PT 33: Irradiation treatment for *Bactrocera dorsalis*
This phytosanitary treatment was adopted by the Fifteenth Session of the Commission on Phytosanitary Measures in 2021. The annex is a prescriptive part of ISPM 28.
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**Scope of the treatment**
This treatment describes the irradiation of fruits and vegetables at 116 Gy minimum absorbed dose to prevent the emergence of adults of *Bactrocera dorsalis* at the stated efficacy.¹

**Treatment description**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of treatment</th>
<th>Irradiation treatment for <em>Bactrocera dorsalis</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active ingredient</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment type</td>
<td>Irradiation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target pest</td>
<td><em>Bactrocera dorsalis</em> (Hendel, 1912) (Diptera: Tephritidae)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target regulated articles</td>
<td>All fruits and vegetables that are hosts of <em>Bactrocera dorsalis</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Treatment schedule**
Minimum absorbed dose of 116 Gy to prevent the emergence of adults of *Bactrocera dorsalis*.

There is 95% confidence that the treatment according to this schedule prevents emergence of the adult stage from not less than 99.9963% of eggs and larvae of *Bactrocera dorsalis*.

This treatment should be applied in accordance with the requirements of ISPM 18 (*Guidelines for the use of irradiation as a phytosanitary measure*).

**Other relevant information**

Because irradiation may not result in outright mortality, inspectors may encounter live but non-viable *Bactrocera dorsalis* (eggs, larvae or puparia) during the inspection process. This does not imply a failure of the treatment.

The Technical Panel on Phytosanitary Treatments based its evaluation of this treatment on the research reported by Zhao *et al.* (2017), which determined the efficacy of irradiation as a treatment for this pest in *Psidium guajava*. In addition, the work of Follett and Armstrong (2004) supports this schedule.

The efficacy of this schedule was calculated based on a total of 100,684 third-instar larvae treated with no adult emergence; the control emergence was 81%.

---

¹ The scope of phytosanitary treatments does not include issues related to pesticide registration or other domestic requirements for contracting parties’ approval of treatments. Treatments adopted by the Commission on Phytosanitary Measures may not provide information on specific effects on human health or food safety, which should be addressed using domestic procedures before contracting parties approve a treatment. In addition, potential effects of treatments on product quality are considered for some host commodities before their international adoption. However, evaluation of any effects of a treatment on the quality of commodities may require additional consideration. There is no obligation for a contracting party to approve, register or adopt the treatments for use in its territory.
Extrapolation of treatment efficacy to all fruits and vegetables was based on knowledge and experience that radiation dosimetry systems measure the actual radiation dose absorbed by the target pest independent of host commodity, and evidence from research studies on a variety of pests and commodities. These include studies on the following pests and hosts: Anastrepha fraterculus (Eugenia pyriformis, Malus pumila and Mangifera indica), Anastrepha ludens (Citrus paradisi, Citrus sinensis, Mangifera indica and artificial diet), Anastrepha obliqua (Averrhoa carambola, C. sinensis and Psidium guajava), Anastrepha suspensa (Averrhoa carambola, C. paradisi and Mangifera indica), Bactrocera tryoni (C. sinensis, Solanum lycopersicum, Malus pumila, Mangifera indica, Persea americana and Prunus avium), Cydia pomonella (Malus pumila and artificial diet), Grapholita melleola (Malus pumila and artificial diet), Pseudococcus jackbeardsleyi (Cucurbita sp. and Solanum tuberosum) and Tribolium confusum (Triticum aestivum, Hordeum vulgare and Zea mays) (Bustos et al., 2004; Gould and von Windeghuth, 1991; Hallman, 2004a, 2004b, 2013; Hallman and Martinez, 2001; Hallman et al., 2010; Jessup et al., 1992; Mansour, 2003; Tunçbilek and Kansu, 1996; von Windeghuth, 1986; von Windeghuth and Ismail, 1987; Zhan et al., 2016). It is recognized, however, that treatment efficacy has not been tested for all potential fruit and vegetable hosts of the target pest. If evidence becomes available to show that the extrapolation of the treatment to cover all hosts of this pest is incorrect, the treatment will be reviewed.
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