



**REPORT**

**Informal**

**Standards Committee**

**Meeting**

**Virtual Meeting**  
**14 July 2020**

**IPPC Secretariat**

Required citation:

IPPC Secretariat. 2020. *Report of the informal, virtual meeting of the Standards Committee, 14 July 2020*. Rome. Published by FAO on behalf of the Secretariat of the International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC).

The designations employed and the presentation of material in this information product do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) concerning the legal or development status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. The mention of specific companies or products of manufacturers, whether or not these have been patented, does not imply that these have been endorsed or recommended by FAO in preference to others of a similar nature that are not mentioned.

The views expressed in this information product are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of FAO.

© FAO, 2020



Some rights reserved. This work is made available under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 IGO licence (CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO; <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/igo/legalcode>).

Under the terms of this licence, this work may be copied, redistributed and adapted for non-commercial purposes, provided that the work is appropriately cited. In any use of this work, there should be no suggestion that FAO endorses any specific organization, products or services. The use of the FAO logo is not permitted. If the work is adapted, then it must be licensed under the same or equivalent Creative Commons licence. If a translation of this work is created, it must include the following disclaimer along with the required citation: "This translation was not created by the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). FAO is not responsible for the content or accuracy of this translation. The original English edition shall be the authoritative edition."

Disputes arising under the licence that cannot be settled amicably will be resolved by mediation and arbitration as described in Article 8 of the licence except as otherwise provided herein. The applicable mediation rules will be the mediation rules of the World Intellectual Property Organization <http://www.wipo.int/amc/en/mediation/rules> and any arbitration will be conducted in accordance with the Arbitration Rules of the United Nations Commission on International Trade Law (UNCITRAL).

**Third-party materials.** Users wishing to reuse material from this work that is attributed to a third party, such as tables, figures or images, are responsible for determining whether permission is needed for that reuse and for obtaining permission from the copyright holder. The risk of claims resulting from infringement of any third-party-owned component in the work rests solely with the user.

**Sales, rights and licensing.** FAO information products are available on the FAO website ([www.fao.org/publications](http://www.fao.org/publications)) and can be purchased through [publications-sales@fao.org](mailto:publications-sales@fao.org). Requests for commercial use should be submitted via: [www.fao.org/contact-us/licence-request](http://www.fao.org/contact-us/licence-request). Queries regarding rights and licensing should be submitted to: [copyright@fao.org](mailto:copyright@fao.org).

## CONTENTS

1. Opening of the Meeting .....	4
1.1 Welcome by the IPPC Secretariat .....	4
2. Meeting Arrangements .....	5
2.1 Election of the Rapporteur .....	5
2.2 Adoption of the agenda .....	5
3. Updates .....	5
3.1 CPM Bureau – May and June 2020 meetings .....	5
4. SC Work Programme.....	6
4.1 Discussion on the upcoming work programme .....	6
4.2 Update on recent developments regarding standard setting work.....	9
5. Any Other Business .....	9
6. Close of the Meeting.....	9
Appendix 1: Agenda.....	10
Appendix 2: Participants list .....	11

## 1. Opening of the Meeting

### 1.1 Welcome by the IPPC Secretariat

- [1] The Standards Committee (SC) Chairperson, Mr Ezequiel FERRO (Argentina), opened this informal meeting of the SSC, which was being held via video-conferencing. He welcomed all participants and then invited the IPPC Secretary, Mr Jingyuan XIA, to address the meeting.
- [2] The IPPC Secretary extended his welcome to all participants, noting that this was the first virtual meeting of the SC. He began his opening remarks by thanking all those involved in standard setting for the progress that had been made despite the challenges of the COVID-19 pandemic. The Bureau of the Commission on Phytosanitary Measures (CPM) had held a special meeting to discuss the standard setting process, illustrating the importance of standard setting to the work of the IPPC community. The SC had worked hard in contributing comments via the Online Comment System, with 23 e-decisions made and three draft standards approved for consultation, along with 13 phytosanitary treatments. The Standard Setting Unit (SSU) had also worked hard to keep the standard setting work on track so that consultations and calls could proceed as scheduled, and had collaborated well with other IPPC units, such as the Integration and Support Team. The IPPC Secretary congratulated everyone on their achievements and thanked them for their hard work.
- [3] The IPPC Secretary drew the attention of the SC to some of the developments that had happened in response to the pandemic:
- The CPM Bureau and IPPC Secretariat had issued guidance to national plant protection organizations (NPPOs) on how to mitigate the impact of COVID-19 on plant health, and the Secretariat had produced a new web page on the International Phytosanitary Portal, related to COVID-19.
  - The IPPC community had adapted to a new way of working, with a range of virtual events taking place, including meetings of the CPM Bureau, the International Year of Plant Health (IYPH) International Steering Committee, the Implementation and Capacity Development Committee and now also the SC, and informal briefings for regional plant protection organizations and NPPOs.
  - Certain elements of IYPH had been rescheduled to take place in 2021, including the ministerial segment of CPM-15, the IYPH closing ceremony and the international plant health conference in Helsinki. Other IYPH initiatives, however, had still gone ahead; for example, 11 countries had issued a commemorative IYPH stamp and two countries had minted an IYPH coin. Zambia had also agreed to champion an International Day of Plant Health (IDPH), for which the CPM Bureau's proposed date is 12 May each year. This is subject to a series of formal approvals, including the FAO conference in 2021 and finally the United Nations General Assembly, but if all goes well, the first IDPH will be in 2022.
  - Interest in, and use of, ePhyto had continued to grow, particularly because it allows paperless trade.
- [4] The IPPC Secretary then placed the standard setting work in the wider context of the new strategic framework being developed by FAO, and emphasized that the standard setting community will need to continue to adapt to the changes prompted by COVID-19. Virtual meetings will be the new normal and IPPC bodies may need to change the way they do things. He said that it is time the IPPC community works on “one health” approaches, integrating animal and plant health, and this would be an important area for the CPM Bureau to consider. The development of commodity standards will also be an important priority for the SC, to support the IPPC strategic objective of facilitating safe trade. In closing his opening remarks, the IPPC Secretary encouraged everyone to work together to move these important issues forward.
- [5] The SC Chairperson thanked the IPPC Secretary for these comments.
- [6] The IPPC Standard Setting Unit (SSU) lead, Mr Avetik NERSISYAN, welcomed all participants and introduced the newest member of the SSU. He then gave a brief update on SSU work over the course of the pandemic, explaining that all personnel were teleworking, with the exception of one member of the

team who was also in the office for part of the time. The SSU had been efficient in supporting the SC in their daily work. The SSU lead also thanked all SC members for their efficient work, which had enabled the consultations to go ahead as planned. He said that this informal, virtual meeting was an opportunity to discuss ideas about how to take the SC work forward.

## 2. Meeting Arrangements

### 2.1 Election of the Rapporteur

[7] The SC agreed to use a recording of the meeting instead of electing a rapporteur.

### 2.2 Adoption of the agenda

[8] The SC adopted the Agenda. This is provided as Appendix 1 to this report, followed by the Participants list (Appendix 2).

## 3. Updates

### 3.1 CPM Bureau – May and June 2020 meetings

[9] The SSU lead presented the paper on recent meetings of the CPM Bureau<sup>1</sup>, noting that the CPM Bureau is acting on behalf of CPM.

[10] The Bureau had held an extraordinary session on the Standard setting process, at which some clear decisions on commodity standards had been made: it had been agreed that the draft ISPM on *Commodity-based standards for phytosanitary measures* (2019-008) and the draft specification for the Technical Panel on Commodity Standards (2019-009) would be added to the *List of topics for IPPC standards* and would be submitted to consultation; it had also been agreed to transfer the development of these topics from the Bureau to the SC. In addition, the Bureau had approved the draft CPM recommendation on *Safe provision of food and other aid to prevent the introduction of plant pests during an emergency situation* (2018-026) for another round of consultation.

[11] Another Bureau meeting, not mentioned in the SC paper, had been held on 9 July, at which two issues related to standard setting had been discussed: the draft ISPM on *Requirements for national plant protection organizations if authorizing entities to perform phytosanitary actions* (2014-002) and the reorganization of pest risk analysis (PRA) standards. In relation to authorization of entities, the Bureau had responded to the SC's CPM paper<sup>2</sup> by recommending that the SC submit the draft ISPM for adoption, but leave the non-technical issues for the CPM to address. The Bureau had also agreed that a webinar on authorization of entities would take place. In relation to the PRA standards, the Bureau had recommended that the SC follow option 6 from the CPM paper submitted by the SC<sup>3</sup>, which would result in one overarching standard with an annex for each of the three stages of PRA.

[12] The SC:

(1) *noted* the update on recent CPM Bureau meetings.

---

<sup>1</sup> 02\_SC\_Tel\_2020\_Jul.

<sup>2</sup> CPM 2020/20 (further to SC 2019-11, agenda item 4.3).

<sup>3</sup> CPM 2020/19 (further to SC 2019-11, agenda item 8.1).

## 4. SC Work Programme

### 4.1 Discussion on the upcoming work programme

#### *Draft commodity standard and the draft specification for the Technical Panel on Commodity Standards (TPCS) in the standard setting process*

- [13] The SC Chairperson summarized the progress made to date, referring to the paper on commodity standards submitted to the CPM<sup>4</sup>. As mentioned earlier in this meeting, the CPM Bureau had subsequently approved the draft concept standard and the draft specification for the Technical Panel on Commodity Standards (TPCS) for consultation.
- [14] The SC Chairperson proposed that the first issue to discuss was the stewardship of the draft concept standard and the draft TPCS specification, so that country comments could be addressed after the consultation.
- [15] The SC considered whether it was better to have one steward who covered both the concept standard and the TPCS specification, or one steward for the standard and one for the TPCS specification. One SC member suggested that the latter might be better as there are likely to be many comments on the draft standard, but that it might be a good idea for each of the two stewards to also act as the assistant steward for the other topic. This was supported by several other SC members, one also highlighting that this would mean that the work could be done in a harmonized way.
- [16] Mr Samuel BISHOP (United Kingdom) offered to be Steward for the draft concept standard, as he had been on the Focus Group on Commodity and Pathway Standards. Ms Joanne WILSON (New Zealand) offered to be Assistant Steward.
- [17] One SC member suggested that, for Steward of the TPCS, it would be good to have someone with experience of a technical panel, and suggested Mr Ezequiel FERRO (Argentina).
- [18] These offers and suggestions were supported by several SC members.
- [19] In response to a query, the SC Chairperson clarified that the stewards being chosen were for development of the draft concept standard and the draft specification, not for the long-term development of commodity standards, but noted that the stewards could be changed at a later date in any case.
- [20] The SC:
- (2) *recommended* Mr Samuel BISHOP (United Kingdom) as Steward, and Ms Joanne WILSON (New Zealand) and Mr Ezequiel FERRO (Argentina) as Assistant Stewards, for the draft ISPM on *Commodity-based standards for phytosanitary measures* (2019-008)
  - (3) *recommended* Mr Ezequiel FERRO (Argentina) as Steward, and Ms Joanne WILSON (New Zealand) and Mr Samuel BISHOP (United Kingdom) as Assistant Stewards, for the Technical Panel on Commodity Standards (2019-009)
  - (4) *invited* the IPPC Secretariat to open an e-decision on these recommendations, for formal discussion and selection.
- [21] Looking ahead, the Secretariat highlighted one area for future discussion: the streamlining of the Standard setting process. This possibility had been raised in the CPM paper on commodity standards, to improve the speed at which commodity standards could be developed, and was particularly pertinent given that a Call for topics is scheduled for 2021.

---

<sup>4</sup> CPM 2020/18.

***Pest risk analysis reorganization***

- [22] The SC Chairperson recalled that the SC considered six possible options for re-organization or development of the PRA standards. The SC had submitted a paper to the CPM<sup>5</sup> which had proposed that the CPM discuss option 2 (revision of ISPM 11 (*Pest risk analysis for quarantine pests*), section 3 on pest risk management) and option 5 (four standards –one overarching standard on the PRA process and one for each stage of PRA). In response, the Bureau, on behalf of the CPM, had recommended that the SC work on option 6 (one overarching standard on the PRA process with an annex for each stage of PRA).
- [23] The Secretariat clarified that the members of the Bureau had reached this decision after asking their PRA experts about what they would prefer, and the experts had said they would prefer all the information to be in one ISPM.
- [24] The SSU lead highlighted that, when making recommendations, it is important that the SC formulates the best options possible.
- [25] One SC member asked whether the Bureau has the authority to make any decisions regarding option 6 without the approval of all contracting parties via the CPM. The SSU lead confirmed that, following the cancellation of CPM-15 in 2020, the Bureau had been authorized to take decisions on behalf of the CPM, so a Bureau decision is the same as a CPM decision. He pointed out, however, that it is important to wait until the report of the Bureau meeting has been published for full clarification of what had been agreed about the PRA standards.
- [26] Several SC members gave their support to option 6, commenting on the logical, structured approach this would provide and that this would align with the approach taken for ISPM 27 (*Diagnostic protocols for regulated pests*) and ISPM 28 (*Phytosanitary treatments*). Some commented on the similarity between options 5 and 6, with the information being the same but in a different place, meaning that the workload would also be similar. One SC member commented on the clarity of the guidance that would be produced by option 5, but that in terms of workload, option 6 would be the best. Another SC member expressed a preference for either option 5 or option 6.
- [27] However, one SC member cautioned that option 6 is a huge workload and asked where the resources would be found and whether the new standard would be developed by one expert working group or would there be a group for each part of the standard? Given the choice of option 5 or option 6, this member would prefer option 6 as it is always better to have all the information in one standard, but with a first preference of option 2 as being the more resource-efficient option.
- [28] While supporting either option 5 or option 6, another SC member commented that, at a future SC meeting, the SC would need to discuss the prioritization of work (as opposed to the priorities of topics), given the workload involved and specially now with the development of commodity standards in the work programme.
- [29] The Secretariat highlighted that the draft ISPM on *Pest risk management for quarantine pests* (2014-001) was on hold, pending this decision on the reorganization of the PRA standards.
- [30] In response to a query, the Secretariat also confirmed that the Bureau had added the new PRA standard (option 6) as a topic to the *List of topics for IPPC standards* without there being a specification, so it will be up to the SC to draft the specification.
- [31] The SC:
- (5) *invited* the IPPC Secretariat to open an e-forum once the report from the 9 July meeting of the CPM Bureau is available, to consider the outcome of the Bureau's discussions about the reorganization of PRA standards.

---

<sup>5</sup> CPM 2020/19.

***Webinar on “authorization of entities to perform phytosanitary actions”***

- [32] The SSU lead introduced the paper<sup>6</sup>, explaining the proposal to hold a webinar to replace the side-session on authorization of entities that would have been held had the CPM met in 2020. The webinar would be an opportunity to explain the concept of authorization of entities to perform phytosanitary actions. An outline agenda had been drafted, and it was proposed that the webinar would be held in autumn 2020.
- [33] One SC member commented that it is worth having a webinar as it would allow countries to articulate their concerns point by point, but that it might not be possible to properly move forward with this issue without a face-to-face event. Another SC member commented that a webinar might reach more people because there were less costs involved for participants. A third SC member supported the idea of holding a webinar, to give people the opportunity to express their concerns and allow the work on authorization to move forward.
- [34] Several SC members commented that it is important that the programme is balanced; to this end, the programme should include presentations from countries that have concerns about the draft standard, explaining why their country is against it. It was also suggested that the webinar, should be made available in a language that is appropriate for those countries with concerns (e.g. in Russian).
- [35] The SSU lead acknowledged that it would perhaps be a good idea to have two languages, English and Russian, given that the main countries with concerns are Russian-speaking. He also highlighted that the webinar is not part of the Standard setting process, but is just an opportunity to provide the maximum possible information, to help countries in their decision-making.
- [36] Ms Sophie PETERSON (Australia), who had finished the CPM paper following the resignation of Mr Ramesh RAMARTHNAM (Canada) from the SC, explained that the draft agenda was as developed for a CPM side session, but maybe the agenda should be revisited in the light of the session being a webinar.
- [37] One SC member, in addition to supporting the idea of a webinar, suggested that it would be good to have a virtual panel meeting. This should be focused and facilitated, with speakers on both sides preparing their contributions beforehand (not spontaneously), and then the other side of the panel being given the opportunity to respond. Given the Bureau’s advice to the SC to refer all non-technical issues to the CPM, the panel would, however, only deal with technical issues, as non-technical concerns would be outside the scope of an SC panel. The SC member suggested that the FAO Office for Corporate Communication could be asked to advise on how to organize the panel in practical terms.
- [38] The SSU lead confirmed that the Secretariat has a list of the countries that have concerns over this draft standard, following the consultation periods on the draft ISPM. He pointed out that the goals of the webinar will not be achieved unless these countries participate in the webinar. He reiterated that if issues raised are non-technical, they should be presented by the SC to the CPM, for CPM to decide.
- [39] Once SC member expressed the view, however, that people need to be given the opportunity to discuss their concerns. With this in mind, the member suggested that perhaps the panel should be a Bureau panel rather than an SC panel, as the technical issues have been resolved.
- [40] This suggestion was supported by another SC member, because the SC would not be able to respond to non-technical concerns. The member suggested that the SC and Bureau try to understand the issues and adopt a more collegiate approach, rather than following a confrontational approach of just having a vote, because then there would be more chance of success. A further SC member agreed that the Bureau should be involved in the discussion with concerned parties, also adding that it would be best for the meeting to be informal rather than formal and that the countries need to bring specific issues, not just general ones.
- [41] Several SC members explained that the issues raised by those countries expressing concerns are not related to a lack of understanding of the draft standard, but that many of these countries fear that if the

---

<sup>6</sup> 03\_SC\_Tel\_2020\_Jul.



standard were to be adopted, they would be *forced* to authorize. So, a webinar allowing countries to express such concerns is crucial to moving this forward.

[42] The Secretariat recalled that, during the regional workshops in 2019, some Asian countries had also expressed concerns about the standard. Some aspects had become clearer for them during the workshop, and the change in the title of the standard to include “if” had really helped, but some had commented that they may not be able to implement the standard once adopted.

[43] The SC:

(6) *invited* the IPPC Secretariat to continue with preparations for a webinar on authorization of entities to perform phytosanitary actions, taking into consideration the comments made during this meeting and with support from the small SC group developing the concept of the CPM side session with the lead of Ms Sophie PETERSON (Australia).

## 4.2 Update on recent developments regarding standard setting work

### *Search tool on phytosanitary treatments*

[44] The SSU lead briefly summarized progress to date on development of a search tool for phytosanitary treatments<sup>7</sup>. This is a good example of a joint initiative between two IPPC units (SSU and the Implementation and Facilitation Unit) and provides a good opportunity to improve the implementation of phytosanitary treatments. However, it is still restricted because it holds limited information at present. The Secretariat therefore wishes to improve it giving contracting parties to the opportunity to share best practice with other countries.

[45] The SC:

(7) *noted* the update about the search tool on phytosanitary treatments.

## 5. Any Other Business

[46] There was no other business.

## 6. Close of the Meeting

[47] The SC Chairperson thanked all participants for their valuable contributions, and the SC Vice-Chairperson thanked the Secretariat and SC colleagues. The SSU lead expressed his gratitude to the SC members for their active participation in this first, virtual SC meeting.

[48] The report from this meeting, and the report from the 9 July meeting of the CPM Bureau, will be shared with SC members once available.

[49] The next virtual SC meeting will be in the second half of September 2020 (date to be confirmed), by which time there should be greater clarity about the November SC meeting.

[50] The IPPC Secretary thanked everyone for a productive meeting and encouraged everyone to “stay safe and stay strong”.

[51] The SC Chairperson closed the meeting.

---

<sup>7</sup> 04\_SC\_Tel\_2020\_Jul, Attachment 1.

**Appendix 1: Agenda**

<b>AGENDA ITEM</b>		<b>DOCUMENT NO.</b>	<b>PRESENTER/ Secretariat support</b>
<b>1.</b>	<b>Opening of the Meeting</b>		
1.1	Welcome by the Standards Committee (SC) Chair		FERRO
1.2	Opening remarks from the IPPC Secretary		XIA
1.3	Welcome by the Standard Setting Unit (SSU) Lead	---	NERSISYAN
<b>2.</b>	<b>Meeting Arrangements</b>		
2.1	Election of the Rapporteur	---	Chairperson
2.2	Adoption of the Agenda	01_SC_Tel_2020_Jul	Chairperson
<b>3.</b>	<b>Updates</b>		
3.1	CPM Bureau: May and June 2020 meetings	<a href="#">Link to Bureau meeting reports</a> 02_SC_Tel_2020_Jul	IPPC Secretariat
<b>4.</b>	<b>SC Work Programme</b>		
4.1	<b>Discussion on the upcoming work programme</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Draft commodity standard and the draft specification for the Technical Panel on Commodity Standards (TPCS) in the standard setting process (Steward, addressing consultation comments)</li> <li>- PRA reorganization (Steward, Draft specification)</li> <li>- Webinar on “authorization of entities to perform phytosanitary measures”</li> </ul>	03_SC_Tel_2020_Jul	NERSISYAN / FERRO / ALL
4.2	<b>Update on recent developments regarding the standard setting work</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Search tool on phytosanitary treatments</li> </ul>	04_SC_Tel_2020_Jul	NERSISYAN
<b>5.</b>	<b>Any Other Business</b>	-	Chairperson
<b>6.</b>	<b>Close of the Meeting</b>	-	Chairperson

**Appendix 2: Participants list**

	Region / Role	Name, mailing address, telephone	Email address	Membership Confirmed	Term expires
	Africa Member	<b>Ms Alphonsine LOUHOUARI TOKOZABA</b> Ministère de l'Agriculture et de l'Elevage, 24, rue Kiélé Tenard, Mfilou, Brazzaville, <b>REPUBLIC OF CONGO</b> Tel: +242 01 046 53 61 Tel: +242 04 005 57 05	<a href="mailto:louhouari@yahoo.fr">louhouari@yahoo.fr</a> ; <a href="mailto:A.louhouaritoko@gmail.com">A.louhouaritoko@gmail.com</a> <a href="#">m</a>	CPM-13 (2018) 1st term / 3 years	2021
	Africa Member SC-7	<b>Ms Esther KIMANI</b> Managing Director Kenya Plant Health Inspectorate Service (KEPHIS) P.O. BOX 49592-00100, Nairobi <b>KENYA</b> Tel:+254 020 6618 000 Mob: +254 0709 891 000	<a href="mailto:ekimani@kephis.org">ekimani@kephis.org</a> ; <a href="mailto:director@kephis.org">director@kephis.org</a>	CPM-9 (2014) CPM-12 (2017) 2 <sup>nd</sup> term/ 3 years	2020
✓	Africa Member	<b>Mr David KAMANGIRA</b> Senior Deputy Director and IPPC Focal Point Department of Agricultural Research Services Headquarters, P.O. Box 30779, Lilongwe 3 <b>MALAWI</b> Tel: +265 888 342 712 Tel: +265 999 122 199	<a href="mailto:davidkamangira1@gmail.com">davidkamangira1@gmail.com</a> <a href="#">m</a>	CPM-11 (2016) 1st term/ 3 years	2019 (Extended to 2020 due to COVID – 19)
✓	Africa Member	<b>Mr Moses Adegboyega ADEWUMI</b> Head of Inspection Southwest Zone Nigeria Agricultural Quarantine Service FAAN HQT Complex, Ikeja, Lagos, Lagos State <b>NIGERIA</b> Tel: +234 -8033913847 / 8059607047	<a href="mailto:adegboyegamoses37@yahoo.com">adegboyegamoses37@yahoo.com</a>	CPM-13 (2018) 1st term / 3 years	2021
✓	Asia Member	<b>Ms Chonticha RAKKRAI</b> Director, Plant Quarantine Research Group, Plant Protection Research and Development Office, Department of Agriculture, 50 Phaholyothin Rd., Ladyao, Chatuchak, Bangkok, 10900 <b>THAILAND</b> Tel : (+66) 2561 2537 Fax : (+66) 2561 2146 Mobile: (+66) 8 9128 6488	<a href="mailto:rakkrai@yahoo.com">rakkrai@yahoo.com</a>	<b>Replacement member for Mr HERMAWAN</b>  CPM-11 (2016) 2nd term / 3 years	2019 (Extended to 2020 due to COVID – 19)

	Region / Role	Name, mailing address, telephone	Email address	Membership Confirmed	Term expires
	Asia Member	<b>Ms Jayani Nimanthika WATHUKARAGE</b> Assistant Director (Research) National Plant Quarantine Service, Canada Friendship Road, Katunayake, <b>SRI LANKA</b> Tel : +94718015660 / +94 112252028 - 9 Fax : +94112253709	<a href="mailto:jayaninimanthika@gmail.com">jayaninimanthika@gmail.com</a>	CPM-13 (2018) 1st term / 3 years	2021
✓	Asia Member SC-7	<b>Mr Masahiro SAI</b> Senior Researcher (Head of Section) Risk Analysis Division Yokohama Plant Protection Station Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (MAFF) <b>JAPAN</b> Tel: +81-45-211-0375	<a href="mailto:saim@pps.maff.go.jp">saim@pps.maff.go.jp</a>	CPM-13 (2018) 1st term / 3 years	2021
	Asia Member	<b>Mr Xiaodong FENG</b> Deputy Director of the Division of Plant Quarantine, NATEC Ministry of Agriculture No. 20, Maizidian Street, Chaoyang District, Beijing 100125 <b>CHINA</b> Tel:(8610)59194524	<a href="mailto:fengxdong@agri.gov.cn">fengxdong@agri.gov.cn</a>	CPM-13 (2018) 1st term / 3 years	2021
✓	Europe Member	<b>Ms Laurence BOUHOT-DELDUC</b> Plant health section Sub-directorate for plant quality, health and protection Department of Sanitary Action in primary production General directorate for food Ministry of agriculture and food 251 rue de Vaugirard 75732 PARIS CEDEX 15 <b>FRANCE</b> Tel: +33 149558437	<a href="mailto:laurence.bouhot-delduc@agriculture.gouv.fr">laurence.bouhot-delduc@agriculture.gouv.fr</a>	CPM-10 (2015) CPM-13 (2018) 2nd term / 3 years	2021
✓	Europe Member	<b>Ms Mariangela CIAMPITTI</b> Plant Health Expert Plant Protection Service ERSAF - REGIONE LOMBARDIA Via Pola, 12. 20124 Milano <b>ITALY</b> Tel : (+39) 3666603272	<a href="mailto:Mariangela.Ciampitti@ersaf.lombardia.it">Mariangela.Ciampitti@ersaf.lombardia.it</a>	CPM-14 (2019) 1st term / 3 years  (0)	2022

	Region / Role	Name, mailing address, telephone	Email address	Membership Confirmed	Term expires
✓	Europe Member  SC-7	<b>Mr Samuel BISHOP</b> Plant Health Policy team Room 11G35 Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs National Agri-Food Innovation Campus Sand Hutton York North Yorkshire <b>UNITED KINGDOM</b> YO41 4LZ Tel: +44 (0) 2080262506 Mob.: +44 (0) 7827976902	<a href="mailto:sam.bishop@defra.gsi.gov.uk">sam.bishop@defra.gsi.gov.uk</a>	CPM-13 (2018)	2021
✓	Europe Member	<b>Mr David OPATOWSKI</b> 1-3 avenue de la Paix 1202 Geneva, Switzerland <b>ISRAEL</b> Tel: (+41) 79945 7344	<a href="mailto:dopatowski@yahoo.com">dopatowski@yahoo.com</a>	CPM-1 (2006) CPM-4 (2009) CPM-12 (2017) 3rd term / 3 years	2020
✓	Latin America and Caribbean Member	<b>Mr André Felipe C. P. da SILVA</b> Federal Inspector Quarantine Division Ministry of Agriculture, Live Stock and Food Supply <b>BRAZIL</b> Tel: (61) 3218-2925	<a href="mailto:andre.peralta@agricultura.gov.br">andre.peralta@agricultura.gov.br</a>	CPM-14 (2019) 1st term / 3 years  (0)	2022
✓	Latin America and Caribbean Member	<b>Mr Hernando Morera GONZÁLEZ</b> Pest RiskAnalyst Servicio Fitosanitario del Estado 300 Sur de Teletica, Sabana Sur, San José, <b>COSTA RICA</b> Tel: +(506) 8660-8383	<a href="mailto:hmorera@sfe.go.cr">hmorera@sfe.go.cr</a>	CPM-13 (2018) 1st term / 3 years	2021
✓	Latin America and Caribbean Member SC Chairperson	<b>Mr Ezequiel FERRO</b> Dirección Nacional de Protección Vegetal - SENASA Av.Paeso Colón 315 C.A. de Buenos Aires <b>ARGENTINA</b> Tel/Fax: (+5411) 4121-5091	<a href="mailto:eferro@senasa.gov.ar">eferro@senasa.gov.ar</a>	CPM-11 (2016) 2nd term / 3 years	2019 (Extend ed to 2020 due to COVID – 19)
✓	Latin America and Caribbean Member	<b>Mr Álvaro SEPÚLVEDA LUQUE</b> Servicio Agrícola y Ganadero División de Protección Agrícola y Forestal Av. PresidenteBulnes 140, 4 <sup>th</sup> floor, Santiago, <b>CHILE</b> Tel: + 56-2 234 5120	<a href="mailto:alvaro.sepulveda@sag.gob.cl">alvaro.sepulveda@sag.gob.cl</a>	CPM-10 (2015) CPM-13 (2018) 2 <sup>nd</sup> term / 3 years	2021

	Region / Role	Name, mailing address, telephone	Email address	Membership Confirmed	Term expires
	Near East Member	<b>Mr Nicholas EID</b> Ministry of Agriculture building, Embassies Street, Bir Hassan, Beirut, <b>LEBANON</b> Tel: (+961) 3 443 451 Fax: (+961) 1 849 628	<a href="mailto:neid@agriculture.gov.lb">neid@agriculture.gov.lb</a>	<b>Replacement member for Ms Shaza OMAR</b>  CPM-11 (2016) 1st term/3 years	2019 (Extended to 2020 due to COVID – 19)
✓	Near East Member	<b>Mr Nader ELBADRY</b> Phytosanitary Specialist, Central Administration of Plant Quarantine, 6 Michel Bakhom St., Dokki, Giza, <b>EGYPT</b> Tel : +201096799493	<a href="mailto:nader.badry@gmail.com">nader.badry@gmail.com</a> <a href="mailto:naderelbadry@hotmail.com">naderelbadry@hotmail.com</a>	<b>Replacement member for Mr Abdulqader Khudhair ABBAS</b>  CPM-13 (2018) 1st term / 3 years  (2)	2021
✓	Near East Member	<b>Mr Abdelmoneem Ismaeel ADRA ABDETAM</b> Manger of plant Quarantine and plant protection Directorate, Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry, Khartum <b>SUDAN</b> Tel: +24991238939 / +249 912138939	<a href="mailto:ppdsudan@hotmail.com">ppdsudan@hotmail.com</a>	CPM-13 (2018) 1st term / 3 years	2021
✓	North America Member	<b>Ms Marina ZLOTINA</b> IPPC Technical Director USDA-APHIS, Plant Protection and Quarantine (PPQ) 4700 River Rd, 5c-03.37 Riverdale, MD 20737 <b>USA</b> Tel: 1-301-851-2200 Cell: 1 -301-832-0611	<a href="mailto:Marina.A.Zlotina@aphis.usda.gov">Marina.A.Zlotina@aphis.usda.gov</a>	CPM-10 (2015) CPM-13 (2018) 2nd term / 3 years	2021
✓	North America Member  SC-7	<b>Mr Steve CÔTÉ</b> National manager International Phytosanitary Standards, Plant Import Export Division Floor 2, Room 129 E 59 CAMELOT DRIVE Ottawa ON K1A 0Y9 <b>CANADA</b> Telephone: (613) 773-7368	<a href="mailto:steve.cote@canada.ca">steve.cote@canada.ca</a>	<b>Replacement member for Mr Ranarathnam</b> CPM-11 (2016) 1st term / 3 years	2019 (Extended to 2020 due to COVID – 19)
✓	Pacific Member	<b>Ms Joanne WILSON</b> Principal Adviser, Risk Management Plant Imports Group Ministry for Primary Industries. <b>NEW ZEALAND</b> Tel: +64 489 40528 Mob: +64 2989 40528	<a href="mailto:joanne.wilson@mpi.govt.nz">joanne.wilson@mpi.govt.nz</a>	CPM-14 (2019) 1st term / 3 years  (0)	2022

	Region / Role	Name, mailing address, telephone	Email address	Membership Confirmed	Term expires
✓	Pacific Member	<b>Ms Sophie Alexia PETERSON</b> Assistant Director   Plant Health Policy   Biosecurity Plant Division Department of Agriculture and Water Resources GPO Box 858, Canberra ACT 2601 <b>AUSTRALIA</b> Tel: (+61) 2 6272 3769 Mobile: +61 402 313 170	<a href="mailto:sophie.peterson@agriculture.gov.au">sophie.peterson@agriculture.gov.au</a>	<b>Replacement member for Mr Bruce HANCOCKS</b>  CPM-12 (2017) 1st term / 3 years	2020

*Others*

	Region / Role	Name, mailing, address, telephone	Email address	Membership Confirmed	Term expires
✓	IPPC Secretariat	<b>Mr Jingyuan XIA</b> <b>IPPC Secretary</b>	<a href="mailto:Jingyuan.Xia@fao.org">Jingyuan.Xia@fao.org</a>	N/A	N/A
✓	IPPC Secretariat	<b>Mr Avetik NERSISYAN</b> <b>Standard Setting Unit Lead</b>	<a href="mailto:Avetik.Nersisyan@fao.org">Avetik.Nersisyan@fao.org</a>	N/A	N/A
✓	IPPC Secretariat	<b>Ms Adriana MOREIRA</b> <b>Standard Setting Officer</b>	<a href="mailto:Adriana.Moreira@fao.org">Adriana.Moreira@fao.org</a>	N/A	N/A
✓	IPPC Secretariat	<b>Mr Artur SHAMILOV</b> <b>Standard Setting Officer</b>	<a href="mailto:Artur.Shamilov@fao.org">Artur.Shamilov@fao.org</a>	N/A	N/A
✓	IPPC Secretariat	<b>Ms Aoife CASSIN</b> <b>Standard Setting Associate</b>	<a href="mailto:Aoife.Cassin@fao.org">Aoife.Cassin@fao.org</a>	N/A	N/A
✓	IPPC Secretariat	<b>Ms Janka KISS</b> <b>Standard Setting Associate</b>	<a href="mailto:Janka.Kiss@fao.org">Janka.Kiss@fao.org</a>	N/A	N/A
✓	IPPC Secretariat	<b>Ms Alejandra JIMENEZ TABARES</b> <b>Standard Setting Assistant</b>	<a href="mailto:Alejandra.Jimeneztabares@fao.org">Alejandra.Jimeneztabares@fao.org</a>	N/A	N/A
✓	IPPC Secretariat	<b>Mr Edgar MUSHEGYAN</b> <b>Standard Setting Associate</b>	<a href="mailto:Edgar.Mushegyan@fao.org">Edgar.Mushegyan@fao.org</a>	N/A	N/A
✓	IPPC Secretariat	<b>Ms Karen ROUEN</b> <b>Report writer</b>	<a href="mailto:karen@karenrouen.com">karen@karenrouen.com</a>	N/A	N/A