2020 SECOND CONSULTATION

1 July - 30 September 2020

Compiled comments for Draft PT: Cold treatment for Bactrocera tryoni on Vitis vinifera (2017-023B)

Summary of comments

Name	Summary			
European Union	The comments have been introduced by the European Commission on behalf of the European Union and its Member States.			
Myanmar	Agree with the document			
OIRSA	Revisión Completa			
Singapore	Singapore is supportive of this.			
South Africa	Not applicable to South Africa since Bactrocera tryoni (Queensland fruit fly) is not present in South Africa.			
Viet Nam	Viet Nam would like to support agreement with this draft			

T (Type) - B = Bullet, C = Comment, P = Proposed Change, R = Rating

FAO sequential number	Para	Text	т	Comment
1	G	(General Comment)	С	Category: SUBSTANTIVE (29) Guyana (30 Sep 2020 10:01 PM) Guyana has no reservation regarding the draft document at this point.
2	G	(General Comment)	С	Category: TECHNICAL (28) Peru (30 Sep 2020 4:57 PM) Peru agrees with COSAVE's comments.
3	G	(General Comment)	С	Category: TECHNICAL (27) Australia (30 Sep 2020 12:56 PM) Australia has reviewed this phytosanitary treatment and is supportive of this treatment and the respective text.
4	G	(General Comment)	С	Category: SUBSTANTIVE (26) Brazil (29 Sep 2020 10:27 PM) Brazil supports COSAVE's general comment.
5	G	(General Comment)	С	Category: SUBSTANTIVE (25) Costa Rica (29 Sep 2020 8:29 PM) No comment
6	G	(General Comment)	С	Category: TECHNICAL (22) Paraguay (29 Sep 2020 3:26 PM) Paraguay agrees with Cosave's comments
7	G	(General Comment)	С	Category: TECHNICAL (21) Slovenia (29 Sep 2020 1:55 PM) Slovenia would like to formally endorse the EPPO comments submitted via the IPPC Online Comment System.

8	G	(General Comment)	С	Category : SUBSTANTIVE
-				(20) Argentina (29 Sep 2020 1:49 PM)
				We fully support comments provided by COSAVE to this draft
9	G	(General Comment)	С	Category: TECHNICAL
				(18) COSAVE (29 Sep 2020 3:59 AM)
				We noted the TPPT response to our comment submitted during the
				first consultation regarding mentioning cultivars in Section "other
				relevant information". However, we suggest do not include cultivars to
				avoid confusion when implementing treatment schedule.
				According to ISPM 28, a requirement for varietal testing should be based on evidence that the varietal differences affect treatment
				efficacy, and data should be provided to support the requirement.
				However, the information provided on cultivars in this draft does not
				show evidence about differences among cultivar treatments but it only
				mentions general information on which cultivars the treatments were
				performed. On the other hand, detailed information of cultivars used
				in developing treatment schedules can be found in the references
				listed in "References" section.
				Tomamos nota de la respuesta del PTTF a nuestro comentario
				presentado durante la primera consulta con respecto a la mención de
				cultivares en la Sección "otra información relevante". Sin embargo,
				sugerimos no incluir cultivares para evitar confusiones al implementar
				el protocolo de tratamiento .
				De acuerdo con la NIMF 28, el requisito de pruebas varietales debe
				basarse en evidencia de que las diferencias varietales afectan la
				eficacia del tratamiento, y se deben proporcionar datos para respaldar
				el requisito. Sin embargo, la información sobre los cultivares que se
				detallan en este borrador, no ofrece evidencia de diferencias entre los
				tratamientos entre cultivares sino que sólo se trata de información
				general sobre los cuales se realizaron los ensayos. Por otro lado, la información detallada de los cultivares utilizados en el desarrollo de
				los protocolos de tratamiento se puede consultar en las referencias
				listadas en la sección "Referencias".
10	G	(General Comment)	С	Category : TECHNICAL
				(17) OIRSA (28 Sep 2020 7:13 PM)
				No momentous comments for this document.
11	G	(General Comment)	С	Category : SUBSTANTIVE
				(16) Barbados (28 Sep 2020 6:21 PM)
	+ -	(0, 10, 1)		Barbados is satisfied with the contents of this draft.
12	G	(General Comment)	С	Category: SUBSTANTIVE
				(14) Mexico (26 Sep 2020 5:33 AM)
13	+ -	(Canaral Comment)		I support the document as it is and I have no comments Category: SUBSTANTIVE
13	G	(General Comment)	С	
				(12) China (23 Sep 2020 8:40 AM) The treatment efficacy of cold treatment should be based the mortality
				of the most tolerant stage of target pest.
<u> </u>	I		1	or the most tolerant stage or target pest.

objective in ISPM 42, consensus as its requir 2. The pest mortality is according the outline of The measure of treatm 2017-022A、2017-022I	all dapply to achieve pest mortality in treatment all the PTs adopted after April of 2018 should be rements. So the key standard for temperature treatment if requirements and requirements in ISPM 42. The refficacy for eggs and larvae in the drafts of 13, 2017-023A, 2017-023B is not comply with in though those words like "kill" and "failure to the revision drafts, the endpoint for efficacy is
consensus as its requir 2. The pest mortality is according the outline of The measure of treatm 2017-022A, 2017-022B	rements. so the key standard for temperature treatment of requirements and requirements in ISPM 42. when the efficacy for eggs and larvae in the drafts of 13, 2017-023A, 2017-023B is not comply with 15 though those words like "kill" and "failure to
2. The pest mortality is according the outline of The measure of treatments of the measure of the measur	s the key standard for temperature treatment f requirements and requirements in ISPM 42. Hent efficacy for eggs and larvae in the drafts of 3, 2017-023A, 2017-023B is not comply with a though those words like "kill" and "failure to
according the outline of The measure of treatment of the measure of	f requirements and requirements in ISPM 42. Lent efficacy for eggs and larvae in the drafts of 3, 2017-023A, 2017-023B is not comply with a though those words like "kill" and "failure to
The measure of treatm 2017-022A, 2017-022B	nent efficacy for eggs and larvae in the drafts of 3, 2017-023A, 2017-023B is not comply with a though those words like "kill" and "failure to
2017-022A、2017-022I	3、2017-023A、2017-023B is not comply with though those words like "kill" and "failure to
	n though those words like "kill" and "failure to
	n though those words like "kill" and "failure to
	and revision arange and enapoint for enioae, is
preventing pupation in	fact.
	f "failure to pupariate " is accepted in ISPM 28
	0 and PT31, but the PTs had published before
	2. It can not be the cases for the new PTs of
temperature treatment	<u>.</u> .
The endpoint of "failur	e to pupariate" have been accepted in the
	T25, PT26, PT30 and PT31), as the TPPT
explained, but all these	e TPs endorsed before the adoption of ISPM 42,
then, this cannot be re	gard as the reason for the inconsistency with
the new criteria for ter	
	I development or inability to reproduce is only
	reatment. The endpoint standard is also one of
	diation treatment. So as to facility the
	dards, failure to pupariate should not be used in
cold treatments.	
	is important as the guideline of the technology
	ment. once failure to pupariate is accepted as
	ment, is meaning failure to pupariate can be
	arches of cold treatment?
	nnexes have an important guiding role in the
	anitary treatment technology. If the prevention
	arded as the criteria for judging the effect of
	will be a lot of research to follow this criterion in
	e difficult to apply in practice. If exceptions are vention of fruit fly emergence acceptable as a
	ig the effect of cold treatment?
14 G (General Comment) C Category : TECHNICAL	
(General Comment) C Category . FECHNICAL	
	ponse to our comment submitted during the
	ding mentioning cultivars in Section "other
	However, we suggest do not include cultivars to
	mplementing treatment schedule.
According to ISPM 28.	a requirement for varietal testing should be
	t the varietal differences affect treatment
efficacy, and data shou	Ild be provided to support the requirement.
However, the informati	on provided on cultivars in this draft does not
show evidence about d	ifferences among cultivar treatments but it only
mentions general infor	mation on which cultivars the treatments were
performed. On the oth	er hand, detailed information of cultivars used

				in developing treatment schedules can be found in the references listed in "References" section.
				Tomamos nota de la respuesta del PTTF a nuestro comentario presentado durante la primera consulta con respecto a la mención de cultivares en la Sección "otra información relevante". Sin embargo, sugerimos no incluir cultivares para evitar confusiones al implementar el protocolo de tratamiento .
				De acuerdo con la NIMF 28, el requisito de pruebas varietales debe basarse en evidencia de que las diferencias varietales afectan la eficacia del tratamiento, y se deben proporcionar datos para respaldar el requisito. Sin embargo, la información sobre los cultivares que se detallan en este borrador, no ofrece evidencia de diferencias entre los tratamientos entre cultivares sino que sólo se trata de información general sobre los cuales se realizaron los ensayos. Por otro lado, la información detallada de los cultivares utilizados en el desarrollo de los protocolos de tratamiento se puede consultar en las referencias listadas en la sección "Referencias".
15	G	(General Comment)	С	Category: SUBSTANTIVE (7) Qatar (9 Sep 2020 9:38 AM) we don't have any comment
16	G	(General Comment)	С	Category: SUBSTANTIVE (6) Malawi (5 Sep 2020 1:51 PM) We agree with annex
17	G	(General Comment)	С	Category: SUBSTANTIVE (5) Thailand (2 Sep 2020 10:30 AM) Thailand has no objection on the proposed draft Cold treatment for Bactrocera tryoni on Vitis vinifera.
18	G	(General Comment)	С	Category: EDITORIAL (4) Singapore (1 Sep 2020 5:47 AM) Singapore is supportive of this.
19	G	(General Comment)	С	Category: TECHNICAL (2) South Africa (27 Aug 2020 11:39 AM) Not applicable to South Africa since Bactrocera tryoni (Queensland fruit fly) is not present in South Africa.
20	G	(General Comment)	С	Category: TECHNICAL (1) Venezuela (18 Aug 2020 12:43 AM) La parte técnica del Organismo Fitosanitario de Venezuela, al analizar el proyecto de NIMF: normas para medidas fitosanitarias para productos, concluyo estar de acuerdo con lo planteado por el Grupo de debate sobre normas
21	1	DRAFT ANNEX TO ISPM 28: Cold treatment for	С	Category: EDITORIAL (19) Nepal (29 Sep 2020 7:11 AM) We have no comment on the draft annex
		Bactrocera tryoni on Vitis vinifera (2017-023B)		

22	1	DRAFT ANNEX TO ISPM 28: Cold treatment for Bactrocera tryoni on Vitis vinifera (2017-023B)	С	Category : SUBSTANTIVE (13) Viet Nam (25 Sep 2020 11:58 AM) Viet Nam would like to support agreement with this draft
23	13	2018-05 SC-Standards Committee (SC) added topic Cold treatment of Bactrocera tryoni on table grapes (2017-023B) to the TPPT work programme with priority 1.	Р	Category: EDITORIAL (23) European Union (29 Sep 2020 4:35 PM) Acronym to be developed for its first use.
24	13	2018-05 SC-Standards Committee (SC) added topic <i>Cold treatment of</i> Bactrocera tryoni <i>on table grapes</i> (2017-023B) to the TPPT work programme with priority 1.	P	Category: EDITORIAL (9) EPPO (15 Sep 2020 1:19 PM) Acronym to be developed for its first use.
25	40	For both schedules, the fruit must reach the treatment temperature before treatment exposure time commences. The fruit core temperature should be monitored and recorded in intervals, and the temperature should not exceed the stated level throughout the duration of the treatment. The treatment should be repeated if temperature fluctuated outside the scheduled scheme.	P	Category: TECHNICAL (3) Egypt (27 Aug 2020 3:42 PM)
26	45	Schedules 1 and 2 were based on the work of De Lima <i>et al.</i> (2011) and NSW DPI (2007) and developed using failure to pupariate as the measure of mortality.	С	Category: SUBSTANTIVE (15) PPPO (27 Sep 2020 11:24 PM) Some considerations to guide NPPOs would be appreciated: Quarantine agencies need to know if live larvae are possible after the cold treatment. Inspectors are unlikely to encounter live larvae but in the unlikely situation that this is encountered, should this be considered as a treatment failure? The statistics provided give high confidence that no larvae would be able to pupate. Failure to pupariate is an accurate method to measure mortality but it is likely to be too delayed for decision making at the border.
27	47	Schedule 2 was developed using the cultivars 'Red Globe', 'Crimson Seedless' and 'Thompson Seedless'.	С	Category : SUBSTANTIVE (8) Botswana (15 Sep 2020 11:35 AM) agreed
28	52	NSW DPI (New South Wales Department of Primary Industries). 2007. Cold treatment of Australian table grapes infested with eggs and larvae of the Queensland fruit fly (Bactrocera tryoni (Froggatt)) Diptera: Tephritidae. Gosford, Australia, NSW DPI. 120pp 120 pp.	Р	Category: EDITORIAL (24) European Union (29 Sep 2020 4:36 PM) Typo: missing space.
29	52	NSW DPI (New South Wales Department of Primary Industries). 2007. Cold treatment of Australian table grapes infested with eggs and larvae of the Queensland fruit fly (Bactrocera tryoni (Froggatt)) Diptera: Tephritidae. Gosford, Australia, NSW DPI. 120pp120 pp.	P	Category: EDITORIAL (10) EPPO (15 Sep 2020 1:19 PM) Typo: missing space.