*Promoting Strategic and Effective Partnerships towards the IPPC Implementation*

*Prepared by the IPPC Secretariat*

1. Introduction

This paper is intended to trigger a discussion within the IPPC community on how IPPC partnerships may continue to support the IPPC work plan, particularly in terms of implementing the Convention, its ISPMs, CPM Recommendations and the IPPC Strategic Framework 2020- 2030 as well as intensifying resource mobilisation activities. The IPPC Secretariat conducted a thorough review of the list of IPPC partners, analysed the new IPPC Strategic Framework 2020 – 2030 and its development agenda and is proposing an in-depth strategic discussion by the IPPC community on how to proceed in this domain so that it aligns with past CPM decisions while promoting effective collaborations with standing and future partners.

2. Background and rationale

The Secretariat of the International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC) maintains strong links on behalf of the Commission on Phytosanitary Measures (CPM) with organizations that share common interests and objectives, with the purpose of supporting the implementation of the Convention, its ISPMs and CPM Recommendations, the IPPC Strategic Framework (SF) 2020-2030 and the annual IPPC work plans and budgets.

The IPPC text itself recognises the importance of international cooperation amongst national plant protection organizations (NPPOs) established by IPPC contracting parties, and of regional plant protection organizations (RPPOs) as coordinating bodies that participate in various activities to achieve the objectives of this Convention, gather and disseminate information, and cooperate with the IPPC Secretariat and the CPM in developing international standards[[1]](#footnote-2).

Recalling Article I.1 of the IPPC*[[2]](#footnote-3)*, the IPPC Partnership Strategy should take into consideration the evolving environment, paying particular attention to the IPPC Strategic Framework 2020 – 2030, the CPM and IPPC Secretariat positions within the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). The IPPC Secretariat reviews the Memoranda of Understanding (MoUs) that FAO concludes with any entity and joins the negotiations as appropriate, reporting back about such activities to the CPM and its Bureau. These activities are not included in the IPPC Partnership Strategy as they are IPPC Secretariat’s ordinary duties to deliver the IPPC mission, vision, goals and work plan. Also, such activities originate from FAO and are the consequence of the daily monitoring of activities by the IPPC Secretariat as part of the Organization’s structure.

At its fifteenth session, the CPM adopted the IPPC Strategic Framework 2020 – 2030, defining the mission (*Protect global plant resources and facilitate safe trade*), vision (*The spread of plant pests is minimised and their impacts within countries are effectively managed*) and goals (*All countries have the capacity to implement harmonised measures to prevent pest introductions and spread, and minimise the impacts of pests on food security, trade, economic growth, and the environment*)[[3]](#footnote-4). The IPPC SF 2020 – 2030 also identifies three strategic objectives:

* enhance global food security and increase sustainable agricultural productivity;
* protect the environment from the impacts of plant pests; and
* facilitate safe trade, development and economic growth.

At this stage and as a consequence of the recent institutional decisions, the IPPC community may wish to reconsider and analyse how IPPC partnerships should contribute to the IPPC mission through its vision and support the attainment of the IPPC goals and IPPC SF 2020 – 2030 strategic objectives.

As of today, an IPPC partners list has been maintained in cooperation with FAO to allow participation by relevant international organizations in the sessions of the Commission on Phytosanitary Measures (CPM). The current classification of IPPC partners includes the following categories: United Nations Organizations (UNOs), Inter-governmental Organizations (IGOs) and Non-State Actors (NSA), which are divided into Academia and Research Organizations (AROs), Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Private Sector (PS).

3. Definition of an IPPC partner and current key partners

An IPPC partner is an entity not included by the Convention that, through a written agreement, engages in activities aligning with the IPPC mission, vision and goals as well as the IPPC Strategic Framework 2020 – 2030 strategic objectives and principles.

Currently, IPPC key partners include[[4]](#footnote-5):

* the World Trade Organization (WTO) Committee on Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures (SPS), which recognizes the standards, guidelines and recommendations developed under the auspices of the IPPC Secretariat as the reference for trade disputes in the area of plant health[[5]](#footnote-6).
* the Convention of Biological Diversity (CBD), given the IPPC’s role in protecting the environment and biodiversity from Invasive Alien Species as plant pests, and the IPPC Secretariat’s membership of the Biodiversity Liaison Group since 2015[[6]](#footnote-7).
* Climate-change related organizations. The IPPC SF 2020-2030 calls for greater attention to phytosanitary issues in general policy considerations on climate change, and also for better incorporating the topic of plant health into the overall mainstream of the global climate change debate. It is also pivotal to better incorporate climate change considerations into plant health policies.
* Academia and Research Organizations in the field of plant health. FAO has a large number of collaborative agreements with Academia and Research Organisations active in the field of plant health, and these collaborations have proven to foster sharing and exchanging knowledge and technical expertise, promoting innovative research techniques, and raising awareness on key issues related to plant health, food safety, biodiversity and climate change. Considering the IPPC SF 2020 – 2030 Development Agenda, these collaborations may be revised and expanded to become partnerships.
* Private Sector. Private sector organizations and companies are of extreme importance, particularly those working on trade facilitation issues, including organizations engaged in the IPPC ePhyto solution via the ePhyto Industry Advisory Group[[7]](#footnote-8) and those working on e-commerce, sea container, and ISPM implementation issues.
* Other organizations such as the Global Alliance for Trade Facilitation, a part of the World Economic Forum. The Alliance is funded by a number of governmental aid organizations and has input on its efforts via a steering group made up of representatives of both its donor governments and its business partners.

4. Purpose, scope and objectives

The purpose of the IPPC Partnership Strategy is the establishment of a framework to facilitate formal agreements with other entities not included in the Convention, as well as to review and enhance standing IPPC partnerships when appropriate.

The IPPC Partnership Strategy applies to any entities not included in the Convention that wish to engage in activities aligned with the IPPC mission, vision and goals as well as the IPPC Strategic Framework 2020 – 2030 strategic objectives and principles. The IPPC Partnership Strategy does not include collaborations that may be considered extemporaneous and/or lack continuity (e.g. IPPC participation in public events, media engagement and occasional seminars not organised by the IPPC community, etc.).

The IPPC Partnership Strategy’s primary objective is to enlarge the number of entities as and enhance existing relationships as defined in section V contributing to the implementation of the Convention, its ISPMs, CPM Recommendations and the IPPC Strategic Framework.

5. Establishing an IPPC Partnership: principles for engagement and suggested approach

Pursuant to Article XI.2.(f) of the IPPC, the Commission on Phytosanitary Measures establishes cooperation with other relevant international organizations on matters covered by the Convention. The CPM Bureau and the IPPC Secretariat provide CPM with the necessary support in this task by identifying, proposing and liaising with potential IPPC partners. All IPPC partnerships must be detailed in a written agreement specifying the focal points from the IPPC Secretariat and the entity, the respective duties and responsibilities, expected outcomes, a suggested timeline and work plan for implementation as well as key performance indicators (KPIs) to monitor and evaluate the implementation of the partnership.

Engagements should:

1. demonstrate a clear contribution to the attainment of the IPPC mission, vision, objectives, Strategic Framework 2020 – 2030 as well as the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development and the UN SDGs;
2. respect the values of the IPPC, FAO and the United Nations;
3. not compromise IPPC community’s neutrality, impartiality, integrity, independence, credibility or reputation;
4. be effectively managed and avoid any conflicts of interest or other risks to the IPPC community;
5. respect the intergovernmental nature of the IPPC and the decision-making authority of its contracting parties as set out by the Convention;
6. support and enhance, without compromise, the neutral and independent scientific and evidence-based approach that underpins IPPC community’s work;
7. protect the IPPC community from any undue influence, especially on processes for setting and applying policies, norms and standards;
8. be conducted based on transparency, openness, inclusiveness, accountability, integrity and mutual respect;

The IPPC contracting parties (CPs), the CPM Bureau and the IPPC Secretariat may propose to assess an entity to become an IPPC partner. The IPPC Partnership Strategy should envisage three procedures to assess whether an entity may be a suitable IPPC partner:

1. Any IPPC CP may request that their regional CPM Bureau representative assess whether an entity may be suitable to become an IPPC partner. At its first available session, the CPM Bureau will inform the IPPC Secretariat about the IPPC CP’s request and ask it to liaise with the suggested entity. The IPPC Secretariat and the CPM Bureau assess the partnership viability and present any potential written agreement at the first available CPM session;
2. The CPM Bureau may request the IPPC Secretariat to initiate the assessment of any potential IPPC Partner and ask the IPPC Secretariat to liaise with the suggested entity. The IPPC Secretariat and the CPM Bureau assess the partnership viability and present any potential written agreement at the first available CPM session; and
3. The IPPC Secretariat may suggest to the CPM Bureau of a potential IPPC partner. The CPM Bureau reviews the suggestion and asks the IPPC Secretariat to liaise with the suggested entity. The IPPC Secretariat and the CPM Bureau assess the partnership viability and present any potential written agreement at the first available CPM session.

Each category requires a tailored approach that the IPPC Secretariat drafts on a case-by-case basis but all potential IPPC partners must be entities to approach them directly. The IPPC Secretariat must include the national plant protection organization (NPPO) to liaise with national or sub-national entities that may be considered as IPPC partners, in line with the message shared by the FAO Legal Counsel, Ms Donata RUGARABAMU, on 23 December 2020, drawing attention to Rule XXXIX of the General Rules of the Organization addressing Consultation by the FAO Director-General with Governments under Article XIII, paragraph 4 of the FAO Constitution. Paragraph 1 of that Rule[[8]](#footnote-9).

Noting that CPM is established within the framework of the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations[[9]](#footnote-10), when dealing with sub-national entities, the CPM function to conclude agreements establishes formal relations and, therefore, the Members should be consulted, through the window/concerned national ministry (e.g. the Ministry of Agriculture) or the Ministry of Foreign Affairs – of contracting parties for the IPPC. More specifically, considering the Basic Texts and potential political and legal risks:

1. **General framework arrangements**, such as Memoranda of Understanding, may be signed with sub-national entities subject to prior confirmation from the national government that it supports the proposed collaboration with the sub-national entity;
2. Agreements related to **programmes, projects and technical cooperation activities with provincial/regional/state governments** may be signed where:
	1. the national government is a co-signatory; **or**
	2. the agreement is concluded under the framework of a separate agreement already signed by FAO with the national government (such as OPAs or LOAs under the overall framework of a project agreement to which the national government is a signatory or co/signatory) and the national government has endorsed a document that identifies the engagement of the sub-national entity in the activity; **or**
	3. the agreement is subject to prior written confirmation from the national government that it supports the activity.
3. **Agreements with municipalities or cities** – including funding agreements – may be signed subject to prior written confirmation from the municipality that it has the requisite authority under national law to enter into such arrangements.

The IPPC Secretariat presents an outline of the IPPC partnership status at CPM and Strategic Planning Group meetings each year, including the standing partnerships as well as those under negotiation, specifying the level of implementation for standing partnerships and the expected outcomes of the potential ones (e.g. resource mobilisation, joint activities on phytosanitary matters, implementation and capacity development, promotion, advocacy, etc.).

6. IPPC Partnership categorisation

IPPC partners may be identified amongst the following categories:

* United Nations Organizations (UNOs);
* Intergovernmental Organizations (IGOs);
* Non-State Actors (NSA), which may be:
	+ Academia and Research Organizations (AROs);
	+ Private Sector (PS);
	+ Civil Society Organizations (CSOs).

This categorisation should facilitate the identification of the envisaged partnership for each category. The IPPC Partnership Strategy should define general objectives of establishing formal relationships with any entities on this basis.

6.1 United Nations Organizations (UNOs)

The IPPC community has been contributing to the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development and United Nations Organizations have been identified as custodians of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). The IPPC community may consider establishing partnerships with such UNOs contributing to one or more SDG to which the IPPC community is already working towards through the deliverance of the IPPC mission and SF 2020 – 2030 strategic objectives. Other relevant UNOs may also be considered as IPPC partners as appropriate.

6.2 Intergovernmental Organizations (IGOs)

Intergovernmental organizations (IGOs) often gather their members on specific objectives or on a territorial basis[[10]](#footnote-11). The IPPC Secretariat will conduct an analysis of potential IPPC partners that may be suitable to facilitate the deliverance of the IPPC, its ISPMs, CPM Recommendations, mission, vision, goals and the SF 2020 – 2030, particularly to create synergies that may optimise the use of allocated resources and with resource mobilisation purposes primarily. Territorial or Regional IGOs may be considered to support the RPPO work, if appropriate.

6.3 Non-State Actors (NSAs)

6.3.1 Academia and Research Organizations (AROs)

The IPPC Strategic Framework 2020 – 2030 Development Agenda includes an item on *Global Phytosanitary Research Coordination* and the IPPC community is engaged in some forms of research coordination. CPM-15 established a Focus Group on the Implementation of the IPPC Strategic Framework 2020 – 2030 Development Agenda Items, which is developing an action plan on this topic and the IPPC Partnership Strategy should incorporate the outcome of the CPM FG’s work, once completed.

Academia and Research Organizations (AROs) should be considered as potential IPPC partners with the purpose of enhancing the technical expertise of the IPPC community primarily. AROs dealing in phytosanitary matters and trade facilitation should be the primary target of the IPPC Partnership Strategy, bearing in mind the implications of approaching sub-national entities (e.g. universities, research centres, laboratories, etc.)

6.3.2 Private Sector (PS)

FAO largely adopts the definition of the United Nations Sustainable Development Group (UNSDG) Common Approach to Prospect Research and Due Diligence for Business Sector Partnerships, in which engagement with the private sector is defined as “any type of interaction with business entities, with different objectives, ranging from informal talks and discussions, to knowledge-exchange platforms, to full-fledged partnerships entailing funding. These engagements may be implemented through different modalities, including but not limited to partnering, and may entail different levels of public exposure[[11]](#footnote-12).”

FAO reviewed its partnership strategy in 2020[[12]](#footnote-13) and the IPPC Partnership Strategy aims to align with the Organization’s approach towards the private sector engagement. The IPPC community should consider adopting FAO’s rationale for partnering with the private sector (“*FAO recognizes the unique role of the private sector in innovation, trade, finance and investment and its ability to impact on food systems transformation at scale. FAO has a strong interest in increasing its engagement with the private sector to avail of this potential and to better respond to Members’ needs.[[13]](#footnote-14)*”) and for the private sector to partner with the IPPC community (“*Many private sector firms and associations are demonstrating a growing commitment to aligning their business strategies, practices and investments with the values and objectives of the 2030 Agenda. Private sector actors are seeing the expertise of organizations such as FAO, which can provide guidance on sustainable and socially responsible business practices.[[14]](#footnote-15)*”)

6.3.3 Civil Society Organizations (CSOs)

Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) should be considered as potential IPPC partners after assessing the concrete contribution to the IPPC mission, vision and goals as well as to the IPPC Strategic Framework 2020 – 2030 strategic objectives. CSOs’ concrete contribution should also be continuous and verifiable. The IPPC Secretariat will gather the necessary documentation to support the assessment of any CSO when reporting to the CPM Bureau. Partnering with CSOs should aim at ensuring inclusivity and the enrichment of the IPPC work globally.

7. Conclusions

The current paper on the IPPC Partnership Strategy outlined the main principles and features to identify, engage, liaise and revise IPPC partners. The latest IPPC Strategic Framework 2020 – 2030 contains a strong partnership component that the IPPC Governing Bodies and Secretariat should implement jointly and in coordination. The discussion on priorities and modalities of engagement for the coming decade require a strategic discussion within the IPPC community to provide guidance to the IPPC Secretariat, bearing in mind the potential future needs to shift priorities and engagement levels if needed.

The SPG is invited to:

1. *Discuss* the paper on the IPPC Partnership Strategy, particularly providing strategic guidance on the role of each entity and the principles of engagement.
2. *Endorse* the paper on the IPPC Partnership Strategy for discussion by the CPM at its next session.

**Annex 1 – List of IPPC standing collaborations**

**Table 1. IPPC partners – UNO**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **N.**  | **Organization**  | **Abbr.**  | **IPPC Contact Point**  | **Partner Org. Contact Point**  | **Type**  | **Main link to IPPC**  | **MoU with FAO?**  | **Agreement / Joint Work Plan with IPPC Sec?**  |
| 1  | [Biological and Toxic Weapons Convention](https://www.ippc.int/en/external-cooperation/organizations-page-in-ipp/biologicalweaponsconvention/)  | BWC | Mr Artur Shamilov | Mr Daniel Feakes  | UNO  |   |   |   |
| 2  | [Codex Alimentarius](https://www.ippc.int/en/external-cooperation/organizations-page-in-ipp/codexalimentarius/)  | Codex | Mr Mirko Montuori  | Ms Gracia Brisco | UNO  | SPS sister  |   |   |
| 3  | [Convention on Biological Diversity](https://www.ippc.int/en/external-cooperation/organizations-page-in-ipp/cbd/)  | CBD | Mr Avetik Nersisyan / Ms Adriana Moreira (for Global Taxonomy Initiative) / Mr Mirko Montuori (for communication)  | Ms Junko Shimura | UNO  | BLG  |   | Joint work plan 2020-2021  |
| 4  | Inter-Governmental Panel on Climate Change  | IPPC  | Ms Viivi Kuvaja  |   | UNO  | IPPC development agenda on climate change impacts on plant health  |   |   |
| 5  | [International Atomic Energy Agency](https://www.ippc.int/en/external-cooperation/organizations-page-in-ipp/internationalatomicenergyagency/)  | IAEA  | Ms Adriana Moreira  | Mr Rui Cardoso Pereira  | UNO  | Joint FAO/IAEA work, TPPT  |   |   |
| 6  | [International Maritime Organization](https://www.ippc.int/en/external-cooperation/organizations-page-in-ipp/imo/)  | IMO  | Ms Ketevan Lomsadze  | Mr Alfredo Parroquin-Ohlson  | UNO  | Sea Container Task Force  |   |   |
| 7  | International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture  | ITPGRFA  | Mr Avetik Nersisyan  | Mr Kent Nnadozie  | UNO  | BLG  |   |   |
| 8  | [Ozone Secretariat (UN Environment)](https://www.ippc.int/en/external-cooperation/organizations-page-in-ipp/ozonesecretariat/)  |   | Ms Adriana Moreira  | Ms Sophia Mylona  | UNO  |   |   |   |
| 9  | [United Nations Environment](https://www.ippc.int/en/external-cooperation/organizations-page-in-ipp/unep/) Programme  | UNEP  | Mr Mirko Montuori  | Ms Diane Klaimi  | UNO  | MEA and project on synergies among biodiversity-related conventions  |   |   |
| 10  | [United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change](https://www.ippc.int/en/external-cooperation/organizations-page-in-ipp/unfccc/)  | UNFCCC  | Mr Mirko Montuori / Ms Viivi Kuvaja  | Mr Peter Iversen  | UNO  | IPPC development agenda on climate change impacts on plant health  |   |   |
| 11  | United Nations International Computing Centre  | UNICCC  | Mr Craig Fedchock  |   | UNO  | ePhyto  |   |   |
| 12  | Universal Postal Union  | UPU  | Mr Mirko Montuori / Ms Barbara Peterson  |   | UNO  | IYPH, advocacy and e-commerce  |   |   |
| 13  | World Meteorological Organization  | WMO  | Ms Viivi Kuvaja  |   | UNO  | IPPC development agenda on climate change impacts on plant health  |   |   |

**Table 2. IPPC partners – IGOs**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **N.**  | **Organization**  | **Abbr.**  | **IPPC Contact Point**  | **Partner Org. Contact Point**  | **Type**  | **Main link to IPPC**  | **MoU with FAO?**  | **Agreement / Joint Work Plan with IPPC Sec?**  |
| 1  | [Centre for Agriculture and Biosciences International](http://www.ippc.int/en/external-cooperation/organizations-page-in-ipp/cabi/)  | CABI  | Mr Brent Larson  | Mr Washington Otieno  | IGO  | The global burden of crop loss, IYPH support  | No  |   |
| 2  | Centre international de hautes études agronomiques méditerranéennes  | CIHEAM  | Arop Deng  | Ms Anna Maria D’Onghia  | IGO  | Joint training, work on climate change, IYPH support (IYPH ISC member)  | Yes  |   |
| 3  | Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora  | CITES  | Mr Brent Larson  |   | IGO  | BLG member  |   |   |
| 4  | Eurasian Economic Commission  | EEC  | Mr Avetik Nersisyan  |   | IGO  |   |   |   |
| 5  | European Phytosanitary Research Coordination  | Euphresco  | Mr Arop Deng  | Mr Baldissera Giovani  | IGO  | Joint paper on research coordination, IYPH support  | No  |   |
| 6  | [Inter American Institute for Cooperation in Agriculture](https://www.ippc.int/en/external-cooperation/organizations-page-in-ipp/iica/)  | IICA  | Mr Descartes Koumba  | Mr Robert Ahern  | IGO  | IPPC Regional Workshops and projects  |   |   |
| 7  | International Organization for Standardization  | ISO  | Ms Adriana Moreira  | Mr Michael Sussman  | IGO  | Standard setting  |   |   |
| 8  | [International Seed Testing Association](https://www.ippc.int/en/external-cooperation/organizations-page-in-ipp/internationalseedtestingassociation/)  | ISTA  | Ms Ketevan Lomsadze  | Mr Andreas Wais  | IGO  | ISPM 38 implementation  |   |   |
| 9  | [Standards Trade Development Facility](https://www.ippc.int/en/external-cooperation/organizations-page-in-ipp/stdf/)  | STDF  | Mr Brent Larson  | Mr Melvin Spreij  | IGO  | STDF working group  |   |   |
| 10  | [World Animal Health Organization](https://www.ippc.int/en/external-cooperation/organizations-page-in-ipp/oie/)  | OIE  | Mr Jingyuan Xia  |   | IGO  | SPS sister  |   |   |
| 11  | [World Bank](http://www.ippc.int/en/external-cooperation/organizations-page-in-ipp/worldbank/)  | WB  | Mr Craig Fedchock  | Mr William John Gain  | IGO  | ePhyto  |   |   |
| 12  | [World Customs Organization](https://www.ippc.int/en/external-cooperation/organizations-page-in-ipp/wco/)  | WCO  | Mr Craig Fedchock / Mr Brent Larson  | Mr Ozlem Soysanli  | IGO  | e-commerce, ePhyto  |   | Joint work plan 2020-2021  |
| 13  | World Economic Forum  | WEF  | Mr Craig Fedchock  |   | IGO  | ePhyto  |   |   |
| 14  | [World Trade Organization](https://www.ippc.int/en/external-cooperation/organizations-page-in-ipp/wto/)  | WTO  | Mr Brent Larson  | Mr Rolando Alcala  | IGO  | SPS committee  |   |   |

**Table 3. IPPC partner organizations – NSA**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **N.**  | **Organization**  | **Abbr.**  | **IPPC Contact Point**  | **Partner Org. Contact Point**  | **Type**  | **Main link to IPPC**  | **MoU with FAO?**  | **Agreement / Joint Work Plan with IPPC Sec?**  |
| 1  | Container Owners Association  | COA  | Ms Ketevan Lomsadze  | Mr Michael Patrik Downes  | NSA-PS  | Sea Container Task Force  |   |   |
| 2  | European potato trade association  | EUROPATAT  | Mr Craig Fedchock  |   | NSA-PS  | ePhyto IAG member  |   |   |
| 3  | European Seed Association  | ESA  | Mr Craig Fedchock  |   | NSA-PS  | ePhyto IAG member  |   |   |
| 4  | European fresh fruit and vegetables chain  | Freshfel Europe  | Mr Craig Fedchock  |   | NSA-PS  | ePhyto IAG member  |   |   |
| 5  | Global Alliance for Trade Facilitation  | GATFA  | Mr Craig Fedchock  |   | NSA-PS  | ePhyto IAG observer  |   |   |
| 6  | Global Express Association  | GSA  | Ms Barbara Peterson  | Mr Carlos Grau Tanner  | NSA-PS  | e-commerce, ePhyto IAG member  |   |   |
| 7  | International Community of Breeders of Asexually Reproduced Ornamental and Fruit Varieties  | CIOPORA  | Mr Craig Fedchock  |   | NSA-PS  | ePhyto IAG member  |   |   |
| 8  | International Cotton Advisory Committee  | ICAC  | Mr Craig Fedchock  |   | NSA-PS  | ePhyto IAG member  |   |   |
| 9  | International Flower Trade Association  | Union Fleurs  | Mr Craig Fedchock  |   | NSA-PS  | ePhyto IAG member  |   |   |
| 10  | International Grain Trade Coalition  | IGTC  | Mr Craig Fedchock  | Ms Katy Lee  | NSA-PS  | ISPM 38 implementation, ePhyto IAG member  |   |   |
| 11  | International Olive Council  | IOC  | Ms Sarah Brunel  | Mr Abdellatif Ghedira  | NSA-PS  |   |   |   |
| 12  | [International Seed Federation](https://www.ippc.int/en/external-cooperation/organizations-page-in-ipp/internationalseedfederation/)  | ISF  | Ms Ketevan Lomsadze/Mr Mirko Montuori  | Ms Rose Souza Richards  | NSA-PS  | ISPM 38 implementation, ePhyto IAG member  |   |   |
| 13  | International Wood Products Association  | IWPA  | Mr Craig Fedchock  |   | NSA-PS  | ePhyto IAG member  |   |   |
| 14  | National Alfalfa and Forage Association  | NAFA  | Mr Craig Fedchock  |   | NSA-PS  | ePhyto IAG member  |   |   |
| 15  | Entomological Society of America  | ESA  | Mr Deng Arop  | Mr Chris Stelzig  | NSA-ARO  | Joint initiatives, IYPH support  | No  |   |
| 16  | European Phytosanitary Research Network  | Euphresco  | Mr Arop Deng  | Mr Baldissera Giovani  | NSA-ARO  | Development agenda on global phytosanitary research coordination  |   |   |
| 17  | International Association for the Plant Protection Sciences  | IAPPS  | Mr Arop Deng   | Mr Elvis Heinrichs  | NSA-ARO  | IPPC Congress, IYPH support  | No  |   |
| 18  | [International Forestry Quarantine Research Group](https://www.ippc.int/en/external-cooperation/organizations-page-in-ipp/internationalforestryquarantineresearchgroup/)  | IFQRG  | Mr Brent Larson  | Mr Michael Ormsby  | NSA-ARO  | Support to TPs work  | No  |   |
| 19  | [International Pest Risk Research Group](https://www.ippc.int/fr/external-cooperation/organizations-page-in-ipp/internationalpestriskresearchgroup)  | IPRRG  | Mr Denis Allex  | Mr Alan MacLeod  | NSA-ARO  | Support on PRA related issues  | No  |   |
| 20  | International Society for Plant Pathology  | ISPP  | Mr Arop Deng   | Ms Jan Leach  | NSA-ARO  | Joint publications, IYPH support  | No  |   |
| 21  | Italian Society for Plant Pathology   | SIPAV  | Mr Mirko Montuori  | Mr Massimo Reverberi  | NSA-ARO  | Joint initiatives and publications, IYPH support  | No  |   |
| 22  | [Phytosanitary Measures Research Group](https://www.ippc.int/en/external-cooperation/organizations-page-in-ipp/phytosanitarymeasuresresearchgroup/)  | PMRG  | Ms Adriana Moreira  | Mr Peter Leach (Chairperson) and Ms Joanne Wilson (Secretary)  | NSA-ARO  | Support to IPPC standard setting work  | No  |   |
| 23  | Erasmus Mundus Programme of Plant Health  |   | Mr Arop Deng  | Mr Josep Armengol Forti  | NSA-ARO  | Joint initiatives, IYPH support  | No  |   |
| 24  | Imperial College  |   | Ms Ketevan Lomsadze  | Ms Megan Quinlan  | NSA-ARO  | Beyond compliance project  | No  | Yes, through STDF project  |
| 25  | La Sapienza University of Rome  |   | Mr Riccardo Mazzucchelli  |   | NSA-ARO  | Classes on IPPC, volunteers, student visits  | Yes  |   |
| 26  | University of Florence  |   | Mr Arop Deng   | Ms Laura Mugnai  | NSA-ARO  | Classes on IPPC, students visits  | No  |   |
| 27  | University of Naples “Suor Orsola Benincasa”  |   | Mr Mirko Montuori   | Ms Alessandra Storlazzi  | NSA-ARO  | Classes on IPPC  | No  |   |
| 28  | University of Padua  |   | Mr Mirko Montuori  | Mr Lucio Montecchio  | NSA-ARO  | Joint initiatives, IYPH support  | No  |   |
| 29  | University of Turin  |   | Mr Mirko Montuori  | Ms Maria Lodovica Gullino  | NSA-ARO  | Leading study on climate change, IYPH support  | Yes  |   |
| 30  | Wageningen University  |   | Mr Brent Larson  | Ms Cecile Kuster  | NSA-ARO  | IPPC M&E project  | Yes  |   |
| 31  | Committee of Professional Agricultural Organisations-General Confederation of Agricultural Cooperatives  | COPA-COGECA  | Mr Mirko Montuori  | Mr Pedro Gallardo  | NSA-CSO  | IYPH ISC member  |   |   |
| 32  | The Europe-Africa-Caribbean-Pacific Liaison Committee  | COLEACP  | Ms Sarah Brunel  | Ms Morag Webb  | NSA-CSO  | CPM travel support, translation of IPPC guides into French  |   |   |

1. Ref. IPPC text: <https://www.ippc.int/en/core-activities/governance/convention-text/> [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
2. Ibid.: “*With the purpose of securing common and effective action to prevent the spread and introduction of pests of plants and plant products, and to promote appropriate measures for their control, the contracting parties undertake to adopt the legislative, technical and administrative measures specified in this Convention and in supplementary agreements pursuant to Article XVI*” [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
3. IPPC Strategic Framework 2020 – 2030: <http://www.fao.org/3/cb3995en/cb3995en.pdf> [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
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5. Ref. WTO SPS text: <https://www.wto.org/english/tratop_e/sps_e/spsagr_e.htm> [↑](#footnote-ref-6)
6. Ref. BLG web page: <https://www.cbd.int/blg/> [↑](#footnote-ref-7)
7. Ref. <https://www.ippc.int/en/ephyto/ephyto-industry-advisory-group/> [↑](#footnote-ref-8)
8. “*In order to secure proper consultation with governments under Article XIII, paragraph 4 of the Constitution, the procedure, in general, shall be that the Director-General shall not establish formal or official relations with nationals or national institutions without consulting in advance the Member Nation or Associate Member concerned*” [↑](#footnote-ref-9)
9. Ref. IPPC text, Article XI.1: <https://www.ippc.int/en/core-activities/governance/convention-text/> [↑](#footnote-ref-10)
10. A list of UN-recognised IGOs may be found at this location: <https://www.un.org/en/about-us/intergovernmental-and-other-organizations> [↑](#footnote-ref-11)
11. FAO follows the UN Common Approach to Prospect Research and Due Diligence for Business Sector Partnerships, approved in August 2019. See UNSDG (2020) [↑](#footnote-ref-12)
12. FAO’s Strategy for Private Sector Engagement 2021-2025: <http://www.fao.org/3/nd961en/nd961en.pdf> [↑](#footnote-ref-13)
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