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***[1]***Draft ANNEX TO ISPM 28: Irradiation treatment for *Pseudococcus jackbeardsleyi* (2017-027)

***[2]*Status box**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ***[3]***This is not an official part of the annex to the standard and it will be modified by the IPPC Secretariat after adoption. | |
| ***[4]***Date of this document | ***[5]***2021-02-15 |
| ***[6]***Document category | ***[7]***Draft annex to ISPM 28 |
| ***[8]***Current document stage | ***[9]****To* first consultation |
| ***[10]***Major stages | ***[11]***2017-06 Submitted to in response to 2017-02 call for treatments.  ***[12]***2017-07 Technical Panel on Phytosanitary Treatments (TPPT) reviewed and requested further information from submitter.  ***[13]***2018-05 SC added the topic *Irradiation treatment for* Pseudococcus jackbeardsleyi (2017-027) to the TPPT work programme with priority 3.  ***[14]***2018-03 TPPT revised the draft PT and requested further information from submitter.  ***[15]***2019-07 TPPT requested further information from submitter.  ***[16]***2020-06 Submitter provided further information.  ***[17]***2020-10 TPPT reviewed the draft and recommended it to the SC for consultation  ***[18]***2021-03 Standards Committee (SC) for approved for first consultation via e-decision (2020\_eSC\_May\_12). |
| ***[19]***Treatment Lead | ***[20]***2019-07 Walther ENKLERLIN (IAEA)  ***[21]***2017-07 Andrew PARKER (AT) |
| ***[22]***Notes | ***[23]***2021-02 Edited |

***[24]***

***[25]***Scope of the treatment

***[26]***This treatment describes the irradiation of fruits, vegetables and ornamental plants at 166 Gy minimum absorbed dose to prevent development of second-instar nymphs from mature adult females of *Pseudococcus jackbeardsleyi* at the stated efficacy.[[1]](#footnote-1)

***[28]***Treatment description

***[29]*Name of treatment** Irradiation treatment for *Pseudococcus jackbeardsleyi*

***[30]*Active ingredient** n/a

***[31]*Treatment type** Irradiation

***[32]*Target pest** *Pseudococcus jackbeardsleyi* Gimpel & Miller, 1996 (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae)

***[33]*Target regulated articles** All fruits, vegetables and ornamental plants that are hosts of *Pseudococcus jackbeardsleyi*

***[34]***Treatment schedule

***[35]***Minimum absorbed dose of 166 Gy to prevent development to the second-instar nymph stage of progeny from mature adult females of *Pseudococcus jackbeardsleyi*.

***[36]***There is 95% confidence that the treatment according to this schedule prevents offspring developing to the second-instar nymph stage from not less than 99.9964% of mature adult females of *Pseudococcus jackbeardsleyi*.

***[37]***This treatment should be applied in accordance with the requirements of ISPM 18 (*Guidelines for the use of irradiation as a phytosanitary measure*).

***[38]***This treatment should not be applied to fruit, vegetables or ornamental plants stored in modified atmospheres because modified atmosphere may affect the treatment efficacy.

***[39]***Other relevant information

***[40]***Because irradiation may not result in outright mortality, inspectors may encounter live but non-viable *Pseudococcus jackbeardsleyi* eggs, nymphs and adults during the inspection process. This does not imply a failure of the treatment.

***[41]***The Technical Panel on Phytosanitary Treatments (TPPT) based its evaluation of this treatment on the research reported by Zhan *et al.* (2016), which determined the efficacy of irradiation as a treatment for this pest on potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) and pumpkin (*Cucurbita pepo*). The TPPT also considered information on the effect of irradiation on *Pseudococcus jackbearsleyi* in Hofmeyr *et al.* (2016) and Shao *et al.* (2013).

***[42]***The efficacy of this schedule was calculated based on a total of 83,905 mature adult females treated preventing offspring developing to the second instar nymph stage; in the control development of offspring from neonates was 98.0%.

***[43]***Extrapolation of treatment efficacy to all fruits vegetables and ornamental plants was based on knowledge and experience that radiation dosimetry systems measure the actual radiation dose absorbed by the target pest independent of host commodity, and evidence from research studies on a variety of pests and commodities. These include studies on the following pests and hosts: *Anastrepha fraterculus* (*Eugenia pyriformis, Malus pumila* and *Mangifera indica*); *Anastrepha ludens* (*Citrus paradisi, Citrus sinensis, Mangifera indica* and artificial diet), *Anastrepha obliqua* (*Averrhoa carambola*, *Citrus sinensis* and *Psidium guajava*); *Anastrepha suspensa* (*Averrhoa carambola*, *Citrus paradisi* and *Mangifera indica*), *Bactrocera tryoni* (*Citrus sinensis*, *Solanum lycopersicum*, *Malus domestica*, *Mangifera indica*, *Persea* *americana* and *Prunus avium*), Cydia pomonella (*Malus pumila* and artificial diet) and *Grapholita molesta* (*Malus pumila* and artificial diet), *Pseudococcus jackbeardsleyi* (*Cucurbita* sp. and *Solanum tuberosum*), *Tribolium confusum* (*Triticum aestivum*, *Hordeum vulgare* and *Zea mays*) (Bustos *et al*., 2004; Gould and von Windeguth, 1991; Hallman, 2004a, 2004b, 2013; Hallman and Martinez, 2001; Hallman et al., 2010; Jessup et al., 1992; Mansour, 2003; Tunçbilek and Kansu, 1996; von Windeguth, 1986; von Windeguth and Ismail, 1987; Zhan *et al*., 2016). It is recognized, however, that treatment efficacy has not been tested for all potential fruit, vegetable and ornamental plant hosts of the target pest. If evidence becomes available to show that the extrapolation of the treatment to cover all hosts of this pest is incorrect, the treatment will be reviewed.
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***[61]*Potential implementation issues**

***[62]***This section is not part of the standard. The Standards Committee in May 2016 requested the Secretariat to gather information on any potential implementation issues related to this draft. Please provide details and proposals on how to address these potential implementation issues.

1. ***[27]*** The scope of phytosanitary treatments does not include issues related to pesticide registration or other domestic requirements for contracting parties’ approval of treatments. Treatments adopted by the Commission on Phytosanitary Measures may not provide information on specific effects on human health or food safety, which should be addressed using domestic procedures before contracting parties approve a treatment. In addition, potential effects of treatments on product quality are considered for some host commodities before their international adoption. However, evaluation of any effects of a treatment on the quality of commodities may require additional consideration. There is no obligation for a contracting party to approve, register or adopt the treatments for use in its territory. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)