

## 2021 SECOND CONSULTATION

*1 July – 30 September 2021*

### Compiled comments for Draft 2019 & 2020 Amendments of ISPM 5 (1994-001)

#### Summary

Name	Summary
EPPO Σ	No comments from countries in the EPPO region
European Union	The comments on this draft document have been entered into the OCS by the European Commission on behalf of the EU and its Member States.
Iran	I have no comment.
Singapore	Singapore is supportive of proposed changes.
South Africa	The NPPOZA is in agreement with this draft and has no further comments
Trinidad and Tobago	In agreement with comments made in the CAHFSA Group
Venezuela	De acuerdo con lo plasmado en la norma la norma.

T (Type) - B = Bullet, C = Comment, P = Proposed Change, R = Rating

FAO sequential number	Para	Text	T	Comment
1	G	(General Comment)	C	<b>Mexico</b> Mexico supports OIRSA's comments <i>Category : TECHNICAL</i>
2	G	(General Comment)	C	<b>Costa Rica</b> No comment <i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i>
3	G	(General Comment)	C	<b>Nepal</b> Nepal agrees with the proposed Draft Amendments to ISPM 5: Glossary of phytosanitary terms <i>Category : EDITORIAL</i>
4	G	(General Comment)	C	<b>Guyana</b> Guyana has no objection at this time with the proposed changes. <i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i>
5	G	(General Comment)	C	<b>Canada</b> Canada supports the Draft 2019- 2020 Amendments to ISPM5. <i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i>
6	G	(General Comment)	C	<b>European Union</b> The EU and its MSs support the text as it has been proposed. <i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i>
7	G	(General Comment)	C	<b>Switzerland</b> Switzerland would like to formally endorse the EPPO comments submitted via the IPPC Online Comment System <i>Category : TECHNICAL</i>

8	G	(General Comment)	C	<b>Jamaica</b> Jamaica supports the comments from the IPPC Caribbean Regional Workshop <i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i>
9	G	(General Comment)	C	<b>IPPC Regional Workshop Africa</b> Malawi supports the draft with its comments made <i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i>
10	G	(General Comment)	C	<b>Colombia</b> No se tienen comentarios adicionales <i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i>
11	G	(General Comment)	C	<b>Barbados</b> Barbados agrees with the propose deletion and revision captured in this ISPM 5. <i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i>
12	G	(General Comment)	C	<b>Australia</b> Australia has reviewed and agrees with the suggested deletions and revisions. <i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i>
13	G	(General Comment)	C	<b>Congo</b> i agree with the draft ISPM,i have no comments to add <i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i>
14	G	(General Comment)	C	<b>Malawi</b> We support draft 2019 and 2020 amendments to ISPM5: glossary to phytosanitary terms (1994-001) <i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i>
15	G	(General Comment)	C	<b>Caribbean Agricultural Health and Food Safety Agency</b> We support the document and we have no issues with the adoption of the standard. <i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i>
16	G	(General Comment)	C	<b>Caribbean Agricultural Health and Food Safety Agency</b> T&T accepts the amendments that were made and has no additional comments at this time. <i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i>
17	G	(General Comment)	C	<b>Caribbean Agricultural Health and Food Safety Agency</b> No objections at this time. <i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i>
18	G	(General Comment)	C	<b>Caribbean Agricultural Health and Food Safety Agency</b> In agreement of the proposed revision of emergency action and detection survey and the deletion of the term incidence. <i>Category : TECHNICAL</i>
19	G	(General Comment)	C	<b>Caribbean Agricultural Health and Food Safety Agency</b> Barbados agrees with the deletions and revisions <i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i>
20	G	(General Comment)	C	<b>Caribbean Agricultural Health and Food Safety Agency</b> The Bahamas offers no objections to the proposed revisions and deletions. <i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i>

21	G	(General Comment)	C	<b>Thailand</b> Thailand has no objection on the Draft 2019 & 2020 Amendments of ISPM 5 (Glossary of Phytosanitary Terms). <i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i>
22	G	(General Comment)	C	<b>Saint Vincent and The Grenadines</b> Agree with the deletion of incidence from the glossary and the proposed revision of the definitions for Emergency actions and detection survey. <i>Category : TECHNICAL</i>
Draft 2019 and 2020 Amendments to ISPM 5: Glossary of phytosanitary terms (1994-001)				
23	1	<b>DRAFT 2019 AND 2020 AMENDMENTS TO ISPM 5: GLOSSARY OF PHYTOSANITARY TERMS (1994-001)</b>	C	<b>Uruguay</b> We agree with the document as it is, no comments <i>Category : TECHNICAL</i>
1. DELETIONS				
24	37	Proportion or number of units in which a <b>pest</b> is present in a sample, <b>consignment, field</b> or other defined population [CPM, 2009]	C	<b>Caribbean Agricultural Health and Food Safety Agency</b> T&T accepts the proposed deletion <i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i>
25	37	Proportion or number of units in which a <b>pest</b> is present in a sample, <b>consignment, field</b> or other defined population [CPM, 2009]	C	<b>Caribbean Agricultural Health and Food Safety Agency</b> Agreement with the proposed deletion <i>Category : TECHNICAL</i>
26	37	Proportion or number of units in which a <b>pest</b> is present in a sample, <b>consignment, field</b> or other defined population [CPM, 2009]	C	<b>Philippines</b> We agree with the deletion of the term incidence however, the term prevalence was also proposed to be used in its common dictionary sense, the area of low pest prevalence as defined in the glossary will be affected.  Definition of area of low pest prevalence covers pest in general (insects, diseases, weeds, etc.) <i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i>
27	37	Proportion or number of units in which a <b>pest</b> is present in a sample, <b>consignment, field</b> or other defined population [CPM, 2009]	C	<b>United States of America</b> We agree with the deletion. <i>Category : TECHNICAL</i>
2.1 “emergency action” (2018-044)				
28	60	A prompt <del>phytosanitary official action</del> operation undertaken to prevent the <b>entry, establishment or spread of a pest</b> in a new or unexpected <del>phytosanitary</del> situation <u>not addressed by existing phytosanitary measures</u>	C	<b>Caribbean Agricultural Health and Food Safety Agency</b> T&T accepts the proposed deletion <i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i>
29	60	A prompt <del>phytosanitary official action</del> operation undertaken to prevent the <b>entry, establishment or spread of a pest</b> in a new or unexpected <del>phytosanitary</del> situation <u>not addressed by existing phytosanitary measures</u>	C	<b>Caribbean Agricultural Health and Food Safety Agency</b> Agreement with the proposed revision <i>Category : TECHNICAL</i>
30	60	A prompt <del>phytosanitary official action</del> operation undertaken to prevent the <b>entry, establishment or spread of a pest</b> in a new or unexpected <del>phytosanitary</del> situation <u>not addressed by existing phytosanitary measures</u>	C	<b>Caribbean Agricultural Health and Food Safety Agency</b> Jamaica supports the revised definition for emergency action. <i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i>

31	60	A prompt <del>phytosanitary official action</del> operation undertaken to prevent the <u>entry, establishment or spread of a pest</u> in a new or unexpected <del>phytosanitary</del> situation <u>not addressed by existing phytosanitary measures</u>	C	<b>Philippines</b> Proposed definition: A prompt official operation undertaken to prevent establishment and spread of a pest in a new or unexpected situation not addressed by existing phytosanitary measures  However, PH request for further clarification on the difference between emergency measures and emergency actions. <i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i>
32	60	A prompt <del>phytosanitary official action</del> operation undertaken to prevent the <u>entry, establishment or spread of a pest</u> in a new or unexpected <del>phytosanitary</del> situation <u>not addressed by existing phytosanitary measures</u>	C	<b>United States of America</b> We agree with the proposed revision. <i>Category : TECHNICAL</i>
2.2 “detection survey” (consequential to 2015-013 “survey”)				
33	66	The objective of a detection survey is to determine whether a pest is present, meaning that presence and absence are equally possible outcomes of a detection <del>survey and it can thus be used to determine that a pest is absent</del> survey.	P	<b>IPPC Regional Workshop Africa</b> <i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i>
34	75	<del>Survey</del> conducted in an <del>area</del> to determine if <del>pests are present</del> <u>the presence or absence of pests</u> [FAO, 1990; revised FAO, 1995]	C	<b>Caribbean Agricultural Health and Food Safety Agency</b> T&T accepts the proposed deletion <i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i>
35	75	<del>Survey</del> conducted in an <del>area</del> to determine if <del>pests are present</del> <u>the presence or absence of pests</u> [FAO, 1990; revised FAO, 1995]	C	<b>Caribbean Agricultural Health and Food Safety Agency</b> Agreement with the proposed revision. <i>Category : TECHNICAL</i>
36	75	<del>Survey</del> conducted in an <del>area</del> to determine if <del>pests are present</del> <u>the presence or absence of pests</u> [FAO, 1990; revised FAO, 1995]	C	<b>Caribbean Agricultural Health and Food Safety Agency</b> Jamaica supports the revised definition for detection survey. <i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i>
37	75	<del>Survey</del> conducted in an <del>area</del> to determine if <del>pests are present</del> <u>the presence or absence of pests</u> [FAO, 1990; revised FAO, 1995]	C	<b>United States of America</b> We agree with the proposed change. <i>Category : TECHNICAL</i>