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[1]DRAFT ANNEX TO ISPM 28: Cold treatment for *Ceratitis capitata* on *Vitis vinifera* (2017-023A)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| [2]**Status box** | |
| [3]This is not an official part of the standard and it will be modified by the IPPC Secretariat after adoption. | |
| [4]**Date of this document** | [5]2020-02-10 |
| [6]**Document category** | [7]Draft annex to ISPM 28 |
| [8]**Current document stage** | [9]*To* second consultation |
| [10]**Major stages** | [11]2017-06 Treatment submitted in response to 2017-02 Call for treatments (*Cold treatment of Australian Table grapes against Mediterranean fruit fly and Queensland fruit fly*).  [12]2017-07 Technical Panel on Phytosanitary Treatments (TPPT) reviewed the submission.  [13]2018-05 SC added the topic*Cold treatment of* Ceratitis capitata *on table grapes* (2017-023A) to the TPPT work programme with priority 1.  [14]2018-06 TPPT revised the draft and recommended it to SC for consultation.  [15]2018-11 TPPT final review via e-forum (2018\_eTPPT\_Oct\_01)  [16]2019-03 SC approved the draft for consultation via e-decision (2019\_eSC\_May\_10)  [17]2019-07 First consultation  [18]2020-02 TPPT reviewed the responses to consultation comments and the draft and recommended it to the SC for approval for second consultation  [19]2020-03 TPPT finalized the responses to comments via e-forum (2020\_eTPPT\_Feb\_01)  [20]2020-04 SC approved the responses to comments and the draft for second consultation via e-decision (2020\_eSC\_May\_15) |
| [21]**Treatment Lead** | [22]2017-07 Mr Toshiyuki DOHINO (JP) |
| [23]**Notes** | [24]2018-07 Edited  [25]2018-12 TPPT discussed whether to add schedule of 1°C for 14 days  [26]2019-02 TPPT decided not to add a schedule of 1°C for 14 days that as there is no data available that would sufficiently support it |

[27]Scope of the treatment

[28]This treatment describes the cold treatment of fruit of *Vitis vinifera* (table grapes) to result in the mortality of eggs and larvae of *Ceratitis capitata* at the stated efficacy[[1]](#footnote-1).

[30]Treatment description

[31]**Name of treatment** Cold treatment for *Ceratitis capitata* on *Vitis vinifera*

[32]**Active ingredient** n/a

[33]**Treatment type** Physical (cold)

[34]**Target pest** *Ceratitis capitata* (Wiedemann, 1824) (Diptera: Tephritidae)

[35]**Target regulated articles** Fruit of *Vitis vinifera* (table grapes)

[36]Treatment schedule

[37]**Schedule 1: 1 °C or below for 16 continuous days**

[38]There is 95% confidence that the treatment according to this schedule kills not less than 99.9987% of eggs and larvae of *Ceratitis capitata.*

[39]**Schedule 2: 2 °C or below for 18 continuous days**

[40]There is 95% confidence that the treatment according to this schedule kills not less than 99.9987% of eggs and larvae of *Ceratitis capitata.*

[41]**Schedule 3: 3 °C or below for 20 continuous days**

[42]There is 95% confidence that the treatment according to this schedule kills not less than 99.9986% of eggs and larvae of *Ceratitis capitata.*

[43]For all three schedules, the fruit must reach the treatment temperature before treatment exposure time commences. The fruit core temperature should be monitored and recorded, and the temperature should not exceed the stated level throughout the duration of the treatment.

[44]This treatment should be applied in accordance with the requirements of ISPM 42 (*Requirements for the use of temperature treatments as phytosanitary measures*).

[45]Other relevant information

[46]In evaluating this treatment, the Technical Panel on Phytosanitary Treatments considered issues associated with temperature regimes and thermal conditioning, taking into account the work of Hallman and Mangan (1997).

[47]The efficacy of schedules 1, 2 and 3 was calculated based on an estimated223 523, 227 190 and 217 881, respectively, larvae treated with no survivors.

[48]Schedules 1, 2 and 3 were based on the work of De Lima (2007) and De Lima *et al.* (2011) and were developed using the cultivars ‘Red Globe’, ‘Crimson Seedless’ and ‘Thompson Seedless’, and using failure to pupariate as the measure of mortality.
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1. [29] The scope of phytosanitary treatments does not include issues related to pesticide registration or other domestic requirements for contracting parties’ approval of treatments. Treatments adopted by the Commission on Phytosanitary Measures may not provide information on specific effects on human health or food safety, which should be addressed using domestic procedures before contracting parties approve a treatment. In addition, potential effects of treatments on product quality are considered for some host commodities before their international adoption. However, evaluation of any effects of a treatment on the quality of commodities may require additional consideration. There is no obligation for a contracting party to approve, register or adopt the treatments for use in its territory. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)