Submissions for topics for Standards and Implementation

1. General information

Submission number	2021-013
Title of Proposal	Methodology for Field Sampling
Submitted by	IPPC Contracting Party Kenya
Submission supported by	Kenya Plant Health Inspectorate Service (KEPHIS)

2. Contact information

Name	Pamela B. Kibwage
Position and organization	Chief Inspector at KEPHIS
Mailing address	P.O Box 49592 - 00100 NAIROBI Kenya
Phone	+254722779534
Email	director@kephis.org

3. Summary of proposal

Summary of justification for the proposal	Currently there are no guidelines for field sampling during phytosanitary inspections. For uniformity validity of inspection outcomes, a guideline is reacquired.
Expected outcome of standard / implementation resource	1. Phytosanitary inspection results outcome will be valid and uniform. 2. There will be integrity of inspection outcomes. 3. It will facilitate detection of a regulated pest in the field
Contribution to filling gaps in the Framework for Standards and Implementation	It will harmonize the field sampling and inspection.

4. Type of proposed material

Proposed material	Implementation resources
Type	New implementation resource Guide CPM recommendation

5. Literature review

Literature review	Reference is made on ISPM 31 on Methodologies for sampling of consignments (adopted in 2008), ISPM 23 on Guidelines for inspection (adopted in 2005) and ISPM 36 on Integrated measures for plants for planting (adopted in 2012) and gaps were found in addressing the need to have a harmonised sampling methodology during field inspections.

6. Criteria for justification and prioritization of proposed topics

6.1. Core criteria

Core Criteria	Information provided by Submitter
1. Contribution to the purpose of the IPPC as described in article I.1	For the purpose of Article 1.1, the proposed guideline will ensure a common approach towards application of phytosanitary measures in as far as field inspection is concerned.
2. Linkage to IPPC SOs and Organizational results demonstrated	The guideline contributes in facilitating trade development and economic growth it will increase confidence in field inspection results and facilitate safe movement of plant and plant products.
3. Feasibility of implementation at the global level	It will be applicable globally, though it may not be easy but not too difficult.
4. Clear identification of the problems that need to be resolved through the development of the standard or implementation resource	Harmonisation. There are varied sampling methods which are not validated across regions. Therefore harmonisation will be achieved.
5. Availability of, or possibility to collect, information in support of the proposed standard or implementation resource	There are enough references. A questionnaire can be used.

6.2. Supporting criteria

Supporting Criteria	Information provided by Submitter
Practical	1. Not to the best of our knowledge 2. Expertise will resourced from different contracting parties
Economic	1. All field inspected materials for export apply. 2. All field inspected materials for export apply. All intercepted due to a regulated pests value applies
Environmental	1. Yes by controlling spread of pests and hence reduce application of hazards pesticides. Reduces the treatment in the country of import 2. Yes 3. Yes
Strategic	1. Not yet applied by others 2. Any interception due to regulated pest 3. Relevant 4. All CPs 5. Applicable is systems approach for one pest, complement treatments for other pests 6. It adresses Inspection methodology 7. Very urgent

7. Financial/in-kind resources

Commitment for financial/in-kind resources to support the development of the proposed standards or implementation resource	I will give my time and expertise in kind and seek other expertise to support.