffer using an electrical tissue homogenizer, or a manual roller, hammer or similar tool. The extraction buffer is phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) pH 7.2–7.4, containing 2% polyvinylpyrrolidone and 0.2% sodium diethyl dithiocarbamate (Cambra <i>et al.</i> , 1994), or an alternative suitably validated buffer. Plant material should be homogenized thoroughly and used fresh. | EPPO It is unclear what 'a validated buffer' means <i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> | Incorporated |
| 72 | 76 | Double-antibody sandwich indirect enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (DASI-ELISA), also called triple antibody sandwich (TAS) ELISA, should be performed according to Cambra <i>et al.</i> (1994) using a specific monoclonal antibody such as 5B-IVIA, following the manufacturer's instructions. | China DASI-ELISA and TAS-ELIS are different in their operating procedures. <i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> | Considered but not incorporated. (Double-antibody sandwich indirect enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, DASI-ELISA, is similar to TAS-ELISA) |
| 73 | 76 | Double-antibody sandwich indirect enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (DASI-ELISA), also called triple-antibody sandwich (TAS)-ELISA, | China The serological methods cannot be established based on monoclonal antibody 5B-IVIA. Most | Considered but not incorporated. |

| # Para | Text | Comment | SC's response |
|--------|---|---|---|
| | should be performed according to Cambra <i>et al.</i> (1994) using a specific monoclonal antibody such as 5B-IVIA, following the manufacturer's instructions <u>antibody</u> . | people do not have any clues how to get the Mabs. <i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> | The test described was based on the use of the Mab 5B-IVIA. An NPPO may choose to use alternate tests and or reagents, as long as they are suitably validated. |
| 74 77 | The only monoclonal antibody currently demonstrated to detect all strains of PPV with high reliability, specificity and sensitivity is 5B-IVIA (Cambra <i>et al.</i> , 2006a). Optimal detection of isolates of strain CR requires adjustment of the extraction buffer to pH 6.0 (Chirkov <i>et al.</i> , 2013; Glasa <i>et al.</i> , 2013). In a DIAGPRO ¹ ring-test conducted by 17 laboratories using a panel of 10 samples, including both PPV-infected (PPV-D, PPV-M and PPV-D+M) and healthy samples from France and Spain, DASI-ELISA using the 5B-IVIA monoclonal antibody was 95% accurate (number of true negatives and true positives diagnosed by the technique, divided by the number of samples tested). This accuracy was greater than that achieved with either immunocapture reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (IC-RT-PCR) which was 82% accurate, or co-operational RT-PCR (Co-RT-PCR) which was 94% accurate (Olmos <i>et al.</i> , 2007; Cambra <i>et al.</i> , 2008). The proportion of true negatives (number of true negatives diagnosed by the technique, divided by the number of healthy plants) identified by DASI-ELISA using the 5B-IVIA monoclonal antibody was 99.0%, compared with real-time RT-PCR using purified nucleic acid (89.2%) or spotted samples (98.0%), or IC-RT-PCR (96.1%). Capote <i>et al.</i> (2009) also reported that there is a 98.8% probability that a positive result obtained in winter with DASI-ELISA using the 5B-IVIA monoclonal antibody was a true positive. | European Union Furthermore, it is desirable to include additional ELISA tests using other antisera. Many labs still prefer the use of polyclonal antisera, and it can be expected that these polyclonal antisera would be suitable for universal PPV detection as well. It is suggested to make an inventory of available antisera and their performance based on data provided by producers and/or available from tests performance studies and proficiency tests on representative isolates of all relevant strains. However, it should be noted that the quality of specific antisera might exhibit batch-to-batch variation and, therefore, needs verification of the performance. <i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> | Modified (Sentence added in Section 3.5.1 about batch to batch variation, the use of polyclonal antibodies and the need for proper validation of a test before use.) |
| 75 77 | The only- <u>This</u> monoclonal antibody currently <u>has</u> demonstrated to detect all strains of PPV with high reliability, specificity and sensitivity is 5B - IVIA (Cambra <i>et al.</i> , 2006a). Optimal detection of isolates of strain CR requires adjustment of the extraction buffer to pH 6.0 (Chirkov <i>et al.</i> , 2013; Glasa <i>et al.</i> , 2013). In a DIAGPRO ¹ ring-test conducted by 17 laboratories using a panel of 10 samples, including both PPV-infected (PPV-D, PPV-M and PPV-D+M) and healthy samples from France and Spain, DASI-ELISA using the 5B-IVIA monoclonal antibody was 95% accurate (number of true negatives and true positives diagnosed by the | Kenya Category : EDITORIAL | Considered but not incorporated. (The existing description is clearer and more accurate.) |
| | Spain, DASI-ELISA using the 5B-IVIA monoclonal antibody was 95% | | |

| # | Para | Text | Comment | SC's response |
|----|------|---|--|--|
| | | transcription-polymerase chain reaction (IC-RT-PCR) which was 82% accurate, or co-operational RT-PCR (Co-RT-PCR) which was 94% accurate (Olmos <i>et al.</i> , 2007; Cambra <i>et al.</i> , 2008). The proportion of true negatives (number of true negatives diagnosed by the technique, divided by the number of healthy plants) identified by DASI-ELISA using the 5B-IVIA monoclonal antibody was 99.0%, compared with real-time RT-PCR using purified nucleic acid (89.2%) or spotted samples (98.0%), or IC-RT-PCR (96.1%). Capote <i>et al.</i> (2009) also reported that there is a 98.8% probability that a positive result obtained in winter with DASI-ELISA using the 5B-IVIA monoclonal antibody was a true positive. | | |
| 76 | 77 | using the 5B-IVIA monoclonal antibody was a true positive. The only monoclonal antibody currently demonstrated to detect all strains of PPV with high reliability, specificity and sensitivity is 5B-IVIA (Cambra <i>et al.</i> , 2006a). Optimal detection of isolates of strain CR requires adjustment of the extraction buffer to pH 6.0 (Chirkov <i>et al.</i> , 2013; Glasa <i>et al.</i> , 2013). In a DIAGPRO ¹ ring-test conducted by 17 laboratories using a panel of 10 samples, including both PPV-infected (PPV-D, PPV-M and PPV-D+M) and healthy samples from France and Spain, DASI-ELISA using the 5B-IVIA monoclonal antibody was 95% accurate (number of true negatives and true positives diagnosed by the technique, divided by the number of samples tested). This accuracy was greater than that achieved with either immunocapture reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (IC-RT-PCR) which was 82% accurate, or co-operational RT-PCR (Co-RT-PCR) which was 94% accurate (Olmos <i>et al.</i> , 2007; Cambra <i>et al.</i> , 2008). The proportion of true negatives (number of true negatives diagnosed by the technique, divided by the number of samples tested). The proportion of true negatives (number of true negatives diagnosed by the technique, divided by the number of healthy plants) identified by DASI-ELISA using the 5B-IVIA monoclonal antibody was 99.0%, compared with real-time RT-PCR using purified nucleic acid (89.2%) or spotted samples (98.0%), or IC-RT-PCR (96.1%). Capote <i>et al.</i> (2009) also reported that there is a 98.8% probability that a positive result obtained in winter with DASI-ELISA using the 5B-IVIA monoclonal antibody was a true positive. | EPPO Category : TECHNICAL | Modified (Sentence added in Section 3.5.1 about batch to batch variation, the use of polyclonal antibodies and the need for proper validation of a test before use.) |
| 77 | 77 | The only monoclonal antibody currently demonstrated to detect all strains of PPV with high reliability, specificity and sensitivity is 5B-IVIA (Cambra <i>et al.</i> , 2006a). Optimal detection of isolates of strain CR requires adjustment of the extraction buffer to pH 6.0 (Chirkov <i>et al.</i> , 2013; Glasa <i>et al.</i> , 2013). In a DIAGPRO ¹ ring-test conducted by 17 laboratories using | Philippines Would this protocol/procedure be available online for free once this Annex is approved <i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> | Considered, but not incorporated (All adopted ISPMs and their annexes are publically available on the IPPC website. NPPOs and RPPOs need to be informed of availability |

| # | Para | Text | Comment | SC's response |
|----|------|---|---|--|
| | | a panel of 10 samples, including both PPV-infected (PPV-D, PPV-M and PPV-D+M) and healthy samples from France and Spain, DASI-ELISA using the 5B-IVIA monoclonal antibody was 95% accurate (number of true negatives and true positives diagnosed by the technique, divided by the number of samples tested). This accuracy was greater than that achieved with either immunocapture reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (IC-RT-PCR) which was 82% accurate, or co-operational RT-PCR (Co-RT-PCR) which was 94% accurate (Olmos <i>et al.</i> , 2007; Cambra <i>et al.</i> , 2008). The proportion of true negatives (number of true negatives diagnosed by the technique, divided by the number of healthy plants) identified by DASI-ELISA using the 5B-IVIA monoclonal antibody was 99.0%, compared with real-time RT-PCR using purified nucleic acid (89.2%) or spotted samples (98.0%), or IC-RT-PCR (96.1%). Capote <i>et al.</i> (2009) also reported that there is a 98.8% probability that a positive result obtained in winter with DASI-ELISA using the 5B-IVIA monoclonal antibody was a true positive. | | online, and the existence of contact points to provide any assistance required. Procedures are provided with the commercial kits) |
| 78 | 80 | The conventional or biotin–streptavidin system of double-antibody sandwich (DAS)-ELISA should be performed using <u>utilize</u> kits based on the specific monoclonal antibody 5B-IVIA or on polyclonal antibodies that have been demonstrated to detect all strains of PPV without cross- reacting with other viruses or healthy plant material (Cambra <i>et al.</i> , 2006a; Capote <i>et al.</i> , 2009). The test should be done according to the manufacturer's instructions. | Philippines <i>Category : EDITORIAL</i> | Incorporated |
| 79 | 81 | Whereas the 5B-IVIA monoclonal antibody detects all PPV strains specifically, sensitively and reliably, some polyclonal antibodies are not specific and have limited sensitivity (Cambra <i>et al.</i> , 1994; Cambra <i>et al.</i> , 2006a). The use of additional methods is therefore recommended in situations where polyclonal antibodies have been used in a test and the NPPO requires additional confidence in the identification of PPV. | United States of America There is a citation for two papers but these paper do not list Agdia's kit and just briefly mentioned the Bioreba kit/antibody for DAS ELISA. Both kits/antibodies have been successfully used for the PPV Eradication program in USA. The Agdia kit antibodies were developed by a Canadian scientist Dr. Ann Rochon. <i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> | Modified Kits and procedures other than those indicated in the DP may be used, as long as they are properly validated. It is difficult to cover all possible scenarios in this DP. The paragraph has been modified and appropriate references added to improve accuracy |
| 80 | 81 | Whereas the 5B-IVIA monoclonal antibody detects all PPV strains specifically, sensitively and reliably, some polyclonal antibodies are not specific and have limited sensitivity (Cambra <i>et al.</i> , 1994; Cambra <i>et al.</i> , 2006a). The use of additional methods is therefore recommended in situations where polyclonal antibodies have been used in a test and the NPPO requires additional confidence in the identification of PPV. | European Union Regarding the second subsection, the referred papers do not provide the data substantiating the statements on the lack of sensitivity and specificity. <i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> | Modified The paragraph has been modified and appropriate references added to improve accuracy |

| # | Para | Text | Comment | SC's response |
|----|------|---|--|---|
| 81 | 81 | Whereas the 5B-IVIA monoclonal antibody detects all PPV strains specifically, sensitively and reliably, some polyclonal antibodies are not specific and have limited sensitivity (Cambra <i>et al.</i> , 1994; Cambra <i>et al.</i> , 2006a). The use of additional methods is therefore recommended in situations where polyclonal antibodies have been used in a test and the NPPO requires additional confidence in the identification of PPV. | European Union http://www.bioreba.ch/popup.php?docFile=htt p://www.bioreba.ch/files/Product_Info/ELISA_ Reagents/PPV_DAS_ELISA.pdf. <i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> | Modified Kits and procedures other than those indicated in the DP may be used, as long as they are properly validated. It is difficult to cover all possible scenarios in this DP. Also the paragraph has been modified and appropriate references added to improve accuracy |
| 82 | 81 | Whereas the 5B-IVIA monoclonal antibody detects all PPV strains specifically, sensitively and reliably, some polyclonal antibodies are not specific and have limited sensitivity (Cambra <i>et al.</i> , 1994; Cambra <i>et al.</i> , 2006a). The use of additional methods is therefore recommended in situations where polyclonal antibodies have been used in a test and the NPPO requires additional confidence in the identification of PPV. | EPPO <i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> | Modified The paragraph has been modified and appropriate references added to improve accuracy |
| 83 | 81 | Whereas the 5B-IVIA monoclonal antibody detects all PPV strains specifically, sensitively and reliably, some polyclonal antibodies are not specific and have limited sensitivity (Cambra <i>et al.</i> , 1994; Cambra <i>et al.</i> , 2006a). The use of additional methods is therefore recommended in situations where polyclonal antibodies have been used in a test and the NPPO requires additional confidence in the identification of PPV. | EPPO Regarding the second subsection, the referred papers do not provide the data substantiating the statements on the lack of sensitivity and specificity. <i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> | Modified The paragraph has been modified and appropriate references added to improve accuracy |
| 84 | 81 | Whereas the 5B-IVIA monoclonal antibody detects all PPV strains specifically, sensitively and reliably, some polyclonal antibodies are not specific and have limited sensitivity (Cambra <i>et al.</i> , 1994; Cambra <i>et al.</i> , 2006a). The use of additional methods is therefore recommended in situations where polyclonal antibodies have been used in a test and the NPPO requires additional confidence in the identification of PPV. | Philippines If this will be adopted as a standard diagnostic protocol, recommended protocol should include only those with high sensitivity, reliability and accuracy to optimize resources available. <i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> | Considered but not incorporated Some basic tests required for a diagnostic result are indicated. Other tests may be used once properly validated |
| 85 | 81 | Whereas the 5B-IVIA monoclonal antibody detects all PPV strains specifically, sensitively and reliably, some polyclonal antibodies are not specific and have limited sensitivity (Cambra <i>et al.</i> , 1994; Cambra <i>et al.</i> , 2006a). The use of additional methods is therefore recommended in situations where polyclonal antibodies have been used in a test and the NPPO requires additional confidence in the identification of PPV. | Slovenia <i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> | Modified The paragraph has been modified and appropriate references added to improve accuracy |
| 86 | 83 | Molecular methods using reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) may be more expensive or time consuming than serological methods, especially for large-scale testing. However, molecular methods, especially real-time RT-PCR, are generally more sensitive than serological methods. The use of real-time RT-PCR also avoids the need for any post-amplification processing (e.g. gel electrophoresis) and is therefore quicker | United States of America For clarity <i>Category : EDITORIAL</i> | Incorporated |

| # | Para | Text | Comment | SC's response |
|----|------|--|---|---|
| | | with and less opportunity for prone to contamination (with the target DNA) than conventional PCR. | | |
| 87 | 83 | Molecular methods using reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) may be more expensive or time consuming than serological methods, especially for large-scale testing. However, molecular methods, especially real-time RT-PCR, are generally more sensitive than serological methods. The use of real-time RT-PCR also avoids the need for any post-amplification processing (e.g. gel electrophoresis) and is therefore quicker with less opportunity for contamination (with the target DNA) than conventional PCR. | European Union The general introduction needs to be updated, since molecular tests are commonly used for routine and large-scale testing nowadays. Moreover, it is desirable to make an inventory of the currently used molecular tests, since the described tests have been designed long ago and new formats, such as LAMP, have been developed since then (e.g. Ion et al., 2016; https://ijair.org/administrator/components/com_jresearch/files/publications/36_IJAIR_1842_ Final.pdf). It is desirable to provide data and/or references substantiating that all strains, including the recently described strains, will be detected by the respective tests. Furthermore, guidance on using RT-PCR and sequence analysis for detection and identification of PPV should be included. <i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> | Modified (The paragraph has been modified. Also a paragraph has been added to the introduction of Section 3: detection and identification, indicating the use of LAMP and NGS for PPV detection. These have not yet been fully validated. The DP describes basic tests for a diagnostic result. Other tests may be used once properly validated.) |
| 88 | 83 | Molecular methods using reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) may be more expensive or time consuming than serological methods, especially for large-scale testing. However, molecular methods, especially real-time RT-PCR, are generally more sensitive than serological methods. The use of real-time RT-PCR also avoids the need for any post-amplification processing (e.g. gel electrophoresis) and is therefore quicker with less opportunity for contamination (with the target DNA) than conventional PCR. | European Union Recently, researchers from Naktuinbouw (NL) designed a new real-time RT-PCR (Taqman) for universal PPV detection, based on currently available sequence data from GenBank. Preliminary results are promising and validation is in progress. If the performance characteristics fulfil the requirements, Naktuinbouw is willing to provide the details so that the test can be included in both the IPPC and EPPO protocols. <i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> | Considered but not incorporated. (The DP describes some basic tests for getting a diagnostic result. Other tests may be used once properly validated. This document is not meant to be a review article where all available tests are listed.) |
| 89 | 83 | Molecular methods using reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) may be more expensive or time consuming than serological methods, especially for large-scale testing. However, molecular methods, especially real-time RT-PCR, are generally more sensitive than serological methods. The use of real-time RT-PCR also avoids the need for any post-amplification processing (e.g. gel electrophoresis) and is therefore quicker with less opportunity for contamination (with the target DNA) than conventional PCR. | EPPO Category : TECHNICAL | Modified (The paragraph has been modified. Also a paragraph has been added to the introduction of the section detection and identification, indicating the use of LAMP and NGS for PPV detection. These have not yet been fully validated. The DP describes basic tests for a diagnostic result. Other tests may be used once properly validated.) |
| 90 | 83 | Molecular methods using reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) may be more expensive or time consuming than serological | EPPO The general introduction needs to be updated, | Modified |

| # | Para | Text | Comment | SC's response |
|----|------|--|--|--|
| | | methods, especially for large-scale testing. However, molecular methods, especially real-time RT-PCR, are generally more sensitive than serological methods. The use of real-time RT-PCR also avoids the need for any post- amplification processing (e.g. gel electrophoresis) and is therefore quicker with less opportunity for contamination (with the target DNA) than conventional PCR. | since molecular tests are commonly used for routine and large-scale testing nowadays. Moreover, it is desirable to make an inventory of the currently used molecular tests, since the described tests have been designed long ago and new formats, such as LAMP, have been developed since then (e.g. Ion et al., 2016; https://ijair.org/administrator/components/co m_jresearch/files/publications/36_IJAIR_1842_ Final.pdf). It is desirable to provide data and/or references substantiating that all strains, including the recently described strains, will be detected by the respective tests. Furthermore, guidance on using RT-PCR and sequence analysis for detection and identification of PPV should be included. <i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> | (The paragraph has been modified. Also a paragraph has been added to the introduction of the section detection and identification, indicating the use of LAMP and NGS for PPV detection. These have not yet been fully validated. The DP describes basic tests for a diagnostic result. Other tests may be used once properly validated.) |
| 91 | 83 | Molecular methods using reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) may be more expensive or time consuming than serological methods, especially for large-scale testing. However, molecular methods, especially real-time RT-PCR, are generally more sensitive than serological methods. The use of real-time RT-PCR also avoids the need for any post-amplification processing (e.g. gel electrophoresis) and is therefore quicker with less opportunity for contamination (with the target DNA) than conventional PCR. | Philippines However, molecular methods, especially real- time RT-PCR, are generally more sensitive than serological methods. <i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> | Modified. To improve accuracy |
| 92 | 84 | With the exception of IC-RT-PCR (for which RNA isolation is not required), RNA extraction should be conducted using appropriately validated protocols. The samples should be placed in individual plastic bags to avoid cross-contamination during extraction. Alternatively, for real-time RT-PCR, spotted plant extracts, printed tissue sections or squashes of plant material can be immobilized on blotting paper or nylon membranes and analysed by real-time RT-PCR (Olmos <i>et al.</i> , 2005; Osman and Rowhani, 2006; Capote <i>et al.</i> , 2009). It is not recommended that spotted or tissue-printed samples be used in conventional PCR because of the lower sensitivity compared with real-time RT-PCR. | Philippines RNA extraction should be conducted using appropriately validated protocols. (Please include RNA Extraction Protocol as attachment of this Annex) <i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> | Considered but not incorporated. The paragraph was maintained as is for accuracy. |
| 93 | 85 | Each of the following methods describes the volume of extracted sample that should be used as a template. Depending on the sensitivity of the method, the minimum concentration of template required to detect PPV varies as follows: RT- PCR, 100 fg RNA template/ml; Co-RT-PCR, 1 fg RNA template/ml; and real- time RT-PCR, 2 fg RNA template/ml. | European Union The information does not seem very useful when testing field samples. It should be indicated, how much plant material is needed to obtain 100 fg of RNA. This will depend on the applied extraction protocols. However, if there are any data available to give an Information on the quantity of plant material it should be stated. | Considered but not incorporated. (Impossible to give accurate tissue volumes to obtain the RNA concentrations recommended as the concentration obtained after extraction will depend on method, tissue type, tissue quality, reagents, etc.) |

Compiled comments with TPDP's responses – 2016-007: Draft revision of Annex to ISPM 27 – DP02 - Plum pox virus

| # | Para | Text | Comment | SC's response |
|----|------|--|---|--|
| | | | Category : TECHNICAL | |
| 94 | 85 | Each of the following methods describes the volume of extracted sample that should be used as a template. Depending on the sensitivity of the method, the minimum concentration of template required to detect PPV varies as follows: RT- PCR, 100 fg RNA template/ml; Co-RT-PCR, 1 fg RNA template/ml; and real- time RT-PCR, 2 fg RNA template/ml. | EPPO Category : TECHNICAL | Considered but not incorporated. (Impossible to give accurate tissue volumes to obtain the RNA concentrations recommended as the concentration obtained after extraction will depend on method, tissue type, tissue quality, reagents, etc.) |
| 95 | 85 | Each of the following methods describes the volume of extracted sample that should be used as a template. Depending on the sensitivity of the method, the minimum concentration of template required to detect PPV varies as follows: RT- PCR, 100 fg RNA template/ml; Co-RT-PCR, 1 fg RNA template/ml; and real- time RT-PCR, 2 fg RNA template/ml. | Philippines We recommend deletion of this paragraph because we have to be certain on the sensitivity of the method if this will be adopted as standard diagnostic protocol. <i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> | Considered but not incorporated. (The paragraph provides useful and valuable information. Other tests and approaches may be used once properly validated.) |
| 96 | 87 | The RT-PCR primers used in this method are either the primers of Wetzel <i>et al.</i> (1991): | European Union Please provide more details on the performance of these tests, i.e. the sensitivity expressed in relative infection rate; specificity in terms of strains as provided in the paper of Wetzel et al. (1992) instead of isolates from different countries. Moreover, information on the sample preparation (RNA extraction) is not provided. <i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> | Modified. (A sentence was added and clarification provided. Sensitivity based on relative infection rates are not described in any available publication.) |
| 97 | 87 | The RT-PCR primers used in this method are either the primers of Wetzel <i>et al.</i> (1991): | EPPO Please provide more details on the performance of these tests, i.e. the sensitivity expressed in relative infection rate; specificity in terms of strains as provided in the paper of Wetzel et al. (1992) instead of isolates from different countries. Moreover, information on the sample preparation (RNA extraction) is not provided. <i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> | Modified. (A sentence was added and clarification provided. Sensitivity based on relative infection rates are not described in any available publication) |
| 98 | 93 | The 25 µl reaction mixture is composed as follows: 1 µM of each primer (P1 and P2, or the 3'NCR primer pair), 250 µM dNTPs, 1 unit <i>Avian myeloblastosis virus</i> (AMV) reverse transcriptase, 0.5 units Taq DNA polymerase, 2.5 µl 10× Taq polymerase buffer, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 0.3% Triton X-100 and 5 µl RNA template. The reaction is performed under the following thermocycling conditions: 45 min at 42 °C, 2 min at 94 °C, 40 cycles of 30 s at 94 °C, 30 s at either 60 °C (P1 and P2 primers) or 62 °C (3'NCR primers), and 1 min at 72 °C, followed by a final extension for 10 min at 72 °C. The PCR products are analysed by gel | European Union In Austria 10 µl and a commercial one step kit is used. This may be considered in an additional PCR protocol. <i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> | Considered but not incorporated. The test is given as described in the publication. Alternative tests or modifications of existing tests may be used once properly validated. |

| # | Para | Text | Comment | SC's response |
|-----|------|--|---|--|
| | | electrophoresis. The P1/P2 pair of primers produces a 243 base pair (bp) amplicon and the 3'NCR primers produce a 220 bp amplicon. | | |
| 99 | 93 | The 25 μ l reaction mixture is composed as follows: 1 μ M of each primer (P1 and P2, or the 3'NCR primer pair), 250 μ M dNTPs, 1 unit <i>Avian myeloblastosis virus</i> (AMV) reverse transcriptase, 0.5 units Taq DNA polymerase, 2.5 μ l 10× Taq polymerase buffer, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 0.3% Triton X-100 and 5 μ l RNA template. The reaction is performed under the following thermocycling conditions: 45 min at 42 °C, 2 min at 94 °C, 40 cycles of 30 s at 94 °C, 30 s at either 60 °C (P1 and P2 primers) or 62 °C (3'NCR primers), and 1 min at 72 °C, followed by a final extension for 10 min at 72 °C. The PCR products are analysed by gel electrophoresis. The P1/P2 pair of primers produces a 243 base pair (bp) amplicon and the 3'NCR primers produce a 220 bp amplicon. | EPPO In Austria 10 µl and a commercial one step kit is used. This may be considered in an additional PCR protocol <i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> | Considered but not incorporated. The test is given as described in the publication. Alternative tests or modifications of existing tests may be used once properly validated. |
| 100 | 96 | The immunocapture phase should be performed according to Wetzel <i>et al.</i> (1992), using plant sap extracted as in section 3.2 using individual tubes or plastic bags to avoid contamination. | European Union This test uses the same primers as the test described in 3.3.1. The difference concerns the sample preparation, i.e. immunocapture using not further specified polyclonal antibodies or 5B-IVIA. It should be noted that the antiserum of Sanofi, used for the original test described by Wetzel et al. (1992) is no longer available. Furthermore, immunocapture appears sensitive to cross contamination compared to direct RNA extraction (e.g.RNeasy), especially when positive samples are present. Finally, it is not clear if the pH of the extraction buffer should be adapted 6.0 (see 3.2.1). Therefore, we have doubts whether this test should be recommended. <i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> | Modified. In this DP it is indicated that other tests or reagents may be used, once properly validated. The comment in 3.2.1 (now 3.5.1) was in relation to the ELISA extraction buffer. The change of pH is needed for reliable detection of isolates of PPV Cherry Russian (CR) when using Mab 5B in ELISA. This has not been described as required for isolates of other strains using IC-RT PCR. IC-RT PCR is known to be more sensitive and it is normal laboratory practice to avoid cross contamination. |
| 101 | 96 | The immunocapture phase should be performed according to Wetzel <i>et al.</i> (1992), using plant sap extracted as in section 3.2 using individual tubes or plastic bags to avoid contamination. | EPPO This test uses the same primers as the test described in 3.3.1. The difference concerns the sample preparation, i.e. immunocapture using not further specified polyclonal antibodies or 5B-IVIA. It should be noted that the antiserum of Sanofi, used for the original test described by Wetzel et al. (1992) is no longer available. Furthermore, immunocapture appears sensitive to cross contamination compared to direct RNA extraction (e.g.RNeasy), especially when positive samples are present. Finally, it is not clear if the pH of the extraction buffer should be adapted 6.0 (see 3.2.1). Therefore, we have doubts whether this test should be recommended. | Modified. In this DP it is indicated that other tests or reagents may be used, once properly validated. The comment in 3.2.1 (3.5.1) was in relation to the ELISA extraction buffer. The change of pH is needed for reliable detection of isolates of PPV Cherry Russian (CR) when using Mab 5B-IVIA in ELISA. This has not been described as required for isolates of other strains using IC-RT PCR. IC-RT PCR is known to be more sensitive and it is normal laboratory practice to avoid cross contamination. |

Compiled comments with TPDP's responses - 2016-007: Draft revision of Annex to ISPM 27 - DP02 - Plum pox virus

| # | Para | Text | Comment | SC's response |
|-----|------|---|--|---|
| | | | Category : TECHNICAL | |
| 102 | 97 | A dilution $(1 \ \mu g/ml)$ is prepared of polyclonal antibodies or PPV-specific monoclonal antibody (5B-IVIA) in carbonate buffer pH 9.6. Aliquots of 100 μ l diluted antibody are dispensed into PCR tubes and incubated at 37 °C for 3 h. The tubes are then washed twice with 150 μ l sterile PBS-Tween (washing buffer), and rinsed twice with RNase-free water. Plant extract (100 μ l; see section 3.2) is clarified by centrifugation (5 min at 15 500 g), and the supernatant added to the coated PCR tubes. The tubes are incubated for 2 h on ice or at 37 °C, and then washed three times with 150 μ l sterile PBS-Tween. The RT-PCR reaction mixture is prepared as described in section 3.3.1 using the primers of Wetzel <i>et al.</i> (1992), and added directly to the coated PCR tubes. The amplification is performed as described in section 3.3.1. | Philippines It was mentioned that some Polyclonal Antibodies are not specific and have limited sensitivity, why recommend this? <i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> | Modified. Optimization and validation is necessary before using any test. Some modification and clarification have been made to improve accuracy. |
| 103 | 100 | The RT-PCR primers used in this co-operational (Co)-RT-PCR are the primers of Olmos-Wetzel et al. (2002)(1991): | European Union Category : TECHNICAL | Modified (Both Olmos et al., 2002 and Wetzel et al., 1992 primers are now indicated clearly.) |
| 104 | 100 | The RT-PCR primers used in this co-operational (Co)-RT-PCR are the primers of Olmos <i>et al.</i> (2002): | EPPO Is this still a commonly used method/test? No information is provided on the specificity. <i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> | Considered but not incorporated. The (Co)-RT-PCR is indicated as being 100 times more sensitive than RT-PCR so is a useful confirmational test, not necessarily a routine test. |
| 105 | 109 | Real-time RT-PCR can be performed using either TaqMan or SYBR [®] Green I. Two TaqMan methods have been described for universal detection of PPV (Schneider <i>et al.</i> , 2004; Olmos <i>et al.</i> , 2005). The primers and TaqMan probe used in the first method are those reported by Schneider <i>et al.</i> (2004): | European Union The test described by Varga and James (2005) presents another test for universal detection and identification of D and M strains. How does this test perform in comparison the previous one? The fact that it is a two-step protocol is a disadvantage because of the risk of cross contamination. Furthermore , the same remarks apply as for the previous tests. Since the nad5 primers have been designed by Menzel et al (2002), this reference should be included. <i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> | Modified. Descriptions of test comparisons have not yet been published. The Menzel et al. (2002) reference has been added. |
| 106 | 109 | Real-time RT-PCR can be performed using either TaqMan or SYBR [®] Green I. Two TaqMan methods have been described for universal detection of PPV (Schneider <i>et al.</i> , 2004; Olmos <i>et al.</i> , 2005). The primers and TaqMan probe used in the first method are those reported by Schneider <i>et al.</i> (2004): | EPPO <i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> | Modified. Descriptions of test comparisons have not yet been published. The Menzel et al. (2002) reference has been added. |
| 107 | 109 | <u>3.3.4.1</u> Real-time RT-PCR can be performed using either TaqMan or SYBR [®] Green I. Two TaqMan methods have been described for universal | Philippines | Considered but not incorporated. |

| # | Para | Text | Comment | SC's response |
|-----|------|---|---|---|
| | | detection of PPV (Schneider <i>et al.</i> , 2004; Olmos <i>et al.</i> , 2005). The primers and TaqMan probe used in the first method are those reported by Schneider <i>et al.</i> (2004): | Category : EDITORIAL | The draft DP has been edited and modified and the section numbers changed. Even though it is possible to have a four level section, the recommendation is to avoid a fourth level of section. |
| 108 | 113 | The 25 μ l reaction mixture is composed as follows: 1× reaction mix (0.2 mM of each dNTP and 1.2 mM MgSO4), 200 nM each of forward and reverse primers, 100 nM TaqMan probe, 4.8 mM MgSO4, 0.5 μ l RT/Platinum® Taq mix (Superscript® One-Step RT-PCR with Platinum® Taq DNA polymerase; Invitrogen) ² and 5 μ l RNA template. The RT-PCR is performed under the following thermocycling conditions: 15 min at 52 °C, 5 min at 95 °C, 60 cycles of 15 s at 95 °C, and 30 s at 60 °C. The PCR products are analysed in real-time according to the equipment manufacturer's instructions. | European Union In Austria 10 µl and a commercial one step kit is used. This may be considered in an additional PCR protocol. <i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> | Considered but not incorporated. The test is given as described in the publication. Alternative tests or modifications of existing tests may be used once properly validated. |
| 109 | 113 | The 25 µl reaction mixture is composed as follows: 1× reaction mix (0.2 mM of each dNTP and 1.2 mM MgSO4), 200 nM each of forward and reverse primers, 100 nM TaqMan probe, 4.8 mM MgSO4, 0.5 µl RT/Platinum® Taq mix (Superscript® One-Step RT-PCR with Platinum® Taq DNA polymerase; Invitrogen) ² and 5 µl RNA template. The RT-PCR is performed under the following thermocycling conditions: 15 min at 52 °C, 5 min at 95 °C, 60 cycles of 15 s at 95 °C, and 30 s at 60 °C. The PCR products are analysed in real-time according to the equipment manufacturer's instructions. | EPPO In Austria 10 μl and a commercial one step kit is used. This may be considered in an additional PCR protocol <i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> | Considered but not incorporated. The test is given as described in the publication. Alternative tests or modifications of existing tests may be used once properly validated. |
| 110 | 114 | In this diagnostic protocol, methods (including reference to brand names) are described as published, as these define the original level of sensitivity, specificity and reproducibility achieved. The use of names of reagents, chemicals or equipment in these diagnostic protocols implies no approval of them to the exclusion of others that may also be suitable. Laboratory procedures presented in This information is given for the protocols convenience of users of this protocol and does not constitute an endorsement by the CPM of the chemical, reagent and or equipment named. Equivalent products may be adjusted used if they can be shown to lead to the standards of individual laboratories, provided that these are adequately validated same results. | Uruguay Text deleted to avoid repetition with paragraph 68. Text added according to text agreed for footnotes Category : TECHNICAL | Incorporated. The text in the main body of the document and the footnote has been adjusted to avoid repetition while still including all relevant information. |
| 111 | 116 | <u>3.3.4.2</u> The primers and TaqMan probe used in the second method are those reported by Olmos <i>et al.</i> (2005): | Philippines <i>Category : EDITORIAL</i> | Considered but not incorporated. The draft DP has been edited and modified and the section numbers changed. Even though it is possible to have a four level section, the recommendation is to avoid a fourth level of section. |

Compiled comments with TPDP's responses - 2016-007: Draft revision of Annex to ISPM 27 - DP02 - Plum pox virus

| # | Para | Text | Comment | SC's response |
|-----|------|---|---|---|
| 112 | 123 | <u>3.3.4.3</u> Varga and James (2005) described a SYBR [®] Green I method for the simultaneous detection of PPV and identification of D and M strains: | Philippines <i>Category : EDITORIAL</i> | Considered but not incorporated. The draft DP has been edited and modified and the section numbers changed. Even though it is possible to have a four level section, the recommendation is to avoid a fourth level of section. |
| 113 | 139 | This section describes additional methods (using DASI-ELISA, RT-PCR, Co-RT-PCR and real-time RT-PCR) for identification of PPV strains (see Figure 1). Strain identification is not an essential component of PPV identification but an NPPO may wish to determine the identity of the strain to assist in predicting its epidemiological behaviour. | European Union It is confusing that this introduction refers to RT-PCR sequencing as the method for identification of strains, whereas only semi- specific molecular tests are described in this section. See also general comment. Furthermore, no information is included on the identification of the T, An and TAT strains mentioned in section 1. How should these strains be identified? Moreover, for sequence analysis, information should be provided on the regions to be used for identification as well as the database to be used for reference. <i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> | Modified. A sentence has been added to Paragraph 140 that indicates that no tests have been described for the identification of the strains An and T as as yet there is no publication describing such a test. Perhaps too few isolates of these strains have been described to allow validation. |
| 114 | 139 | This section describes additional methods (using DASI-ELISA, RT-PCR, Co-RT-PCR and real-time RT-PCR) for identification of PPV strains (see Figure 1). Strain identification is not an essential component of PPV identification but an NPPO may wish to determine the identity of the strain to assist in predicting its epidemiological behaviour. | EPPO It is confusing that this introduction refers to RT-PCR sequencing as the method for identification of strains, whereas only semi- specific molecular tests are described in this section. See also general comment. Furthermore, no information is included on the identification of the T, An and TAT strains mentioned in section 1. How should these strains be identified? Moreover, for sequence analysis, information should be provided on the regions to be used for identification as well as the database to be used for reference. <i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> | Modified. A sentence has been added to Paragraph 140 that indicates that no tests have been described for the identification of the strains An and T as as yet there is no publication describing such a test. Perhaps too few isolates of these strains have been described to allow validation. |
| 115 | 139 | This section describes additional methods-steps for identification of PPV <u>Strains</u> (using DASI-ELISA, RT-PCR, Co-RT-PCR and real-time RT- PCR) for identification of PPV strains- <u>RT-PCR</u>) (see Figure 1). Strain identification is not an essential component of PPV identification but an NPPO may wish to determine the identity of the strain to assist in predicting its epidemiological behaviour. | Philippines Category : SUBSTANTIVE | Incorporated |
| 116 | 141 | | United States of America Part of this table is confusing. For the box on the lower right, under "negative". Suggest it | Incorporated. |

| # | Para | Text | Comment | SC's response |
|-----|------|---|---|--|
| | | | say instead "Plum pox virus present: atypical isolate of known strain (An, C, CR) present, OR other undescribed strain" <i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> | |
| 117 | 141 | Delete "atypical strain An, C, CR, D, EA, M, Rec, T or W present, or". Suggest sequencing to confirm the first findings. | China For PPV strains or other undescribed strains should be identified through sequencing and blasting to avoid false positive results. <i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> | Considered but not incorporated Guidance is provided in Section 4 |
| 118 | 141 | | Philippines Figure 1. Steps in the Identification of Strains of PPV <i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> | Incorporated. |
| 119 | 144 | 4.1 Serological identification of strains | China Firstly, it is very difficult for most people to get the Mabs. Secondly, sometimes, it is not very accurate when detecting with some antibodies, and maybe it confuses to determinate the results. <i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> | Considered but not incorporated. Other tests and/or reagents may be used once they are properly validated. |
| 120 | 145 | DASI-ELISA for differentiation between the two main PPV strains (D and M) should be performed according to Cambra <i>et al.</i> (1994), using D- and M-specific monoclonal antibodies (Cambra <i>et al.</i> , 1994; Boscia <i>et al.</i> , 1997), according to the manufacturer's instructions. | European Union Consider removing this section, since the described tests are already known not to detect certain isolates of target strains and are not validated. <i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> | Considered but not incorporated. (There is no published data that invalidates this test. Also, other tests and/or reagents may be used once they are properly validated.) |
| 121 | 145 | DASI-ELISA for differentiation between the two main PPV strains (D and M) should be performed according to Cambra <i>et al.</i> (1994), using D and M-specific monoclonal antibodies (Cambra <i>et al.</i> , 1994; Boscia <i>et al.</i> , 1997), according to the manufacturer's instructions. | EPPO Consider removing this section, since it the described tests are already known not to detect certain isolates of target strains and are not validated. <i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> | Considered but not incorporated. (There is no published data that invalidates this test. Also, other tests and/or reagents may be used once they are properly validated.) |
| 122 | 145 | DASI-ELISA for differentiation between the two main PPV strains (D and M) should be performed according to Cambra <i>et al.</i> (1994), using D- and M specific monoclonal antibodies (Cambra <i>et al.</i> , 1994; Boscia <i>et al.</i> , 1997), according to the manufacturer's instructions. | China Firstly, it is very difficult for most people to get the Mabs. Secondly, sometimes, it is not very accurate when detecting with some antibodies, and maybe it confuses to determinate the results. <i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> | Considered but not incorporated. (There is no published data that invalidates this test. Also, other tests and/or reagents may be used once they are properly validated.) |
| 123 | 145 | DASI-ELISA for differentiation between the two main PPV strains (D and M) should be performed according to Cambra <i>et al.</i> (1994), using D- and | Philippines provide protocol as attachment to this Annex <i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> | Considered but not incorporated All adopted ISPMs and their annexes are publically available on the IPPC website. NPPOs and RPPOs |

| # | Para | Text | Comment | SC's response |
|-----|------|---|---|--|
| | | M-specific monoclonal antibodies (Cambra <i>et al.</i> , 1994; Boscia <i>et al.</i> , 1997), according to the manufacturer's instructions. | | need to be informed of availability online, and the existence of contact points to provide any assistance required. Procedures are provided with the commercial kits |
| 124 | 146 | This method has been validated in the DIAGPRO ring test, showing an accuracy of 84% for PPV-D detection and 89% for PPV-M detection (Olmos <i>et al.</i> , 2007; Cambra <i>et al.</i> , 2008). The 4D monoclonal antibody is PPV-D specific but does not react with all PPV-D isolates. Furthermore, the AL monoclonal antibody used for PPV-M detection reacts with isolates belonging to strains M, Rec and T because these groups share the same coat protein sequence. A molecular test is therefore required to differentiate between M, Rec and T strains detected using an M-specific monoclonal antibody. | European Union Consider removing this section, since the described tests are already known not to detect certain isolates of target strains and are not validated. <i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> | Considered but not incorporated. (There is no published data that invalidates this test. Also, other tests and/or reagents may be used once they are properly validated.) |
| 125 | 146 | This method has been validated in the DIAGPRO ring test, showing an accuracy of 84% for PPV-D detection and 89% for PPV-M detection (Olmos <i>et al.</i> , 2007; Cambra <i>et al.</i> , 2008). The 4D monoclonal antibody is PPV-D specific but does not react with all PPV-D isolates. Furthermore, the AL monoclonal antibody used for PPV-M detection reacts with isolates belonging to strains M, Rec and T because these groups share the same coat protein sequence. A molecular test is therefore required to differentiate between M, Rec and T strains detected using an M-specific monoclonal antibody. | EPPO Consider removing this section, since it the described tests are already known not to detect certain isolates of target strains and are not validated. <i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> | Considered but not incorporated. (There is no published data that invalidates this test. Also, other tests and/or reagents may be used once they are properly validated.) |
| 126 | 146 | This method has been validated in the DIAGPRO ring-test, showing an accuracy of 84% for PPV-D detection and 89% for PPV-M detection (Olmos <i>et al.</i> , 2007; Cambra <i>et al.</i> , 2008). The 4D monoclonal antibody is PPV-D specific but does not react with all PPV-D isolates. Furthermore, the AL monoclonal antibody used for PPV-M detection reacts with isolates belonging to strains M, Rec and T because these groups share the same coat protein sequence. A molecular test is therefore required to differentiate between M, Rec and T strains detected using an M-specific monoclonal antibody. | China Firstly, it is very difficult for most people to get the Mabs. Secondly, sometimes, it is not very accurate when detecting with some antibodies, and maybe it confuses to determinate the results. <i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> | Considered but not incorporated. (There is no published data that invalidates this test. Also, other tests and/or reagents may be used once they are properly validated.) |
| 127 | 146 | This method has been validated in the DIAGPRO ring test, showing an accuracy of 84% for PPV-D detection and 89% for PPV-M detection | China Firstly, it is very difficult for most people to get the Mabs. Secondly, sometimes, it is not very | Considered but not incorporated. |

| # | Para | Text | Comment | SC's response |
|-----|------|---|---|--|
| | | (Olmos <i>et al.</i> , 2007; Cambra <i>et al.</i> , 2008). The 4D monoclonal antibody is PPV-D specific but does not react with all PPV-D isolates. Furthermore, the AL monoclonal antibody used for PPV-M detection reacts with isolates belonging to strains M, Rec and T because these groups share the same coat protein sequence. A molecular test is therefore required to differentiate between M, Rec and T strains detected using an M-specific monoclonal antibody. | accurate when detecting with some antibodies, and maybe it confuses to determinate the results. <i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> | (There is no published data that invalidates this test. Also, other tests and/or reagents may be used once they are properly validated.) |
| 128 | 147 | Serological identification of PPV isolates from EA and C groups may be done by DASI-ELISA using the EA- or the C-specific monoclonal antibodies described by Myrta <i>et al.</i> (1998, 2000). However, these tests need to be validated. | European Union Consider removing this section, since the described tests are already known not to detect certain isolates of target strains and are not validated. <i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> | Considered but not incorporated. (There is no published data that invalidates this test. Also, other tests and/or reagents may be used once they are properly validated.) |
| 129 | 147 | Serological identification of PPV isolates from EA and C groups may be done by DASI-ELISA using the EA- or the C-specific monoclonal antibodies described by Myrta <i>et al.</i> (1998, 2000). However, these tests need to be validated. | EPPO Consider removing this section, since it the described tests are already known not to detect certain isolates of target strains and are not validated. <i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> | Considered but not incorporated. (There is no published data that invalidates this test. Also, other tests and/or reagents may be used once they are properly validated.) |
| 130 | 147 | Serological identification of PPV isolates from EA and C groups may be done by DASI ELISA using the EA- or the C specific monoclonal antibodies described by Myrta <i>et al.</i> (1998, 2000). However, these tests need to be validated. | China Firstly, it is very difficult for most people to get the Mabs. Secondly, sometimes, it is not very accurate when detecting with some antibodies, and maybe it confuses to determinate the results. <i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> | Considered but not incorporated. (There is no published data that invalidates this test. Also, other tests and/or reagents may be used once they are properly validated.) |
| 131 | 148 | 4.2 Molecular identification of strains | United States of America Listing all of these assays appears excessive. At the same time primers developed by Nemchinov et all for PPV-SoC detection are not listed. Nowadays people mostly use sequencing for this purposes, especially in cases of trade disputes. Even in developing countries conventional sequencing is not such a hurdle anymore. <i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> | Considered but not incorporated. (Other tests and/or reagents may be used once they are properly validated.) |
| 132 | 148 | 4.2 Molecular identification of strains | European Union Varga and James (2006): C, EA, W Category : TECHNICAL | Modified (Indicated in Section 4.2.4. Also the nad5 primers have the proper citation of Menzel et al. 2002) |
| 133 | 148 | 4.2 Molecular identification of strains | EPPO Varga and James (2006): C, EA, W | Modified |

| # | Para | Text | Comment | SC's response |
|-----|------|---|--|---|
| | | | Category : TECHNICAL | (Indicated in Section 4.2.4. Also the nad5 primers have the proper citation of Menzel et al. 2002) |
| 134 | 149 | 4.2.1 Reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction | European Union Add the sequence of the PM primer that is missing in section 4.2.1. Reverse transcription- polymerase chain reaction. The sequence of primer PM should be added just below the PD primer sequence. <i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> | Modified. (PM primer sequence is indicated in a separate line below the PD primer sequence.) |
| 135 | 149 | 4.2.1 Reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction | EPPO add the sequence of the PM primer that is missing in section 4.2.1. Reverse transcription- polymerase chain reaction. The sequence of primer PM should be added just below the PD primer sequence. <i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> | Modified. (PM primer sequence is indicated in a separate line below the PD primer sequence.) |
| 136 | 150 | <u>4.2.1.1</u> PPV-D and PPV-M are identified using the primers described by Olmos <i>et al.</i> (1997): | Philippines <i>Category : EDITORIAL</i> | Considered but not incorporated. The draft DP has been edited and modified and the section numbers changed. Even though it is possible to have a four level section, the recommendation is to avoid a fourth level of section. |
| 137 | 153 | The 25 μ l reaction mixture is composed as follows: 1 μ M P1 primer, 1 μ M of either PD or PM primer, 250 μ M dNTPs, 1 unit AMV reverse transcriptase (10 units/ μ l), 0.5 units Taq DNA polymerase (5 units/ μ l), 2.5 μ l 10× Taq polymerase buffer, 1.5 mM MgCl ₂ , 0.3% Triton X-100, 2% formamide and 5 μ l RNA template. The RT-PCR is performed under the following thermocycling conditions: 45 min at 42 °C, 2 min at 94 °C, 40 <u>35</u> cycles of 30 s at 94 °C, 30 s at 60 °C, and <u>1 min 30 s</u> at 72 °C, followed by a final extension for 10 min at 72 °C. The PCR products are analysed by gel electrophoresis. The P1/PD pair of primers, and the P1/PM pair of primers, both produce a 198 bp amplicon. Olmos <i>et al.</i> (1997) evaluated their method using six isolates of PPV-D and four PPV-M isolates. | China 30 seconds is enough for extension when PCR is performed using the primer pairs (P1 and P2, or the 3'NCR primers). Also, we think 40 cycles are too many, 35 cycles are enough for PCR in general. <i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> | Considered but not incorporated. The test is described as published. Other tests and/or reagents may be used once they are properly validated. |
| 138 | 154 | <u>4.2.1.2</u> The real-time reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction with SYBR® Green I by Varga and James (2005) described in detail above in section 3.3.4 is also suitable for the identification of D and M strains of PPV. | Philippines <i>Category : EDITORIAL</i> | Considered but not incorporated. The draft DP has been edited and modified and the section numbers changed. Even though it is possible to have a four level section, the |

| # | Para | Text | Comment | SC's response |
|-----|------|---|---|--|
| | | | | recommendation is to avoid a fourth level of section. |
| 139 | 155 | PPV-Rec is identified using the mD5 and mM3 Rec-specific primers described by Šubr <i>et al.</i> (2004): | European Union Missing PCR conditions. <i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> | Incorporated |
| 140 | 155 | PPV-Rec is identified using the mD5 and mM3 Rec-specific primers described by Šubr <i>et al.</i> (2004): | EPPO Missing PCR conditions Category : TECHNICAL | Incorporated |
| 141 | 155 | <u>4.2.1.3</u> PPV-Rec is identified using the mD5 and mM3 Rec-specific primers described by Šubr <i>et al.</i> (2004): | Philippines Category : EDITORIAL | Considered but not incorporated. (see response to comment 107) |
| 142 | 159 | <u>4.2.1.4</u> PPV-CR is identified using the CR8597F and CR9023R primers described by Glasa <i>et al.</i> (2013): | Philippines Category : EDITORIAL | Considered but not incorporated. (see response to comment 107) |
| 143 | 162 | A two-step RT-PCR protocol is used for specific detection of PPV-CR | European Union | Incorporated |
| | | isolates (Glasa <i>et al.</i> , 2013). Complementary (c)DNA is synthesized from total RNA extracts (NucleoSpin® RNA Plant Kit, Macherey-Nagel ⁺²) using | Category : EDITORIAL | |
| | | random hexamer primers and AMV reverse transcriptase. An aliquot of cDNA is then added to the PCR reaction mix containing EmeraldAmp GT | | |
| | | PCR Master Mix (TaKaRa Bio Inc. 42). The PCR is performed under the | | |
| | | following thermocycling conditions: 1 min at 98 °C, 35 cycles of 98 °C | | |
| | | for 30 s, 55 °C for 30 s, 72 °C for 30 s, followed by a final extension at | | |
| | | 72 °C for 5 min. The PCR products are analysed by gel electrophoresis. | | |
| | | The CR-specific primers amplify a product 427 bp in size, targeting the 5' | | |
| | | terminal CP coding region. The specificity of the CR primers was | | |
| | | validated using isolates of PPV strains D, M, Rec, T, W, EA and C (Glasa <i>et al.</i> , 2013). | | |
| 144 | 162 | A two-step RT-PCR protocol is used for specific detection of PPV-CR | Japan Add information on master mix composition for | Considered but not incorporated. |
| | | isolates (Glasa <i>et al.</i> , 2013). Complementary (c)DNA is synthesized from | two-step RT-PCR. It is necessary information in | The EmeraldAmp GT PCR Master |
| | | total RNA extracts (NucleoSpin® RNA Plant Kit, Macherey-Nagel ¹) using | molecular methods. | Mix (TaKaRa Bio) is proprietary |
| | | random hexamer primers and AMV reverse transcriptase. An aliquot of | Category : TECHNICAL | information. Other tests and/or reagents may be used once they |
| | | cDNA is then added to the PCR reaction mix containing EmeraldAmp GT PCR Master Mix (TaKaRa Bio Inc. ¹). The PCR is performed under the | | are properly validated |
| | | following thermocycling conditions: 1 min at 98 °C, 35 cycles of 98 °C | | |
| | | for 30 s, 55 °C for 30 s, 72 °C for 30 s, followed by a final extension at | | |
| | | $72 ^{\circ}$ C for 5 min. The PCR products are analysed by gel electrophoresis. | | |
| | | The CR-specific primers amplify a product 427 bp in size, targeting the 5' | | |
| | | terminal CP coding region. The specificity of the CR primers was | | |

Compiled comments with TPDP's responses - 2016-007: Draft revision of Annex to ISPM 27 - DP02 - Plum pox virus

| # | Para | Text | Comment | SC's response |
|-----|------|--|---|---|
| | | validated using isolates of PPV strains D, M, Rec, T, W, EA and C (Glasa <i>et al.</i> , 2013). | | |
| 145 | 162 | A two-step RT-PCR protocol is used for specific detection of PPV-CR isolates (Glasa <i>et al.</i> , 2013). Complementary (c)DNA is synthesized from total RNA extracts (NucleoSpin® RNA Plant Kit, Macherey-Nagel ⁺²) using random hexamer primers and AMV reverse transcriptase. An aliquot of cDNA is then added to the PCR reaction mix containing EmeraldAmp GT PCR Master Mix (TaKaRa Bio Inc. ⁺²). The PCR is performed under the following thermocycling conditions: 1 min at 98 °C, 35 cycles of 98 °C for 30 s, 55 °C for 30 s, 72 °C for 30 s, followed by a final extension at 72 °C for 5 min. The PCR products are analysed by gel electrophoresis. The CR-specific primers amplify a product 427 bp in size, targeting the 5' terminal CP coding region. The specificity of the CR primers was validated using isolates of PPV strains D, M, Rec, T, W, EA and C (Glasa <i>et al.</i> , 2013). | EPPO We note that this is IPPC editorial policy. <i>Category : EDITORIAL</i> | NOTED |
| 146 | 171 | <u>4.2.4.1</u> PPV-D and PPV-M are specifically identified using either SYBR [®] Green I chemistry according to the method of Varga and James (2005) (see section 3.3.4) or the TaqMan method described by Capote <i>et al.</i> (2006). | Philippines <i>Category : EDITORIAL</i> | Considered but not incorporated. The draft DP has been edited and modified and the section numbers changed. Even though it is possible to have a four level section, the recommendation is to avoid a fourth level of section. |
| 147 | 178 | <u>4.2.4.2</u> PPV-C, PPV-EA and PPV-W are specifically identified using SYBR® Green I chemistry according to the method of Varga and James (2006). The primers used in this method are: | Philippines <i>Category : EDITORIAL</i> | Considered but not incorporated. The draft DP has been edited and modified and the section numbers changed. Even though it is possible to have a four level section, the recommendation is to avoid a fourth level of section. |
| 148 | 179 | P1 (5' ACC GAG ACC ACT ACA CTC CC 3') | China One-step RT-SYBR Green was used for the detection of PPV-C, -EA,-W but P1 primer was not used here, and I suggest deleting the P1 primer. <i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> | Considered but not incorporated. The P1 primer is an essential part of the one-tube real-time RT-PCR described by Varga and James (2006a). |
| 149 | 192 | Positive nucleic acid control. This control is used to monitor the efficiency of the test method (apart from the extraction) and, in RT-PCR, the amplification. Pre-prepared (stored) Total plant or viral RNA or PPV-infected plant material material, including printed on a membrane may be | United States of America Plasmid DNA controls also could be used if PPV infected tissue or total plant/virus RNA is not available. <i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> | Modified. PPV-infected plant material printed on a membrane is more accurate in this context so kept. |

| # | Para | Text | Comment | SC's response |
|-----|------|---|--|--|
| | | used. The stored RNA or PPV preparations should be verified periodically to determine the quality of the control with increased storage time. | | |
| 150 | 192 | Positive nucleic acid control. This control is used to monitor the efficiency of the test method (apart from the extraction) and, in RT-PCR, the amplification. Pre-prepared (stored) RNA or PPV-infected plant material printed on a membrane may be used. The stored RNA or PPV preparations should be verified periodically to determine the quality of the control with increased storage time.time plus d'éclaircissement sur l'utilité de l'emploi du terme healthy plant (plant sain) dans le cas du positive extraction control sachant que ce dernier ne peut pas être extrait d'un healthy plant ; | Algeria Category : TECHNICAL | Considered but not incorporated. Negative controls are described separately. |
| 151 | 192 | Positive nucleic acid control. This control is used to monitor the efficiency of the test method (apart from the extraction) and, in RT-PCR, the amplification. Pre-prepared (stored) RNA or PPV-infected plant material printed on a membrane may be used. The stored RNA or PPV preparations should be verified periodically to determine the quality of the control with increased storage time. | Philippines we suggest that this para will recommend the use of Certified Reference Material, which is important in case of dispute in diagnosis arise bet NPPO. <i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> | Considered but not incorporated. If available a NPPO may certainly decide to use certified reference material. |
| 152 | 193 | Internal control. For the real-time-RT-PCR, mRNA of the mitochondrial gene <i>NADH dehydrogenase</i> 5 (<i>nad5</i>) could be incorporated into the RT-PCR protocol as an internal control to eliminate the possibility of RT-PCR false negatives due to nucleic acid extraction failure or degradation or the presence of RT-PCR inhibitors. | United States of America Menzel, W., Jelkmann, W., Maiss, E., 2002. Detection of four apple viruses by multiplex RT-PCR assays with coamplification of plant mRNA as internal control. J. Virol. Methods 99, 81–92. <i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> | Incorporated. |
| 153 | 195 | Positive extraction control. This control is used to ensure that the target nucleic acid extracted is of sufficient quantity and quality for RT-PCR and that the target virus is detectable. Nucleic acid is extracted from <u>PPV</u> infected host tissue, or healthy plant or insect tissues that have been spiked with PPV. | United States of America For clarity Category : EDITORIAL | Incorporated |
| 154 | 197 | Negative extraction control. This control is used to monitor contamination during nucleic acid extraction and cross-reaction with the host tissue. The control comprises nucleic acid that is extracted from uninfected host tissue and subsequently amplified. It is recommended that multiple controls be included <u>in random order</u> when large numbers of positive samples are expected. | United States of America For accuracy <i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> | Incorporated |
| 155 | 198 | In the case of immunocapture RT-PCR where no nucleic extraction is performed, plant sap from a known PPV positive should be used as a positive control, and plant sap from a healthy plant should be used as a negative control. A negative amplification control may also be included. The latter control is used to rule out | United States of America Negative amplification control described in 194 still may be needed. <i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> | Incorporated |

| # | Para | Text | Comment | SC's response |
|-----|------|--|--|--|
| | | false positives due to contamination during the preparation of the reaction mixture. RNase-free PCR-grade water that was used to prepare the reaction mixture is added at the amplification stage for use as a negative amplification control. | | |
| 156 | 201 | In instances where other contracting parties may be affected by the results of the diagnosis, in particular in cases of non-compliance and where the virus is found in an area for the first time, the following additional material should be kept: | United States of America This section should give some guidance on duration of time to keep/preserve the samples. As mentioned, different labs follow different protocols. <i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> | Incorporated |
| 157 | 201 | In instances where other contracting parties may be affected by the results of the diagnosis, in particular in cases of non-compliance and where the virus is found in an area for the first time, the following additional material should be keptkept for at least one year: | European Union Important precision to be given (duration consistent with other DPs). <i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> | Incorporated |
| 158 | 201 | In instances where other contracting parties may be affected by the results of the diagnosis, in particular in cases of non-compliance and where the virus is found in an area for the first time, the following additional material should be keptkept for at least one year: | EPPO Important precision to be given (duration consistent with other DPs). <i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> | Incorporated |
| 159 | 202 | The original sample (labelled appropriately for traceability) should be kept frozen frozen, if possible, at – 80 °C or freeze-dried and kept at room temperature. | United States of America See United States comment in paragraph 201 <i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> | Incorporated |
| 160 | 203 | If relevant, RNA extractions <u>extracts</u> should be kept at – 80 °C and spotted plant extracts or printed tissue sections (paper on paper or nylon membranes) should be kept at room temperature. | European Union <i>Category : EDITORIAL</i> | Incorporated |
| 161 | 203 | If relevant, RNA extractions <u>extracts</u> should be kept at – 80 °C and spotted plant extracts or printed tissue sections (paper on paper or nylon membranes) should be kept at room temperature. | EPPO <i>Category : EDITORIAL</i> | Incorporated |
| 162 | 205 | 6. Contact Points for Further Information | Viet Nam This section move to Appendix 1 Category : EDITORIAL | Considered but not incorporated. The current format is in line with the IPPC protocol's format. |
| 163 | 206 | United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS), Plant Protection and Quarantine (PPQ), Registrations Identifications Permits and Plant Safeguarding | Viet Nam para 206 move to Appendix 1 <i>Category : EDITORIAL</i> | Considered but not incorporated. The current format is in line with the IPPC protocol's format. |

| # | Para | Text | Comment | SC's response |
|-----|------|--|---|--|
| | | (RIPPS), Molecular Diagnostic Laboratory, BARC Building 580, Powder | | |
| | | Mill Road, Beltsville, Maryland 20705, United States of America (Ms | | |
| | | Laurene Levy, e-mail: Laurene.Levy@aphis.usda.gov; tel.: +1 | | |
| | | 3015045700; fax: +1 3015046124). | | |
| 164 | 206 | United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS), Plant Protection and Quarantine (PPQ), Registrations Identifications Permits-Science and Plant Safeguarding (RIPPS), Molecular Diagnostic Technology Beltsville Laboratory, BARC Building Bldg. 580, BARC-East, Powder Mill RoadRd., Beltsville, Maryland-MD 20705, United States of America-USA (Ms Laurene LevyVessela Mavrodieva, e-mail: vessela.a.mavrodieva@aphis.usda.gov, tel: +1 3013139208; fax: +1 3023139232).Laurene.Levy@aphis.usda.gov; tel.: +1 3015045700; fax: | United States of America Updated the USDA laboratory contact information. <i>Category : EDITORIAL</i> | Incorporated. |
| | | +1 3015046124). | | |
| 165 | 207 | Equipe de Virologie Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique (INRA), Centre de Bordeaux, UMR GD2P, IBVM, BP 81, F-33883 Villenave d'Ornon Cedex, France (Mr Thierry Candresse, e-mail: tc@bordeaux.inra.fr; tel.: +33 557122389; fax: +33 557122384). | Viet Nam para 207 move to Appendix 1 <i>Category : EDITORIAL</i> | Considered but not incorporated. The current format is in line with the IPPC protocol's format. |
| 166 | 208 | Faculty of Horticultural Science, Department of Plant Pathology, Corvinus University, Villányi út 29-43, H-1118 Budapest, Hungary (Mr Laszlo Palkovics, e-mail: <u>laszlo.palkovics@uni-corvinus.hu</u> ; tel.: +36 14825438; fax: +36 14825023). | Viet Nam para 208 move to Appendix 1 <i>Category : EDITORIAL</i> | Considered but not incorporated. The current format is in line with the IPPC protocol's format. |
| 167 | 209 | Institute of Virology, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Dúbravská, 84505 Bratislava, Slovakia (Mr Miroslav Glasa, e-mail: <u>virumig@savba.sk</u> ; tel.: +421-259302447; fax: +421-254774284). | Viet Nam para 2096 move to Appendix 1 <i>Category : EDITORIAL</i> | Considered but not incorporated. The current format is in line with the IPPC protocol's format. |
| 168 | 210 | Instituto Valenciano de Investigaciones Agrarias (IVIA), Plant Protection and Biotechnology Centre, Carretera Moncada Náquera km 5, 46113 Moncada (Valencia), Spain (Mr Antonio Olmos, e-mail: <u>aolmos@ivia.es</u> ; tel.: +34 963424000; fax: +34 963424001). | Viet Nam para 210 move to Appendix 1 <i>Category : EDITORIAL</i> | Considered but not incorporated. The current format is in line with the IPPC protocol's format. |
| 169 | 211 | Istituto di Virologia Vegetale del CNR, sezione di Bari, via Amendola 165/A, I-70126 Bari, Italy (Mr Donato Boscia, e-mail: d.boscia@ba.ivv.cnr.it; tel.: +39 0805443067; fax: +39 0805442911). | Viet Nam para 211 move to Appendix 1 <i>Category : EDITORIAL</i> | Considered but not incorporated. The current format is in line with the IPPC protocol's format. |
| 170 | 212 | Sidney Laboratory, Canadian Food Inspection Agency (CFIA), British Columbia, V8L 1H3 Sidney, Canada (Mr Delano James, e-mail: <u>Delano.James@inspection.gc.ca</u> ; tel.: +1 250 3636650; fax: +1 250 3636661). | Viet Nam para 212 move to Appendix 1 <i>Category : EDITORIAL</i> | Considered but not incorporated. The current format is in line with the IPPC protocol's format. |

International Plant Protection Convention

Compiled comments with TPDP's responses - 2016-007: Draft revision of Annex to ISPM 27 - DP02 - Plum pox virus

| # | Para | Text | Comment | SC's response |
|-----|------|--|--|--|
| 171 | 213 | A request for a revision to a diagnostic protocol may be submitted by national plant protection organizations (NPPOs), regional plant protection organizations (RPPOs) or Commission on Phytosanitary Measures (CPM) subsidiary bodies through the IPPC Secretariat (<u>ippe@fao.org</u>), who will in turn forward it to the Technical Panel on Diagnostic Protocols (TPDP). | Viet Nam para 213 move to Appendix 1 <i>Category : EDITORIAL</i> | Considered but not incorporated. The current format is in line with the IPPC protocol's format. |
| 172 | 214 | 7. Acknowledgements | Viet Nam This section move to Appendix 2 <i>Category : EDITORIAL</i> | Considered but not incorporated. The current format is in line with the IPPC protocol's format. |
| 173 | 215 | This diagnostic protocol was drafted by Mr M. Cambra, Mr A. Olmos and N. Capote, IVIA (see preceding section); Mr N.L. Africander, Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries, Private Bag X 5015, Stellenbosch, 75999, South Africa; Ms L. Levy, USDA, United States of America (see preceding section); Mr S.L. Lenardon, Instituto de Fitopatologia y Fisiologia Vegetal – Instituto Nacional de Tecnologia Agropecuaria (IFFIVE INTA), Cno. 60 Cuadras Km 51/2, Córdoba X5020ICA, Argentina; Mr G. Clover, Plant Health & Environment Laboratory, Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry, PO Box 2095, Auckland 1140, New Zealand; and Ms D. Wright, Plant Health Group, Central Science .Laboratory, Sand Hutton, York YO41 1LZ, United Kingdom. | Viet Nam para 215 move to Appendix 2 <i>Category : EDITORIAL</i> | Considered but not incorporated. The current format is in line with the IPPC protocol's format. |
| 174 | 235 | García, J.A. & Cambra, M. 2007. <i>Plum pox virus</i> and sharka disease. <i>Plant Viruses</i> , 1: 69–79. García, J.A., Glasa, M., Cambra, M., and Candresse, T. (2014). Plum pox virus and sharka:a model potyvirus and a major disease. Mol. PlantPathol. 15, 226–241. doi:10.1111/mpp.12083 | Kenya Category : TECHNICAL | Incorporated. |
| 175 | 238 | James <u>Ilardi,V &Tarazza</u> , D., Varga, A<u>M</u>. <u>& Sanderson2015</u>. <u>Biotechnological strategies and tools for plum pox reistance; teans-</u>,<u>intra-</u>, D.<u>cis-genesis and beyond</u>. Frontiers in plant science,6:1-16 | Kenya Category : TECHNICAL | Incorporated |

| # | Para | Text | Comment | SC's response |
|-----|------|--|---|---|
| | | James, D., Varga, A. & Sanderson, D. 2013. Genetic diversity of <i>Plum pox virus</i> : Strains, disease and related challenges for control. <i>Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology</i> , 35: 431–441. | | |
| 176 | 240 | Levy, L. & Hadidi, A. 1994. A simple and rapid method for processing tissue infected with <i>Plum pox potyvirus</i> for use with specific 3' non-coding region RT-PCR assays. <i>EPPO Bulletin</i>, 24: 595–604. Menzel W, Jelkmann W & Maiss E. 2002. Detection of four apple viruses by multiplex RT-PCR tests with co-amplification of plant mRNA as internal control. Journal of Virological Methods 99, 81–92. | European Union Add the following reference (see comment on section 3.3.4). <i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> | Incorporated |
| 177 | 240 | Levy, L. & Hadidi, A. 1994. A simple and rapid method for processing tissue infected with <i>Plum pox potyvirus</i> for use with specific 3' non-coding region RT-PCR assays. <i>EPPO Bulletin</i> , 24: 595–604. <u>Menzel W, Jelkmann W & Maiss E (2002) Detection of four apple viruses</u> by multiplex RT-PCR tests with co-amplification of plant mRNA as internal control. Journal of Virological Methods 99, 81–92. | EPPO add the following reference (see comment on section 3.3.4 <i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> | Incorporated |
| 178 | 251 | Šubr, Z., Pittnerova, S. & Glasa, M. 2004. A simplified RT-PCR-based detection of recombinant <i>Plum pox virus</i> isolates. <i>Acta Virologica</i> , 48: 173–176. Teshale, 2014. Evaluation of Molecular and Serological diagnostic techniques for a large scale detection of plum pox virus. Research in Plant sciences, 2:33-41 | Kenya Category : TECHNICAL | Considered but not incorporated. Not clear where this reference should be cited in the text. |