

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations



International Plant Protection Convention

ENG

Explanatory document on ISPM 5 *Glossary of phytosanitary terms* 

Produced by the Secretariat of the International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC)

**EXPLANATORY DOCUMENT** 

This page is intentionally left blank

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). *Explanatory document on ISPM 5 (Glossary of Phytosanitary Terms)*. Published by the Secretariat of the International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC). Rome, Italy. 56 pages. Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO.

The designations employed and the presentation of material in this information product do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) concerning the legal or development status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. The mention of specific companies or products of manufacturers, whether or not these have been patented, does not imply that these have been endorsed or recommended by FAO in preference to others of a similar nature that are not mentioned.

The views expressed in this information product are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of FAO.

© FAO, 2022



Some rights reserved. This work is made available under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 IGO licence (CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO; https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/igo/legalcode/legalcode).

Under the terms of this licence, this work may be copied, redistributed and adapted for non-commercial purposes, provided that the work is appropriately cited. In any use of this work, there should be no suggestion that FAO endorses any specific organization, products or services. The use of the FAO logo is not permitted. If the work is adapted, then it must be licensed under the same or equivalent Creative Commons licence. If a translation of this work is created, it must include the following disclaimer along with the required citation: "This translation was not created by the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). FAO is not responsible for the content or accuracy of this translation. The original [Language] edition shall be the authoritative edition.

Disputes arising under the licence that cannot be settled amicably will be resolved by mediation and arbitration as described in Article 8 of the licence except as otherwise provided herein. The applicable mediation rules will be the mediation rules of the World Intellectual Property Organization http://www.wipo.int/amc/en/mediation/rules and any arbitration will be conducted in accordance with the Arbitration Rules of the United Nations Commission on International Trade Law (UNCITRAL).

Third-party materials. Users wishing to reuse material from this work that is attributed to a third party, such as tables, figures or images, are responsible for determining whether permission is needed for that reuse and for obtaining permission from the copyright holder. The risk of claims resulting from infringement of any third-party-owned component in the work rests solely with the user.

Sales, rights and licensing. FAO information products are available on the FAO website (www.fao.org/publications) and can be purchased through publications-sales@fao.org. Requests for commercial use should be submitted via:www.fao.org/contact-us/licence-request. Queries regarding rights and licensing should be submitted to:copyright@fao.org.

# EXPLANATORY DOCUMENT ON ISPM 5 GLOSSARY OF PHYTOSANITARY TERMS

Detailed notes on terms and definitions in the Glossary

Prepared in 2022-04, taking account of decisions until SC November 2021. Reviewed by the Technical Panel for the Glossary (TPG) 2021-12. Lead Ms Beatriz Melcho (from 2014-12), previous lead Mr Ian M. Smith (from 2006 to 2014-11).

All changes proposed modify the 2019 version (last published version). The next version should be published in 2025 and in the meantime, intermediate updates are prepared each year and presented in the TPG meetings. Comments appear in square brackets.

Note: Explanatory documents for International Standards for Phytosanitary Measures (ISPMs) are produced as a result of a decision of the Interim Commission on Phytosanitary Measures (ICPM) in 2004. They are written to provide supporting information to the standard they refer to and cannot be taken as an official legal interpretation of the IPPC or its related documents, and are produced for public information purposes only. Each document is written by an expert, reviewed by at least two peers (in this case the TPG), then reviewed by the Standards Committee and the IPPC Secretariat. However, the material presented in explanatory documents remains the opinion of the writer and cannot be interpreted as a decision of the ICPM/CPM.

# CONTENTS

Background	4
Scope, Purpose and Outline of Reference of ISPM 5	4
Annotated Glossary	6
Notes referred to in the Annotated Glossary	
Note 1 Areas, places of production, production sites and buffer zones	30
Note 2 Terms arising directly from the IPPC	31
Note 3 Wood and bark	32
Note 5 Annotations within the Glossary and the annotated Glossary	33
Note 6 Commodities	33
Note 7 Pests and hosts	34
Note 8 Surveillance	34
Note 9 Treatment	34
Note 10 Actions and measures	34
Note 11 Presence and movement of pests	35
Note 12 Inspection and pest freedom	36
Note 13 Abbreviations	36
Note 14 Organisms and pests	36
Note 15 Terminology relating to pests and their categorization	37
Note 16 Pest recording and reporting	37
Note 17 Plant quarantine	37
Note 18 Control	38
Appendix 1 - List of old terms, no longer included in the Glossary	39
Appendix 2 - List of terms considered or under consideration by the TPG	44
Appendix 3 - History of the Glossary	51

## BACKGROUND

This annotated version of ISPM 5 (Glossary of phytosanitary terms) is presented as follows:

- A complete version of the English text of the Glossary is presented (up-to-date with amendments adopted at CPM-16 in 2022), with terms and definitions in the two columns on the left, and an additional column containing notes.
- The supplements to ISPM 5 are not included or annotated, but the scope, purpose and outline of reference are.
- The notes in the third column may be self-explanatory, or may refer to more detailed notes 1-18, presented at the end of the table of terms and definitions.
- <u>Appendix 1</u> lists terms, which were included in earlier versions of the Glossary but were deleted for various reasons.
- <u>Appendix 2</u> lists terms, which have been considered by the Technical Panel for the Glossary (or its predecessor)<sup>1</sup>, but not accepted for inclusion, as well as terms currently under consideration for new definitions.
- <u>Appendix 3</u> presents the history of the Glossary.

The current version of the Glossary is available on the International Phytosanitary Portal (IPP) - <u>https://www.ippc.int/en/publications/622/</u>).

All Glossary terms appear throughout in **bold**.

The introduction to the Glossary stresses that, as a general rule, the definition of a term extends to derived forms of the terms defined in the Glossary, unless these are separately defined with a special meaning (thus the definition of **inspection** extends to inspect or inspected, but not to **inspector**). The basic Glossary term is, by preference, a singular noun.

For details on the terms that are on the TPG work programme or the *List of topics for IPPC standards* for revision or deletion (including pending terms) refer to the *List of topics for IPPC standards* available publicly in languages on the IPP<sup>2</sup>. For background information on the rationale for the proposed revision or deletion, refer to the TPG work programme available in the TPG reports<sup>3</sup>.

#### Scope, Purpose and Outline of Reference of ISPM 5

#### Scope

This reference standard is a listing of terms and definitions with specific meaning for phytosanitary systems worldwide. It has been developed to provide a harmonized internationally agreed vocabulary associated with the implementation of the International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC) and International Standards for Phytosanitary Measures (ISPMs).

Within the context of the IPPC and its ISPMs, all references to plants should be understood to continue to include algae and fungi, consistent with the International Code of Nomenclature for algae, fungi, and plants.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Until 2006, the drafting and revision of **ISPM** 5 was done by a body known as the Glossary working group (see Appendix 3 for details). In 2006, this body was reconstituted as the Technical Panel for the Glossary (TPG). For simplicity, this latter name is used in this explanatory document in relation to all ongoing activities, whenever they started. Reference is made to the Glossary working group only for historical purposes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> List of topics for IPPC standards is available at <u>https://www.ippc.int/en/core-activities/standards-setting/list-topics-ippc-standards/list</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> TPG reports are available at <u>https://www.ippc.int/core-activities/standards-setting/expert-drafting-groups/technical-panels/technical-panel-glossary-phytosanitary-terms-ispm-5</u>.

#### Purpose

The purpose of this reference standard is to increase clarity and consistency in the use and understanding of terms and definitions, which are used by contracting parties for official phytosanitary purposes, in phytosanitary legislation and regulations, as well as for official information exchange.

#### **Outline of Reference**

The purpose of this standard is to assist national plant protection organizations (NPPOs) and others in information exchange and the harmonization of vocabulary used in official communications and legislation pertaining to phytosanitary measures. The present version incorporates revisions agreed as a result of the approval of the International Plant Protection Convention (1997) and terms added through the adoption of additional International Standards for Phytosanitary Measures (ISPMs).

The Glossary contains all terms and definitions approved until the Sixteenth Session of the Commission on Phytosanitary Measures (CPM, 2022). References in square brackets refer to the approval of the term and definition, and not to subsequent adjustments in translation.

As in previous editions of the Glossary, terms in definitions are printed in bold to indicate their relation to other Glossary terms and to avoid unnecessary repetition of elements described elsewhere in the Glossary. Derived forms of words that appear in the Glossary, e.g. inspected from inspection, are also considered Glossary terms.

# ANNOTATED GLOSSARY

[Note: Track changes in the "definition" column relate to the amendments adopted at CPM-16 (2022) and to alignments with the current version of ISPM 5 (2021).]

As some terms may have been deleted or revised, e. g. their definition changed, make sure you use the latest, current version of the Glossary.

TERM	DEFINITION	NOTE
absorbed dose	Quantity of radiating energy absorbed per unit of mass of a specified target [ <b>ISPM</b> 18, 2003; revised CPM, 2012]	
additional declaration	A statement that is required by an importing country to be entered on a <b>phytosanitary certificate</b> and which provides specific additional information on a <b>consignment</b> in relation to <b>regulated pests</b> or <b>regulated articles</b> [FAO, 1990; revised ICPM, 2005; CPM, 2016]	
area	An <b>officially</b> defined country, part of a country or all or parts of several countries [FAO, 1990; revised FAO, 1995; CEPM, 1999, based on the World Trade Organization Agreement on the Application of Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures (WTO, 1994)]	See <u>note 1</u> . In the Glossary, the term <b>area</b> is defined to refer to an <b>officially</b> defined geographical <b>area</b> , and not to the other common uses of the word area.
area endangered	See endangered area.	This alternative order of words for the term "endangered area" corresponds to the use in the definition of "quarantine pest"
area of low pest prevalence	An <b>area</b> , whether all of a country, part of a country, or all or parts of several countries, as identified by the competent authorities, in which a specific <b>pest</b> is present at low levels and which is subject to effective <b>surveillance</b> or <b>control</b> [ <b>IPPC</b> , 1997; revised CPM, 2015]	See <u>note 1</u> and <u>note 2</u> . This term uses the word "prevalence", which ultimately derives from the WTO/SPS Agreement. However, the Glossary does not include or define prevalence. [Ink amendment at CPM 16].
bark	The layer of a <b>wood</b> y trunk, branch or root outside the cambium [CPM, 2008]	See <u>note 3</u> .
bark-free wood	<b>Wood</b> from which all <b>bark</b> , except ingrown <b>bark</b> around knots and <b>bark</b> pockets between rings of annual growth, has been removed [ <b>ISPM</b> 15, 2002; revised CPM, 2008]	See <u>note 3</u> .
biological control agent	A <b>natural enemy</b> , antagonist or competitor, or other organism, used for <b>pest control</b> [ <b>ISPM</b> 3, 1995; revised <b>ISPM</b> 3, 2005]	

TERM	DEFINITION	NOTE
buffer zone	An <b>area</b> surrounding or adjacent to an <b>area officially</b> delimited for phytosanitary purposes in order to minimize the probability of <b>spread</b> of the target <b>pest</b> into or out of the delimited <b>area</b> , and subject to phytosanitary or other <b>control</b> measures, if appropriate <b>[ISPM</b> 10, 1999; revised <b>ISPM</b> 22, 2005; CPM, 2007]	See <u>note 1</u> .
chemical pressure impregnation	<b>Treatment</b> of <b>wood</b> with a chemical preservative through a process of pressure in accordance with an <b>official</b> technical specification [ <b>ISPM</b> 15, 2002; revised ICPM, 2005]	See <u>note 3</u> .
clearance (of a consignment)	Verification of compliance with <b>phytosanitary regulations</b> [FAO, 1995]	Clearance is followed by release of the consignment. [TPG 2018-12 recommended the term be revised to become more explicit in referring to the process of verification, rather than the result thereof, because otherwise «clearance (of a consignment)» and «release (of a consignment)» would be synonymous. SC 2019-05 added the term to the List of Topics for IPPC standards, TPG 2019-11 recommended the revision of the term. SC 2020-05 approved for the first consultation. TPG 2020-12 reviewed country comments and recommended not to send it to second consultation and to review the proposal together with «compliance procedure (for a consignment» TPG-2021-01 concluded that the two terms, in essence, are almost synonymous, given the general agreement at the consultation that clearance is an 'official process'. The TPG concluded that the term is redundant, and therefore recommended the term be deleted from the Glossary as 2021 Amendments to ISPM 5. SC – 05-2021 approved it for first consultation. TPG 2021-12 reviewed country comments and retained its recommendation for deletion from the Glossary]
Commission	The <b>Commission</b> on <b>Phytosanitary</b> <b>Measures</b> established under Article XI [ <b>IPPC</b> , 1997]	See <u>note 2</u> .
commodity	A type of <b>plant</b> , <b>plant product</b> , or other article being moved for trade or other purpose [FAO, 1990; revised ICPM, 2001]	See <u>note 6</u>

TERM	DEFINITION	NOTE
commodity pest list	A list of <b>pests</b> present in an <b>area</b> which may be associated with a specific <b>commodity</b> [CEPM, 1996; revised CPM, 2015]	See <u>note 7</u> .
<b>compliance procedure</b> (for a <b>consignment</b> )	Official procedure used to verify that a consignment complies with phytosanitary import requirements or phytosanitary measures related to transit [CEPM, 1999; revised CPM, 2009]	TPG 2020-12 reviewed country comments on the revision of the term "clearance (of a consignment)" and in response to comments recommended to the SC that the consignment-related terms 'clearance (of a consignment)' 'compliance procedure (for a consignment)' and 'release (of a consignment)' be considered together. TPG 2021-01 recommended the revision of the term as 2021 Amendments to ISPM 5. SC 2021-05 modified the TPG proposal and approved it for first consultation. TPG-2021-12 revised country comments and recommended the revision with modifications]
consignment	A quantity of <b>plants</b> , <b>plant products</b> or other articles being moved from one country to another and covered, when required, by a single <b>phytosanitary</b> <b>certificate</b> (a <b>consignment</b> may be composed of one or more <b>commodities</b> or <b>lots</b> ) [FAO, 1990; revised ICPM, 2001]	See consignment in transit and re-exported consignment.
consignment in transit	A <b>consignment</b> which passes through a country without being imported, and that may be subject to <b>phytosanitary measures</b> [FAO, 1990; revised CEPM, 1996; CEPM 1999; ICPM, 2002; <b>ISPM</b> 25, 2006: formerly "country of transit"]	See <u>note 5</u> .
containment	Application of <b>phytosanitary</b> <b>measures</b> in and around an <b>infested</b> <b>area</b> to prevent <b>spread</b> of a <b>pest</b> [FAO, 1995]	See control (of a pest)
contaminating pest	A <b>pest</b> that is carried by a <b>commodity</b> , <b>packaging</b> , conveyance or container, or present in a storage place and that, in the case of <b>plants</b> and <b>plant products</b> , does not <b>infest</b> them [CEPM, 1996; revised CEPM, 1999; CPM, 2018]	
contamination	Presence of a contaminating pest or unintended presence of a regulated article in or on a commodity, packaging, conveyance, container or storage place [CEPM, 1997; revised CEPM, 1999; CPM, 2018]	See contaminating pest and infestation.

TERM	DEFINITION	NOTE
control (of a pest)	<b>Suppression, containment</b> or <b>eradication</b> of a <b>pest</b> population [FAO, 1995]	See <u>note 18</u> . While <b>containment</b> and <b>eradication</b> are mainly used as <b>phytosanitary measures</b> , <b>suppression</b> corresponds to <b>pest</b> <b>control</b> as normally used by growers for protection of their crops. Alone, this is not usually considered sufficient as a <b>phytosanitary measure</b> , but it may be integrated with other measures in a <b>systems approach</b> . See ISPM 5, Supplement 1 on <b>official control</b> .
<b>corrective action plan</b> (in an <b>area</b> )	Documented plan of <b>phytosanitary</b> <b>actions</b> to be implemented in an <b>area</b> <b>officially</b> delimited for phytosanitary purposes if a <b>pest</b> is detected or a <b>tolerance level</b> is exceeded or in the case of faulty implementation of <b>officially</b> established procedures [CPM, 2009]	[Ink amendment at CPM 2013]
country of origin (of a consignment of plant products)	Country where the <b>plants</b> from which the <b>plant products</b> are derived were grown [FAO, 1990; revised CEPM, 1996; CEPM, 1999]	
country of origin (of a consignment of plants)	Country where the <b>plants</b> were grown [FAO, 1990; revised CEPM, 1996; CEPM, 1999]	
<b>country of origin</b> (of <b>regulated articles</b> other than <b>plants</b> and <b>plant</b> <b>products</b> )	Country where the <b>regulated articles</b> were first exposed to <b>contamination</b> by <b>pests</b> [FAO, 1990; revised CEPM, 1996; CEPM, 1999]	
debarked wood	Wood that has been subjected to any process that results in the removal of <b>bark</b> . (Debarked wood is not necessarily <b>bark-free wood</b> .) [CPM, 2008; formerly "debarking"]	See <u>note 3</u> and <u>note 5</u> .
delimiting survey	<b>Survey</b> conducted to establish the boundaries of an <b>area</b> considered to be <b>infested</b> by or <b>free from</b> a <b>pest</b> [FAO, 1990]	See <u>note 8</u> .
detection survey	<b>Survey</b> conducted to determine the presence or absence of <b>pests</b> [FAO, 1990; revised FAO, 1995; revised CPM, 2022]	See <u>note 8</u> .
detention	Keeping a consignment in official custody or confinement, as a phytosanitary measure [FAO, 1990; revised FAO, 1995; CEPM, 1999; ICPM, 2005]	See quarantine. [Ink amendment at CPM 2018]

TERM	DEFINITION	NOTE
devitalization	A procedure rendering <b>plants</b> or <b>plant</b> <b>products</b> incapable of germination, growth or further reproduction [ICPM, 2001]	See inactivation (see also <u>note 9</u> ).
dose mapping	Measurement of the <b>absorbed dose</b> distribution within a <b>process load</b> through the use of dosimeters placed at specific locations within the <b>process</b> <b>load</b> [ <b>ISPM</b> 18, 2003]	This term is currently only used in <b>ISPM</b> 18. It would probably be beneficial using it in e.g. <b>ISPM</b> 15 when next revised.
dunnage	Wood packaging material used to secure or support a commodity but which does not remain associated with the commodity [FAO, 1990; revised ISPM 15, 2002]	See <u>note 3</u> .
ecosystem	A dynamic complex of <b>plant</b> , animal and micro-organism communities and their abiotic environment interacting as a functional unit [ <b>ISPM</b> 3, 1995; revised ICPM, 2005]	Ecosystem is a common term with a wide range of meanings. It is generally used in environmental/ecological matters and is often related to the CBD issues. In the <b>IPPC</b> context this term was originally (1996) defined as individual term in relation only to biological control ( <b>ISPM</b> 3). In 2005, the ICPM decided that the term should be made generally applicable, in relation to the extension of <b>ISPM</b> 11 to <b>pests</b> primarily harmful to uncultivated/unmanaged <b>plants</b> and wild flora, and to Supplement 2 of <b>ISPM</b> 5, which explains the environmental scope of the <b>IPPC</b> . It is frequently used together with the term "habitat" when describing <b>plant</b> protection of the <b>IPPC</b> e.g. "to the protection of the <b>IPPC</b> e.g. the including cultivated/unmanaged <b>plants</b> and wild flora (including aquatic <b>plants</b> ), habitats and ecosystems in the importing countries."
efficacy (of a treatment)	A defined, measurable, and reproducible effect by a prescribed <b>treatment</b> [ <b>ISPM</b> 18, 2003]	See <b>required response</b> . See also relationship with the word "effectiveness" in <u>note 9.</u>
emergency action	A prompt official operation undertaken to prevent the entry, establishment or spread of a pest in a new or unexpected situation not addressed by existing phytosanitary measures [ICPM, 2001; revised CPM, 2022]	See <u>note 10</u> .

TERM	DEFINITION	NOTE
emergency measure	A <b>phytosanitary measure</b> established as a matter of urgency in a new or unexpected phytosanitary situation. An <b>emergency measure</b> may or may not be a <b>provisional measure</b> [ICPM, 2001; revised ICPM, 2005]	See note 10. [TPG 2019-11 considered whether the definition of "emergency measure" needed amending because it refers to "phytosanitary measure" and "provisional measure", both of which relate only to regulated pests and asked the SC to add "emergency measure" to the List of Topics for IPPC standards. SC 2020-11 added it to the LOT. TPG 2021- 01 proposed the revision of the term as 2021 Amendments to ISPM 5. SC 2021-05 modified TPG proposal and approved for first consultation. TPG 2021-12 reviewed country comments and recommended the revision with modifications]
endangered area	An <b>area</b> where ecological factors favour the <b>establishment</b> of a <b>pest</b> whose presence in the <b>area</b> will result in economically important loss [FAO, 1995]	See <u>note 1</u> and <u>note 2</u> . [Ink amendment at CPM 2013]
entry (of a consignment)	Movement through a <b>point of entry</b> into an <b>area</b> [FAO, 1995]	The consignment is held at the point of entry until it is released. Before release, it is not considered to have entered the area.
entry (of a pest)	Movement of a <b>pest</b> into an <b>area</b> where it is not yet present, or present but not widely distributed and being <b>officially</b> <b>controlled</b> [FAO, 1995]	See <u>note 11</u> . A <b>pest</b> , which has <b>entered</b> is not necessarily <b>established</b> .
equivalence (of phytosanitary measures)	The situation where, for a specified <b>pest</b> <b>risk</b> , different <b>phytosanitary</b> <b>measures</b> achieve a contracting party's appropriate level of protection [FAO, 1995; revised CEPM, 1999, based on the World Trade Organization Agreement on the Application of Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures (WTO, 1994); <b>ISPM</b> 24, 2005]	The definition is aligned to the language used in the WTO/SPS Agreement.
eradication	Application of <b>phytosanitary</b> <b>measures</b> to eliminate a <b>pest</b> from an <b>area</b> [FAO, 1990; revised FAO, 1995; formerly "eradicate"]	See <b>control</b> . See also <u>note 5</u> .
establishment (of a pest)	Perpetuation, for the foreseeable future, of a <b>pest</b> within an <b>area</b> after <b>entry</b> [FAO, 1990; revised FAO, 1995; <b>IPPC</b> , 1997; formerly "established"]	See <u>note 2</u> , <u>note 5</u> and <u>note 11</u> . See also Appendix 1 of <b>ISPM 5</b> , in relation to CBD terminology.
exclusion (of a pest)	Application of <b>phytosanitary</b> <b>measures</b> to prevent the <b>entry</b> or <b>establishment</b> of a <b>pest</b> into an <b>area</b> [CPM, 2018]	See <u>note 18</u> .

TERM	DEFINITION	NOTE
field	A plot of land with defined boundaries within a <b>place of production</b> on which a <b>commodity</b> is grown [FAO, 1990]	See <u>note 1</u> .
find free	To inspect a consignment, field or place of production and consider it to be free from a specific pest [FAO, 1990]	See <u>note 12</u> .
free from (of a consignment, field or place of production)	Without <b>pests</b> (or a specific <b>pest</b> ) in numbers or quantities that can be detected by the application of <b>phytosanitary procedures</b> [FAO, 1990; revised FAO, 1995; CEPM, 1999]	See <b>practically free</b> (see also <u>note 12</u> )
fresh	Living; not dried, deep-frozen or otherwise conserved [FAO, 1990]	See <u>note 6.</u>
fumigation	<b>Treatment</b> with a chemical agent that reaches the <b>commodity</b> wholly or primarily in a gaseous state [FAO, 1990; revised FAO, 1995]	See <u>note 9</u> .
germplasm	Plants intended for use in breeding or conservation programmes [FAO, 1990]	See note 6. Germplasm is completely included within the definition of plants for planting. The two terms entered the Glossary independently, and the distinction between them in practice has not been closely considered. The definition should be changed to "Plants for planting intended" [TPG 2019-11 noted that the definition of this term should be revised to replace "plants" with "plants for planting" and asked the SC to add "germplasm" to the List of Topics for IPPC standards. SC 2020- 11 added it to the LOT. TPG 2021-01 proposed the revision of the term as 2021 Amendments to ISPM 5, SC 2021-05 agreed with TPG proposal without modification and approved for first consultation. TPG 2021-12 reviewed country comments and retained its recommendation for revision without modification]

TERM	DEFINITION	NOTE
grain (as a commodity)	Seeds (in the botanical sense) for processing or consumption, but not for planting [FAO, 1990; revised ICPM, 2001; CPM, 2016; CPM, 2021]	See <u>note 6</u> . Because the <b>IPPC</b> definition of <b>plant product</b> specifies "(including <b>grain</b> )", <b>grain</b> is considered to be a <b>plant product</b> . <b>Grain</b> is also specifically mentioned in the definition of a <b>stored product</b> . <b>Grain</b> is normally shipped in bulk, with the assumption that it will be directly consumed or processed, possibly after a period of storage, under conditions, which represent a relatively low <b>pest risk</b> . Nevertheless, <b>grain</b> is, strictly speaking, also covered by the definition of <b>plants</b> [ <i>Ink amendment at CPM 2015</i> ] <b>Seeds</b> in the botanical sense means a propagating organ formed in the sexual reproductive cycle of <b>plants</b> .
growing medium	Any material in which <b>plant</b> roots are growing or intended for that purpose [FAO, 1990]	<b>Growing medium</b> may be, or contain, soil. There was long discussion on the possibility of defining "soil" for Glossary purposes, but it was concluded that the word simply had its everyday meaning.
growing period	Period when a <b>plant</b> species actively grows in an <b>area</b> , <b>place of production</b> or <b>production site</b> [ICPM, 2003; revised CPM, 2019]	See <u>note 11</u> .
habitat	Part of an <b>ecosystem</b> with conditions in which an organism is naturally present or can <b>establish</b> [ICPM, 2005]	This term is included in the Glossary partly because <b>habitats</b> may be harmed by <b>pests</b> [Ink amendment at CPM 2015]
harmonization	The establishment, recognition and application by different countries of <b>phytosanitary measures</b> based on common standards [FAO, 1995; revised CEPM, 1999, based on the World Trade Organization Agreement on the Application of Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures (WTO, 1994)]	
harmonized phytosanitary measures	Phytosanitary measuresestablishedby contracting parties tothe IPPC,based on internationalstandards[IPPC, 1997]	See <u>note 2</u> .
heat treatment	The process in which a <b>commodity</b> is heated until it reaches a minimum temperature for a minimum period of time according to an <b>official</b> technical specification [ <b>ISPM</b> 15, 2002; revised ICPM, 2005]	See <u>note 3</u> .

TERM	DEFINITION	NOTE
host pest list	A list of <b>pests</b> that <b>infest</b> a <b>plant</b> species, globally or in an <b>area</b> [CEPM, 1996; revised CEPM, 1999]	See <u>note 7</u> .
host range	Species capable, under natural conditions, of sustaining a specific <b>pest</b> or other organism [FAO, 1990; revised <b>ISPM</b> 3, 2005]	See <u>note 7</u> . Note that the term also applies to <b>biological control agents</b> .
import permit	<b>Official</b> document authorizing importation of a <b>commodity</b> in accordance with specified <b>phytosanitary import requirements</b> [FAO, 1990; revised FAO, 1995; ICPM, 2005]	This term is broadly defined, to allow for example for <b>import permits</b> given for single <b>commodities</b> , for a period, or for particular exporters.
inactivation	Rendering micro-organisms incapable of development [ISPM 18, 2003]	See <b>devitalization</b> (see also <u>note 9</u> ).
incursion	An isolated population of a <b>pest</b> recently detected in an <b>area</b> , not known to be <b>established</b> , but expected to survive for the immediate future [ICPM, 2003]	See <u>note 11</u> .
infestation (of a commodity)	Presence in a <b>commodity</b> of a living <b>pest</b> of the <b>plant</b> or <b>plant product</b> concerned. <b>Infestation</b> includes infection [CEPM, 1997; revised CEPM, 1999]	A <b>pest</b> of a <b>plant</b> or <b>plant product</b> is understood to be an organism, which is known to be injurious to the <b>plant</b> or <b>plant product</b> . The concept that " <b>infestation</b> includes infection" is fundamentally linked to the <b>IPPC</b> definition of a <b>pest</b> , which does not restrict it (as some current usage does), to <b>pest</b> animals.

TERM	DEFINITION	NOTE
inspection	Official visual examination of plants, plant products or other regulated articles to determine if pests are present or to determine compliance with phytosanitary regulations [FAO, 1990; revised FAO, 1995; formerly "inspect"]	See note 12 and note 5. [Added to the List of Topics for IPPC standards by SC November 2015 to be reviewed together with "visual examination" and "testing". TPG 2015-12 suggested not revising the term. TPG 2016-12 recognized that the definition of "inspection" may be considered outlated due to advances in technology that result in visual examination not being the only method used and considered that the term should reflect current practices, for example it should include mention of olfactory or acoustic examination. Therefore, invited SC to consider if "inspection" should be revised to include examination methods other than visual and if so add the term to the List of Topics. SC May 2017 added the term to the List of Topics. TPG 2017- 12 proposed the revision of the term. SC May 2018 discussed the proposal. SC November 2018 noted that the revised definition did not take into account the procedures of examination of documents, verification of identity and integrity, and requested the TPG to discuss this further. TPG 2019-11 deferred discussion to give time for consideration of the terms "identity (of a consignment), "integrity (of a consignment)," and "phytosanitary security (of a consignment)". TPG 2021-01 agreed a revised definition of "inspection" derived from the current definition and retaining the "sensu stricto" sense of the term i.e. including only visual examination, not the checking of documents or the verification of consignment identity and approved for first consultation. TPG 2021-12 reviewed country comments and retained its recommendation for revision without modification]
inspector	Person authorized by a <b>national plant</b> <b>protection organization</b> to discharge its functions [FAO, 1990]	See <u>note 12</u> .

TERM	DEFINITION	NOTE
integrity (of a consignment)	Composition of a <b>consignment</b> , as described by its <b>phytosanitary</b> <b>certificate</b> or other <b>officially</b> acceptable document, maintained without loss, addition or substitution [CPM, 2007]	This definition carries no implication of the <b>phytosanitary action</b> , which may be appropriate in the case of loss of <b>consignment integrity</b> . In relation to "loss, addition or substitution", addition or substitution of <b>plants</b> implies that the <b>consignment</b> now contains <b>plants</b> not covered by the <b>phytosanitary</b> <b>certificate</b> . [SC 2011-05 added the term to the List of Topics for <b>IPPC</b> standards based on CPM-6 discussion in relation to the revised <b>ISPM</b> 12. TPG 2012 suggested an approach. SC 2013-05 agreed. TPG 2014 proposed a definition. SC 2014-05 withdrew from Amendments (2014) for TPG to reconsider identity, <b>integrity</b> (of a <b>consignment</b> ) and section 6.1 of <b>ISPM</b> 12 be reviewed together, and possibly propose revised definitions of the terms and possible consistency changes to section 6.1 of <b>ISPM</b> 12. TPG 2014-12 agreed that terms and <b>ISPM</b> 12 will be processed combined. SC 2015-05 requested TPG to prepare draft specification for the review of <b>ISPM</b> 12 in combination with this term. CPM-11 (2016) added the Revision of <b>ISPM</b> 12 to the LOT. TPG 2019-11 TPG Steward and TPG Assistant Steward prepared discussion paper for EWG on sections 4 and 6 of <b>ISPM</b> 12 and agreed to continue working on term. The EWG met in December 2019 and subsequently TPG 2021-01 submitted a new proposal. SC 2021-05 approved with slight modification for revision with modification].
intended use	Declared purpose for which <b>plants</b> , <b>plant products</b> or other articles are imported, produced or used [ <b>ISPM</b> 16, 2002; revised CPM, 2009]	This term should be used in preference to "end-use".
interception (of a consignment)	The <b>refusal</b> or controlled <b>entry</b> of an imported <b>consignment</b> due to failure to comply with <b>phytosanitary regulations</b> [FAO, 1990; revised FAO, 1995]	See interception (of a pest).

TERM	DEFINITION	NOTE
interception (of a pest)	The detection of a <b>pest</b> during <b>inspection</b> or <b>testing</b> of an imported <b>consignment</b> [FAO, 1990; revised CEPM, 1996]	This usage is well established in <b>plant</b> <b>quarantine</b> . However, common use of the word " <b>interception</b> " implies that <b>entry</b> of the <b>pest</b> is prevented. This is misleading in so far as the detection is normally based on sampling. If the <b>pest</b> is detected in one <b>consignment</b> , then there is a definite probability that it is <b>entering</b> undetected in other associated <b>consignment</b> s. For this reason, some prefer to speak only of "detection", not " <b>interception</b> ".
intermediate quarantine	<b>Quarantine</b> in a country other than the <b>country of origin</b> or destination [CEPM, 1996]	See <b>quarantine</b> . This term is not used in any <b>ISPM</b> , but it is considered to remain a useful concept.
International Plant Protection Convention	International Plant Protection Convention, as deposited with FAO in Rome in 1951 and as subsequently amended [FAO, 1990]	See <u>note 2.</u>
International Standard for Phytosanitary Measures	An international standard adopted by the Conference of FAO, the Interim Commission on Phytosanitary Measures or the Commission on Phytosanitary Measures, established under the IPPC [CEPM, 1996; revised CEPM, 1999]	
international standards	International <b>standards</b> established in accordance with Article X paragraphs 1 and 2 of the <b>IPPC</b> [ <b>IPPC</b> , 1997]	See <u>note 2</u> . For practical purposes, this term is replaced by <b>ISPM</b> .
introduction (of a pest)	The entry of a pest resulting in its establishment [FAO, 1990; revised FAO, 1995; IPPC, 1997]	See <u>note 2</u> and <u>note 11</u> . See also Appendix 1 of <b>ISPM</b> 5, in relation to CBD terminology.
inundative release	The <b>release</b> of large numbers of mass- produced <b>biological control agents</b> or beneficial organisms with the expectation of achieving a rapid effect [ <b>ISPM</b> 3, 1995; revised <b>ISPM</b> 3, 2005]	
IPPC	<b>International Plant Protection</b> <b>Convention</b> , as deposited in 1951 with FAO in Rome and as subsequently amended [FAO, 1990; revised ICPM, 2001]	See <u>note 13</u> .
irradiation	<b>Treatment</b> with any type of ionizing radiation [ <b>ISPM</b> 18, 2003]	See <u>note 9</u> .
ISPM	InternationalStandardforPhytosanitaryMeasures[CEPM,1996; revised ICPM, 2001]	See <u>note 13</u> .

TERM	DEFINITION	NOTE
living modified organism	Any living organism that possesses a novel combination of genetic material obtained through the use of <b>modern</b> <b>biotechnology</b> [Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety to the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD, 2000)]	
LMO	living modified organism [ISPM 11, 2004]	See <u>note 13</u> .
lot	A number of units of a single <b>commodity</b> , identifiable by its homogeneity of composition, origin etc., forming part of a <b>consignment</b> [FAO, 1990]	
minimum absorbed dose (Dmin)	The localized minimum <b>absorbed dose</b> within the <b>process load</b> [ <b>ISPM</b> 18, 2003]	This term and definition is currently used only in <b>ISPM</b> 18 and <b>ISPM</b> 28.
modern biotechnology	The application of: a. <i>in vitro</i> nucleic acid techniques, including recombinant deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) and direct injection of nucleic acid into cells or organelles; or b. fusion of cells beyond the taxonomic family, that overcome natural physiological reproductive or recombination barriers and that are not techniques used in traditional breeding and selection [Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety to the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD, 2000)]	The definition of this term in the Glossary is based on a CBD definition. The term is used in <b>ISPMs</b> and CPM recommendations in the specific context of <b>LMOs</b> and CBD. Therefore, the inclusion of this term and definition in the Glossary is useful because it allows limiting and better understanding its meaning in the <b>IPPC</b> context.
monitoring	An <b>official</b> ongoing process to verify phytosanitary situations [CEPM, 1996]	See <u>note 8</u> .
monitoring survey	Ongoing <b>survey</b> to verify the characteristics of a <b>pest</b> population [FAO, 1995]	See <u>note 8</u> .
national plant protection organization	<b>Official</b> service established by a government to discharge the functions specified by the <b>IPPC</b> [FAO, 1990; (formerly "plant protection organization (national)"]	See <u>note 2</u> and <u>note 5</u> . Some of the functions specified by the <b>IPPC</b> concern the "contracting party" and may be carried out by an agency other than the <b>NPPO</b> , within the contracting party. It is important to make this distinction. See also Supplement 1 to <b>ISPM 5</b> on <b>official control</b> .
natural enemy	An organism which lives at the expense of another organism in its <b>area</b> of origin and which may help to limit the population of that organism. This includes <b>parasitoids</b> , <b>parasites</b> , <b>predators</b> , phytophagous organisms and <b>pathogens</b> [ <b>ISPM</b> 3, 1995; revised <b>ISPM</b> 3, 2005]	

TERM	DEFINITION	NOTE
non-quarantine pest	<b>Pest</b> that is not a <b>quarantine pest</b> for an <b>area</b> [FAO, 1995]	See <u>note 15</u> .
NPPO	national organizationplant [FAO, 1990; ICPM, 2001]	See <u>note 13</u> .
official	Established, authorized or performed by a <b>national plant protection</b> <b>organization</b> [FAO, 1990]	Actions performed by government services other than the <b>NPPO</b> are <b>official</b> only if the <b>NPPO</b> establishes or authorizes them. In the Glossary, many measures and actions are defined as <b>official</b> and it is not then necessary to further specify the NPPO's exclusive responsibility in each case.
official control	The active enforcement of mandatory phytosanitary regulations and the application of mandatory phytosanitary procedures with the objective of eradication or containment of quarantine pests or for the management of regulated non- quarantine pests [ICPM, 2001]	The definition does not make it clear, though Glossary Supplement 1 does, that <b>official control</b> is applied by an <b>NPPO</b> on its own territory. [Ink amendment at CPM 2013]
outbreak	A recently detected <b>pest</b> population, including an <b>incursion</b> , or a sudden significant increase of an <b>established</b> <b>pest</b> population in an <b>area</b> [FAO, 1995; revised ICPM, 2003]	See <u>note 11</u> .
packaging	Material used in supporting, protecting or carrying a <b>commodity</b> [ <b>ISPM</b> 20, 2004]	See <u>note 6</u> . This term has been preferred to "packing", which it is considered to include. "Packing" concerns the material, which is (temporarily) used in a particular means of transport, while <b>packaging</b> may remain with and accompany a <b>consignment</b> through all its transport.
parasite	An organism which lives on or in a larger organism, feeding upon it [ISPM 3, 1995]	
parasitoid	An insect <b>parasitic</b> only in its immature stages, killing its host in the process of its development, and free-living as an adult [ <b>ISPM</b> 3, 1995]	
pathogen	Micro-organism causing disease [ISPM 3, 1995]	
pathway	Any means that allows the <b>entry</b> or <b>spread</b> of a <b>pest</b> [FAO, 1990; revised FAO, 1995]	

TERM	DEFINITION	NOTE
pest	Any species, strain or biotype of <b>plant</b> , animal or <b>pathogenic</b> agent injurious to <b>plants</b> or <b>plant products</b> . Note: In the <b>IPPC</b> , <b>plant pest</b> is sometimes used for the term <b>pest</b> [FAO, 1990; revised FAO, 1995; <b>IPPC</b> , 1997; CPM, 2012]	See <u>note 7</u> and <u>note 14</u> . It is stressed that the <b>IPPC</b> definition treats animals, <b>plants</b> and micro-organisms equally as <b>pests</b> , unlike some current usage. The concept of "disease", as in " <b>pests</b> and diseases", is thus inappropriate, because it is the organism, which has to be regulated.
pest categorization	The process for determining whether a <b>pest</b> has or has not the characteristics of a <b>quarantine pest</b> or those of a <b>regulated non-quarantine pest</b> [ <b>ISPM</b> 11, 2001]	This term is defined for the purposes of <b>PRA</b> . It is independent of the words "categorization of <b>pests</b> ", as they appear in the text of <b>IPPC</b> Article VII/2j, whose meaning has never been clarified.
pest diagnosis	The process of detection and identification of a <b>pest</b> [ <b>ISPM</b> 27, 2006]	
pest free area	An <b>area</b> in which a specific <b>pest</b> is absent as demonstrated by scientific evidence and in which, where appropriate, this condition is being <b>officially</b> maintained [FAO, 1995]	See <u>note 1</u> . [Ink amendment at CPM 2015]
pest free place of production	<b>Place of production</b> in which a specific <b>pest</b> is absent as demonstrated by scientific evidence and in which, where appropriate, this condition is being <b>officially</b> maintained for a defined period <b>[ISPM</b> 10, 1999]	See <u>note 1</u> . [Ink amendment at CPM 2015]
pest free production site	A production site in which a specific pest is absent as demonstrated by scientific evidence and in which, where appropriate, this condition is being officially maintained for a defined period [ISPM 10, 1999, revised CPM, 2015]	See <u>note 1</u> .
pest record	A document providing information concerning the presence or absence of a specific <b>pest</b> at a particular location at a certain time, within an <b>area</b> (usually a country) under described circumstances [CEPM, 1997]	See <u>note 16</u> .
pest risk (for quarantine pests)	The probability of <b>introduction</b> and <b>spread</b> of a <b>pest</b> and the magnitude of the associated potential economic consequences [ <b>ISPM</b> 2, 2007]	See <b>pest risk analysis</b> . [Ink amendment at CPM 2013]
<b>pest risk</b> (for <b>regulated</b> <b>non-quarantine pests</b> )	The probability that a <b>pest</b> in <b>plants for</b> <b>planting</b> affects the <b>intended use</b> of those <b>plants</b> with an economically unacceptable impact [ <b>ISPM</b> 2, 2007]	See <b>pest risk analysis</b> . [Ink amendment at CPM 2013]

TERM	DEFINITION	NOTE
<b>pest risk analysis</b> (agreed interpretation)	The process of evaluating biological or other scientific and economic evidence to determine whether an organism is a <b>pest</b> , whether it should be regulated, and the strength of any <b>phytosanitary</b> <b>measures</b> to be taken against it [FAO, 1995; revised <b>IPPC</b> , 1997; <b>ISPM</b> 2, 2007]	See <u>note 2</u> . See also Appendix 1 of <b>ISPM</b> 5, in relation to CBD terminology. Originally defined just as <b>pest risk</b> <b>assessment</b> and <b>pest risk</b> <b>management</b> . This was considered insufficient, but the new definitions no longer make this simple relationship clear. The interactions of the <b>PRA</b> terms are complex and it is difficult to devise definitions that are both accurate and sufficiently explanatory.
pest risk assessment (for quarantine pests)	Evaluation of the probability of the <b>introduction</b> and <b>spread</b> of a <b>pest</b> and the magnitude of the associated potential economic consequences [FAO, 1995; revised <b>ISPM</b> 11, 2001; <b>ISPM</b> 2, 2007]	See <b>pest risk analysis</b> . [Ink amendment at CPM 2013]
pest risk assessment (for regulated non-quarantine pests)	Evaluation of the probability that a <b>pest</b> in <b>plants for planting</b> affects the <b>intended use</b> of those <b>plants</b> with an economically unacceptable impact [ICPM, 2005]	See <b>pest risk analysis</b> . [Ink amendment at CPM 2013]
<b>pest risk management</b> (for <b>quarantine pests</b> )	Evaluation and selection of options to reduce the risk of <b>introduction</b> and <b>spread</b> of a <b>pest</b> [FAO, 1995; revised <b>ISPM</b> 11, 2001]	See <b>pest risk analysis.</b> It is stressed that <b>pest risk management</b> forms part of the process of <b>pest risk analysis</b> , and is not the actual implementation of <b>phytosanitary measures</b> . " <b>Pest</b> management" may, in this case, be the appropriate term.
pest risk management (for regulated non- quarantine pests)	Evaluation and selection of options to reduce the risk that a <b>pest</b> in <b>plants for</b> <b>planting</b> causes an economically unacceptable impact on the <b>intended</b> <b>use</b> of those <b>plants</b> [ICPM, 2005;]	See <b>pest risk analysis</b> and <b>pest risk management</b> (for <b>quarantine pests</b> ). [Ink amendment at CPM 2013]
pest status (in an area)	Presence or absence, at the present time, of a <b>pest</b> in an <b>area</b> , including where appropriate its distribution, as <b>officially</b> determined using expert judgement on the basis of current and historical <b>pest</b> <b>records</b> and other information [CEPM, 1997; revised ICPM, 1998]	See <u>note 16</u> . This term is explained in full in <b>ISPM</b> 8. It is independent of the words "pest status" as they appear in the text of <b>IPPC</b> Article VII/2j, whose exact meaning has never been clarified. The meaning probably includes <b>pest status</b> as defined here, but may also extend to the degree to which an organism is a <b>pest</b> (in other words its potential to cause injury).
PFA	pest free area [FAO, 1995; revised ICPM, 2001]	See <u>note 13</u> .

TERM	DEFINITION	NOTE
phytosanitary action	An official operation, such as inspection, testing, surveillance or treatment, undertaken to implement phytosanitary measures [ICPM, 2001; revised ICPM, 2005]	See phytosanitary procedure (see also note 10). [TPG 2019-11 asked the SC to add "phytosanitary action" to TPG Work Programme. SC 2020-11 added it to the List of Topics for IPPC standards. TPG 2021-12 recommended the SC the revision of the term as 2022 Amendments to ISPM 5 for approval for first consultation]
phytosanitary certificate	An official paper document or its official electronic equivalent, consistent with the model certificates of the IPPC, attesting that a consignment meets phytosanitary import requirements [FAO, 1990; revised CPM, 2012]	The full term should be used to avoid confusion with other types of certificates.
phytosanitary certification	Use of <b>phytosanitary procedures</b> leading to the issue of a <b>phytosanitary</b> <b>certificate</b> [FAO, 1990]	
phytosanitary import requirements	Specific <b>phytosanitary measures</b> established by an importing country concerning <b>consignments</b> moving into that country [ICPM, 2005]	
phytosanitary legislation	Basic laws granting legal authority to a national plant protection organization from which phytosanitary regulations may be drafted [FAO, 1990; revised FAO, 1995]	This term may not need definition, except perhaps to make a clear distinction from <b>phytosanitary</b> <b>regulations</b> .
<b>phytosanitary measure</b> (agreed interpretation)	Any legislation, regulation or official procedure having the purpose to prevent the introduction or spread of quarantine pests, or to limit the economic impact of regulated non- quarantine pests [FAO, 1995; revised IPPC, 1997; ICPM, 2002]	The agreed interpretation of the term <b>phytosanitary measure</b> was developed to account for the relationship of <b>phytosanitary</b> <b>measures</b> to <b>regulated non-</b> <b>quarantine pests</b> . This relationship is not adequately reflected in the definition found in Article II of the <b>IPPC</b> (1997). See also <u>note 15</u> and <u>note 17</u> . [Ink amendment at CPM 2013]
phytosanitary procedure	Any official method for implementing phytosanitary measures including the performance of inspections, tests, surveillance or treatments in connection with regulated pests [FAO, 1990; revised FAO, 1995; CEPM, 1999; ICPM, 2001; ICPM, 2005; formerly "quarantine procedure"]	See <u>note 5</u> , <u>note10</u> and <u>note 17</u> . [TPG 2019-11 asked the SC to add " <b>phytosanitary procedure</b> " to the List of Topics for <b>IPPC</b> standards. SC 2020-11 added it to the List of Topics. TPG 2021-12 recommended the SC the revision of the term as 2022 Amendments to ISPM 5 for approval for first consultation]

TERM	DEFINITION	NOTE
phytosanitary regulation	<b>Official</b> rule to prevent the <b>introduction</b> or <b>spread</b> of <b>quarantine pests</b> , or to limit the economic impact of <b>regulated non-quarantine pests</b> , including establishment of procedures for <b>phytosanitary certification</b> [FAO, 1990; revised FAO, 1995; CEPM, 1999; ICPM, 2001]	See <u>note 17</u> . [Ink amendment at CPM 2013]
phytosanitary security (of a consignment)	Maintenance of the integrity of a consignment and prevention of its infestation and contamination by regulated pests, through the application of appropriate phytosanitary measures [CPM, 2009]	This term is defined in relation to a <b>consignment</b> . To avoid confusion, it is preferable to use it only in this context. [See "integrity (of a <b>consignment</b> )". TPG 2021-01 submitted a proposal revision aiming at providing simplification and consistency with the proposed definitions of identity (of a <b>consignment</b> ) and integrity (of a <b>consignment</b> ). SC 2021-05 approved with slight modification for first consultation. TPG 2021-12 reviewed country comments and retained its recommendation for revision without modification]
place of production	Any premises or collection of <b>fields</b> operated as a single production or farming unit. [FAO, 1990; revised CEPM, 1999; CPM, 2015]	See <u>note 1</u> .
plant products	Unmanufactured material of <b>plant</b> origin (including <b>grain</b> ) and those manufactured products that, by their nature or that of their processing, may create a risk for the <b>introduction</b> and <b>spread</b> of <b>pests</b> [FAO, 1990; revised <b>IPPC</b> , 1997; formerly "plant product"]	See <u>note 2</u> , <u>note 5</u> and <u>note 6</u> .
plant protection organization (national)	See national plant protection organization	
plant quarantine	All activities designed to prevent the <b>introduction</b> or <b>spread</b> of <b>quarantine pests</b> or to ensure their <b>official control</b> [FAO, 1990; revised FAO, 1995]	See <u>note 17</u> . [Ink amendment at CPM 2013]
planting (including replanting)	Any operation for the placing of <b>plants</b> in a <b>growing medium</b> , or by grafting or similar operations, to ensure their subsequent growth, reproduction or propagation [FAO, 1990; revised CEPM,1999]	
plants	Living <b>plants</b> and parts thereof, including <b>seeds</b> and <b>germplasm</b> [FAO, 1990; revised <b>IPPC</b> , 1997]	See <u>note 2</u> and <u>note 6</u> .

TERM	DEFINITION	NOTE
plants for planting	<b>Plants</b> intended to remain <b>planted</b> , to be <b>planted</b> or <b>replanted</b> [FAO, 1990]	See <u>note 6</u> .
point of entry	Airport, seaport, land border point or any other location <b>officially</b> designated for the importation of <b>consignments</b> , or the entrance of persons [FAO, 1995; revised CPM, 2015]	
post-entry quarantine	Quarantine applied to a consignment after entry [FAO, 1995]	See quarantine.
PRA	Pest risk analysis [FAO, 1995; revised ICPM, 2001]	See <u>note 13</u> .
PRA area	Area in relation to which a pest risk analysis is conducted [FAO, 1995]	See pest risk analysis.
practically free (of a consignment, field, or place of production)	Without <b>pests</b> (or a specific <b>pest</b> ) in numbers or quantities in excess of those that can be expected to result from, and be consistent with good cultural and handling practices employed in the production and marketing of the <b>commodity</b> [FAO, 1990; revised FAO, 1995]	See "free from (of a consignment, field, or place of production)" (see also <u>note 12</u> ). [Ink amendment at CPM 2017]
predator	A <b>natural enemy</b> that preys and feeds on other animal organisms, more than one of which are killed during its lifetime [ <b>ISPM</b> 3, 1995]	
process load	A volume of material with a specified loading configuration and treated as a single entity [ <b>ISPM</b> 18, 2003]	
processed wood material	Products that are a composite of <b>wood</b> constructed using glue, heat and pressure, or any combination thereof [ <b>ISPM</b> 15, 2002]	See <u>note 3</u> .
production site	A defined part of a <b>place of</b> <b>production</b> , that is managed as a separate unit for phytosanitary purposes [CPM, 2015]	See <u>note 1</u> .
prohibition	A phytosanitary regulation forbidding the importation or movement of specified pests or commodities [FAO, 1990; revised FAO, 1995]	

TERM	DEFINITION	NOTE
provisional measure	A <b>phytosanitary regulation</b> or procedure established without full <b>technical justification</b> owing to current lack of adequate information. A <b>provisional measure</b> is subjected to periodic review and full <b>technical</b> <b>justification</b> as soon as possible [ICPM, 2001]	See <u>note 10</u> .[TPG 2019-11 asked the SC to add " <b>provisional measure</b> " to the List of Topics for <b>IPPC</b> standards. SC 2020-11 added it to the LOT. The current definition of <b>provisional</b> <b>measure</b> contradicts the principle of establishing a <b>phytosanitary</b> <b>regulation</b> , which should be <b>technically justified</b> . TPG 2021-01 submitted a proposal and 2021 SC -05 modified TPG proposal and approved for first consultation. TPG 2021-12 reviewed country comments and retained its recommendation for revision without modification]
quarantine	Official confinement of regulated articles, pests or beneficial organisms for inspection, testing, treatment, observation or research [FAO, 1990; revised FAO, 1995; CEPM, 1999; CPM, 2018]	See <u>note 17</u> . In practice, there is <b>post- entry quarantine</b> and <b>intermediate</b> <b>quarantine</b> . <b>Regulated articles</b> may be kept in <b>quarantine</b> not only for <b>inspection</b> , <b>testing</b> or <b>treatment</b> , but also for observation or research (e.g. prohibited <b>plants</b> that are used for varietal selection or breeding in a <b>quarantine station</b> )
quarantine area	An <b>area</b> within which a <b>quarantine</b> <b>pest</b> is present and is being <b>officially</b> <b>controlled</b> [FAO, 1990; revised FAO, 1995]	See <u>note 1</u> .
quarantine pest	A <b>pest</b> of potential economic importance to the <b>area endangered</b> thereby and not yet present there, or present but not widely distributed and being <b>officially controlled</b> [FAO, 1990; revised FAO, 1995; <b>IPPC</b> 1997]	See <u>note 2</u> and <u>note 15</u> .
quarantine station	<b>Official</b> station for holding <b>plants</b> , <b>plant products</b> or other <b>regulated</b> <b>articles</b> , including beneficial organisms in <b>quarantine</b> [FAO, 1990; revised FAO, 1995; CPM, 2015; formerly "quarantine station or facility"]	See <b>quarantine</b> . See also <u>note 5</u> .
raw wood	<b>Wood</b> which has not undergone processing or <b>treatment</b> [ISPM 15, 2002]	See <u>note 3</u> .
re-exported consignment	<b>Consignment</b> that has been imported into a country from which it is then exported. The <b>consignment</b> may be stored, split up, combined with other <b>consignments</b> or have its <b>packaging</b> changed [FAO, 1990; revised CEPM, 1996; CEPM, 1999; ICPM, 2001; ICPM, 2002; formerly "country of re- export"]	See <u>note 5</u> .

TERM	DEFINITION	NOTE
reference specimen	Specimen, from a population of a specific organism, conserved and accessible for the purpose of identification, verification or comparison [ISPM 3, 2005; revised CPM, 2009]	
refusal	Forbidding entry of a consignment or other regulated article when it fails to comply with phytosanitary regulations [FAO, 1990; revised FAO, 1995]	Alternative actions are <b>treatment</b> , destruction or reconfiguration.
regional plant protection organization	An intergovernmental organization with the functions laid down by Article IX of the <b>IPPC</b> [FAO, 1990; revised FAO, 1995; CEPM, 1999; formerly "plant protection organization (regional)"]	See <u>note 2</u> and <u>note 5</u> .
regional standards	<b>Standards</b> established by a <b>regional</b> <b>plant protection organization</b> for the guidance of the members of that organization [ <b>IPPC</b> , 1997]	See <u>note 2</u> . In practice, such standards are more commonly referred to as "regional standards for phytosanitary measures" ("RSPM"), on the model of ISPMs.
regulated area	An <b>area</b> into which, within which or from which <b>plants</b> , <b>plant products</b> and other <b>regulated articles</b> are subjected to <b>phytosanitary measures</b> [CEPM, 1996; revised CEPM, 1999; ICPM, 2001]	See <u>note 1</u> . [Ink amendment at CPM 2013]
regulated article	Any <b>plant</b> , <b>plant product</b> , storage place, <b>packaging</b> , conveyance, container, soil and any other organism, object or material capable of harbouring or spreading <b>pests</b> , deemed to require <b>phytosanitary measures</b> , particularly where international transportation is involved [FAO, 1990; revised FAO, 1995; <b>IPPC</b> , 1997]	See <u>note 2</u> . <b>Pests</b> , and in the general case beneficial organisms and <b>biological</b> <b>control agents</b> , are not included in the definition of <b>regulated article</b> .
regulated non- quarantine pest	A non-quarantine pest whose presence in plants for planting affects the intended use of those plants with an economically unacceptable impact and which is therefore regulated within the territory of the importing contracting party [IPPC, 1997]	See <u>note 2</u> and <u>note 15</u> . [Ink amendment at CPM 2013]
regulated pest	A quarantine pest or a regulated non- quarantine pest [IPPC, 1997]	See <u>note 2</u> and <u>note 15</u> .
<b>release</b> (into the environment)	Intentional liberation of an organism into the environment [ <b>ISPM</b> 3, 1995]	Although this term first entered the Glossary from <b>ISPM</b> 3, it has a wider application (e.g. to <b>LMOs</b> ). [Ink amendment at CPM 2013]

TERM	DEFINITION	NOTE
release (of a consignment)	Authorization for <b>entry</b> after <b>clearance</b> [FAO, 1995]	[TPG 2021-01 when discussing the proposed deletion of 'clearance (of a consignment)', recommended the consequential revision of the definition of 'release (of a consignment)' as 2021 Amendments to ISPM 5. SC 2021-05 approved without modification for first consultation. TPG 2021-12 reviewed country comments and retained its recommendation for revision without modification]
replanting	See planting.	
required response	A specified level of effect for a <b>treatment</b> [ <b>ISPM</b> 18, 2003]	Although this term only appears in <b>ISPM</b> 18, it has relevance for all kinds of <b>treatment</b> , not only <b>irradiation</b> . It would belong with several other terms in the proposed <b>ISPM</b> on the evaluation of <b>efficacy</b> . See also <b>efficacy</b> (of a <b>treatment</b> ). See also note 9.
RNQP	<b>Regulated non-quarantine pest</b> [ <b>ISPM</b> 16, 2002]	See <u>note 13</u> .
round wood	<b>Wood</b> not sawn longitudinally, carrying its natural rounded surface, with or without <b>bark</b> [FAO, 1990]	See <u>note 3</u> .
RPPO	regional organizationplant [FAO, 1990; revisedICPM, 2001]	See <u>note 13</u> .
sawn wood	<b>Wood</b> sawn longitudinally, with or without its natural rounded surface with or without <b>bark</b> [FAO, 1990]	See <u>note 3</u> .
Secretary	Secretary of the Commission appointed pursuant to Article XII [IPPC, 1997]	See <u>note 2</u> . Common usage refers to the " <b>IPPC</b> Secretariat"
seeds (as a commodity )	Seeds (in the botanical sense) for <b>planting</b> [FAO, 1990; revised ICPM, 2001; CPM, 2016; CPM, 2021]	See <u>note 6</u> . [Ink amendment at CPM 2015] <b>Seeds</b> in the botanical sense means a propagating organ formed in the sexual reproductive cycle of <b>plants</b> .
SIT	sterile insect technique [ISPM 3, 2005]	See <u>note 13</u> .
spread (of a pest)	Expansion of the geographical distribution of a <b>pest</b> within an <b>area</b> [FAO, 1995]	See <u>note 11</u> .

TERM	DEFINITION	NOTE
standard	Document established by consensus and approved by a recognized body, that provides, for common and repeated use, rules, guidelines or characteristics for activities or their results, aimed at the achievement of the optimum degree of order in a given context [FAO, 1995; ISO/IEC Guide 2:1991 definition]	
sterile insect	An insect that, as a result of a specific <b>treatment</b> , is unable to reproduce [ <b>ISPM</b> 3, 2005]	
sterile insect technique	Method of <b>pest control</b> using area-wide <b>inundative release</b> of <b>sterile insects</b> to reduce reproduction in a <b>field</b> population of the same species [ <b>ISPM</b> 3, 2005]	
stored product	Unmanufactured <b>plant product</b> intended for consumption or processing, stored in a dried form (this includes in particular <b>grain</b> and dried <b>fruits and vegetables</b> ) [FAO, 1990]	See <u>note 6</u> .
suppression	The application of <b>phytosanitary</b> <b>measures</b> in an infested <b>area</b> to reduce <b>pest</b> populations [FAO, 1995; revised CEPM, 1999]	See control (of a pest).
surveillance	An official process which collects and records data on <b>pest</b> presence or absence by <b>survey</b> , <b>monitoring</b> or other procedures [CEPM, 1996; revised CPM, 2015]	See note 8.[TPG 2019-11 asked the SC to add "surveillance" to the List of Topics for IPPC standards. SC 2020- 11 added it to the LOT. TPG 2021 – 01 proposed the revision of the term as 2021 Amendments to ISPM 5. SC 2021-05 approved with modification for first consultation. TPG 2021-12 reviewed country comments and retained its recommendation for revision with modification]
survey (of pests)	An official procedure conducted over a defined period to determine the presence or absence of <b>pests</b> , or the boundaries or characteristics of a <b>pest</b> population, in an <b>area</b> , <b>place of production</b> or <b>production site</b> [FAO, 1990; revised CEPM, 1996; CPM, 2015; CPM, 2019]	See <u>note 8</u> .
systems approach	A <b>pest risk management</b> option that integrates different measures, at least two of which act independently, with cumulatively effect [ <b>ISPM</b> 14, 2002; revised ICPM, 2005; CPM 2015]	This term was only introduced into the Glossary relatively late, when <b>ISPM</b> 14 was adopted. In fact, it may be relevant to several earlier <b>ISPM</b> s e.g. <b>ISPM</b> 10, and may be introduced into them when they are revised.

TERM	DEFINITION	NOTE
technically justified	Justified on the basis of conclusions reached by using an appropriate <b>pest</b> <b>risk analysis</b> or, where applicable, another comparable examination and evaluation of available scientific information [ <b>IPPC</b> , 1997]	See <u>note 2</u> . See <b>pest risk analysis</b> .
test	Official examination of plants, plant products or other regulated articles, other than visual, to determine if pests are present, identify pests or determine compliance with specific phytosanitary requirements [FAO, 1990; revised CPM, 2018]	See <u>note 12</u> . [TPG 2021-01 when concluding the proposed revision of ' <b>inspection</b> ' recommended the SC the consequential consistency revision of the definition of the related term ' <b>test</b> '. as 2021 Amendments to <b>ISPM</b> 5. 2021 SC-05 agreed with TPG proposal and approved for first consultation without modification. TPG 2021-12 reviewed country comments and retained its recommendation for revision with modification]
tolerance level (of a pest)	<b>Incidence</b> of a <b>pest</b> specified as a threshold for action to <b>control</b> that <b>pest</b> or to prevent its <b>spread</b> or <b>introduction</b> [CPM, 2009]	
transience	Presence of a <b>pest</b> that is not expected to lead to <b>establishment</b> [ <b>ISPM</b> 8, 1998]	See <u>note 11</u> .
transit	See consignment in transit	
transparency	The principle of making available, at the international level, <b>phytosanitary</b> <b>measures</b> and their rationale [FAO, 1995; revised CEPM, 1999, based on the World Trade Organization Agreement on the Application of Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures (WTO, 1994)]	
treatment (as a phytosanitary measure)	Official procedure for killing, inactivating or removing of pests, rendering pests infertile or devitalizing regulated pests [FAO, 1990, revised FAO, 1995; ISPM 15, 2002; ISPM 18, 2003; ICPM, 2005; CPM, 2021]	See <u>note 9</u> .
treatment schedule	The critical parameters of a <b>treatment</b> which need to be met to achieve the intended outcome (i.e. the killing, <b>inactivation</b> or removal of <b>pests</b> , or rendering <b>pests</b> infertile, or <b>devitalization</b> ) at a stated <b>efficacy</b> [ <b>ISPM</b> 28, 2007]	See <u>note 9</u> .
visual examination	Examination using the unaided eye, lens, stereoscope or other optical microscope [ISPM 23, 2005; revised CPM, 2018]	

TERM	DEFINITION	NOTE
wood (as a commodity)	<b>Commodities</b> such as <b>round wood</b> , <b>sawn wood</b> , <b>wood</b> chips and <b>wood</b> residue, with or without <b>bark</b> , excluding <b>wood packaging material</b> , <b>processed wood material</b> and bamboo and rattan products [FAO, 1990; revised ICPM, 2001; CPM, 2016; CPM, 2021]	See <u>note 3</u> .
wood packaging material	Wood or wood products (excluding paper products) used in supporting, protecting or carrying a commodity (includes dunnage) [ISPM 15, 2002]	See <u>note 3</u> . See <b>packaging</b> . Wood packaging material includes both the wood material that can be made into wood packaging and the final wood packaging product.

### NOTES REFERRED TO IN THE ANNOTATED GLOSSARY

#### Note 1 Areas, places of production, production sites and buffer zones

An **area**, following the SPS agreement of the WTO, is very broadly defined. It can be several countries, a single country, parts of several countries, part of a single country. There is some division of opinion on how small an **area** can be. On the one hand, it is argued that the definition sets no lower limit, so an **area** could be as small as, for example, a single glasshouse compartment. On the other hand, it is argued that an **area** has, in the context of **phytosanitary regulations**, historically been regarded as much larger than this, often a whole province or department, a detached part (e.g. island), possibly down to the size of a commune. **ISPM** 10 provides a detailed comparison, for the purposes of **pest** freedom, between what is typically considered as an **area** (in the second understanding above) and the more closely defined concept of a **place of production**. Since **ISPM** 10 is adopted, it must be presumed that this interpretation is the valid one. This **ISPM** should also be consulted for the main points of difference between a **pest free area** and a **pest free place of production**. An additional point has arisen since **ISPM** 10 was adopted. The producer, in a **pest free place of production**. In a **pest free area**, the **NPPO** generally relies on overall **surveillance** of the **area**, without special measures for the **plants** intended for export (which are unnecessary if the **area** is **pest free**).

Smaller than places of production, there are production sites and fields. Both of these, like places of production, have phytosanitary significance when they are required to be pest free. The distinction between a place of production and a production site is largely an administrative one. Some producers use part of their place of production for export, and other parts not. These are then distinguished as production sites. It would also have been possible (and perhaps preferable) to label them as separate places of production belonging to one owner, as is done for example for the purposes of organic farming.

The Glossary also distinguishes **areas** by their **pest status**. In addition to **pest free areas** (**ISPM** 4), there are **areas of low pest prevalence** (**ISPM** 22). An **endangered area** is identified by **PRA** (**ISPM** 11); it may be smaller than the original **PRA area**, if the **pest** concerned can only **establish** or cause damage in part of that **area**. However, in order to protect the **endangered area** by **official** measures, it is usually necessary, for practical reasons<sup>4</sup>, to apply these to a larger **area**, the **regulated area**. This may in practice be the whole country, but if the **endangered area** is small by comparison with the whole country, the contracting party will have to justify this practical decision. There are no

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The practical reasons mentioned here and in the following paragraph typically include delimitation by administrative borders (e.g. the limits of counties or communes), or by physical limits (e.g. the whole of an island).

recommended criteria for an **NPPO** to "determine the minimum **area** necessary for effective protection" of an **endangered area**. Under the **IPPC** and its **ISPM**s the term **endangered area** should not be interpreted as an environmentally protected **area** in the sense of ecological conservation.

An **area** may also be distinguished by the presence of a **pest**. If it is a **quarantine pest**, the decision may be taken to make it a **quarantine area**, i.e. apply **official control** within the **area**. Again, for practical reasons, this may be done over a larger **area** extending beyond the actual **pest** presence, then known as the **regulated area**, geographically including the **quarantine area**.

A buffer zone is an area surrounding a pest free area or a quarantine area in which further official measures are applied to reduce the probability of pest movement (into a pest free area or out of a quarantine area). A buffer zone may also surround a pest free place of production, or an area of low pest prevalence. Most often, buffer zones are established within a stated distance of the specified area, and may range from a few tens of metres to several kilometres. A buffer zone is an element in the practical management of a phytosanitary situation, while the regulated area relates to the freedom of movement of imported commodities within the country. A buffer zone may in itself also be an area of low pest prevalence.

Finally, the term **regulated area** can be used for any of the above **areas** which are subject to **official** measures.

# Note 2 Terms arising directly from the IPPC

#### Phytosanitary measure

There is a group of Glossary terms which are taken directly from the **IPPC**. It is clear that, if the **IPPC** has used, and defined, a term, the Glossary cannot use it for another purpose, or modify its definition. This restriction has caused a few problems, since there are inconsistencies in the **IPPC** terminology. The case which has attracted most attention is the **IPPC** definition of **phytosanitary measure**. This relates to the **introduction** and/or **spread** of any **pest**, whereas Article VI of the **IPPC** allows **phytosanitary measures** to be required only for **regulated pests**. As a result, the definition also conflicts with the use of the term in the WTO/SPS Agreement. For some years, the term **phytosanitary measure** was simply not used in Glossary definitions. However, this term occupies a very central place, and it was finally decided in 2002 to solve the problem by an "agreed interpretation" of the **IPPC** definition, which makes it apply only to **quarantine pests** and **RNQPs**. The term was then (in 2005) reinstated in a number of definitions. In principle, the expedient of an "agreed interpretation" could be used more widely.

#### **IPPC-**limited terms

A few terms from the **IPPC** only apply within the **IPPC** text, and are not used outside it. The **IPPC** defines the **Commission**, but the body calls itself the "Commission on Phytosanitary Measures". The **IPPC** defines the **Secretary** of the **Commission**, but common usage refers to the "**IPPC** Secretariat". Another **IPPC** term (harmonized phytosanitary measures) has found very little use in practice.

#### Key **IPPC** terms

Other **IPPC** terms are of key importance in the terminology supported by the Glossary. Many of them (establishment, introduction, pest, plant products, plants, quarantine pest, regulated article) have been in the Glossary since its very first version, and in some cases were then aligned with the 1997 version of the **IPPC**. The definitions of all these terms were revised in the 1990s, and are now in line with those of the New Revised Text.

Other key terms came into the Glossary as a result of their inclusion in the IPPC in 1997 (area of low pest prevalence, endangered area, pest risk analysis, regional standards, regulated nonquarantine pest, regulated pest, technically justified). Only a few of the key IPPC terms have remained unchanged since the beginning, and these are the ones which are not so much defined by the **IPPC** as specified by it (International Plant Protection Convention, national plant protection organization, regional plant protection organization).

#### Plants under the IPPC

When the **IPPC** was developed living organisms were divided into only two kingdoms –**plants** and animals– and other organisms such algae, bryophytes and fungi have been covered under the term **plants**. There has never been a clear definition of what is to be understood by **plants** in the **IPPC**. Originally, the emphasis was on **plants** that are exploited for economic reasons by humans and that need to be protected from **pests**. In practice, this meant angiosperms, gymnosperms and pteridophytes (broadly "higher" or "vascular **plants**"). Yet the concept of **plants** for the botanical community at that time extended to bryophytes, algae, fungi and even bacteria, indeed everything that was not animal. This was reflected in the fact that the same code of botanical nomenclature applied to all these organisms. The direct economic importance of these various other "**plants**" was not actually very great, and they did not need to be protected against the **introduction** and **spread** of **pests**. However, at that time certain algae and fungi were exploited for economic reasons, and would presumably have qualified to be considered by the **IPPC**. Article IV/2b of the revised **IPPC** makes it clear that the **IPPC** is also concerned with **pests** affecting uncultivated/unmanaged **plants** (wild flora) and with environmental effects and their consequences on **plants**.

In the 21<sup>st</sup> century, the classification of organisms into kingdoms has greatly changed. There are not just two kingdoms, Animalia and Plantae, but at least seven (Archaea, Bacteria, Animalia, Protozoa, Chromista, Fungi, Plantae). In modern terms, fungi and many algae are not **plants**. This lead to an apparent restriction in the scope of the **IPPC**. It is clear that certain algae and certain fungi are open to protection under the **IPPC** because of their economic exploitation, while others are important components of biodiversity.

Recently the International Code of Botanical Nomenclature was renamed to the International Code of Nomenclature for algae, fungi and **plants**. The **IPPC** should state that its scope extends to algae and fungi as well as **plants**. This is reflected in the scope (as revised in 2015) of the Glossary by explicitlystating that within the context of the **IPPC** and its **ISPM**s all references to **plants** should be understood to extend to algae and fungi, consistent with the International Code of Nomenclature for algae, fungi, and **plants**.

#### Note 3 Wood and bark

The terms related to **wood** in the Glossary emphasize attributes which are of phytosanitary importance. In general, they do not coincide with distinctions made by the **wood** industry and trade. **Wood**, as broadly defined, can cover many **commodities** or articles: trunks, planks, railway sleepers, firewood, veneers, **dunnage** ... (as distinguished in particular by their Customs Codes). What is important is whether the **wood** retains its natural rounded surface (**round wood**) or not, and whether it retains **bark** (which presents the greatest **pest risk**).

Commercial "debarking" is a necessary stage for most of the practical uses of **wood**, and can be applied before export or after import. Its immediate product (**debarked wood**) very often retains some **bark**. **Debarked wood** presents a reduced **pest risk** for many **pests**, so that debarking may be an adequate **phytosanitary measure** (according to the acceptable level of risk). For many phytosanitary purposes, however, **wood** should be subjected to a more thorough process of removal of **bark**, by which all accessible **bark** is removed, giving **bark-free wood**. Even in this case, however, the **wood** may retain some material which would usually be referred to as "**bark**" (ingrown **bark** and **bark** pockets), and which lies relatively deeply in the body of the **wood** so that it is impossible to remove it.

It may be noted that, in earlier versions of the Glossary, "**bark**" was not defined, being considered as having its common language meaning. The terms and definitions have now been rearranged to refer, not to processes, but to materials: **bark**, **bark-free wood** and **debarked wood**. In 2009, CPM-4 removed reference to **bark-free wood** from **ISPM** 15, which now only makes a requirement for **debarked wood**. However, the concept of **bark-free wood** remains, and appears negatively in the definition of **debarked** 

wood: debarked wood is not necessarily bark-free wood. This implies that debarked wood which retains "vascular cambium, ingrown bark around knots, or bark pockets between rings of annual growth" can be accepted. Conversely, it is not recommended to require bark-free wood (which is a quite impractical requirement).

A distinction is also made, on account of **pest risk**, between **raw wood**, **processed wood material**, and **wood** treated in various ways (**heat treatment**, kiln drying, **chemical pressure impregnation**).

Note 4 [deleted]

#### Note 5 Annotations within the Glossary and the annotated Glossary

*Substitution of new terms and definitions*. In some cases, a term or its definition was substituted by a related term, which could more easily be defined. This is indicated by "formerly" in the definition.

#### Note 6 Commodities

From its very earliest versions, the Glossary had included terms for commodities of **plants** and **plant products** grouped by their generalized, perceived **pest risk** rather than by commercial criteria. These were called commodity classes. Most importantly, these classes distinguished between the high-risk categories **plants for planting** and **seeds** and various lower-risk categories (cut flowers and branches, fruits and vegetables). They also distinguish between the **IPPC** terms **plants** and **plant products** (including the specific cases of **grain**, **wood** and **stored products**).

However, it was not always clear which **commodities** belong to a specific commodity class, or if a **commodity** should actually be considered a commodity class. Particular difficulties in determining the correct placement of terms was found for instance with "**bark**", which is a "**commodity**", but it was not clear to what "commodity class" it would belong Another example is "bulbs and tubers" which was defined as a "commodity class" including **commodities** such as bulbs, tubers, corms and rhizomes. But, in turn, it logically belonged to the "commodity class" "**plants for planting**". Therefore, the term "commodity class" was deleted from the Glossary by CPM-15.

The term "**plants for planting**" depends on the definition of **planting**, which may ensure "subsequent growth, reproduction or propagation". It is very important to stress that **seeds** are considered to be **plants for planting**. This has formed part of FAO terminology for many years, even before the Glossary was devised. There is, unfortunately, no good term for **plants for planting** other than **seeds**. This leads to the kinds of formulae which are now found in **phytosanitary regulations**, e.g. **plants for planting** except **seeds**. It should be noted that **plants for planting** includes not only **plants** "intended to be **planted**" but also **plants** "intended to remain **planted**" and **plants** "intended to be **replanted**". Thus, any rooted **plant** in a container (pot **plant**) is covered, even if its commercial purpose is only to be discarded after use. In practice, it is difficult to distinguish the **pest risk** of these **plants** and devise different requirements for them.

At one time, the Glossary did not include the term **germplasm**, which was considered to be completely covered by the term **plants for planting**. However, in 1996, this term was added to the New Revised Text of the **IPPC**, and accordingly to the Glossary. Strictly, to fit into its correct place in the Glossary, the definition should read "**plants for planting** intended for use in breeding or conservation programmes", not just "**plants** intended …" Germplasm can be considered to present a higher **pest risk** than other "**plants for planting**", since it may originate relatively recently from wild **plants**, and information on its phytosanitary status may be limited in quantity, and based on a relatively short period of observation.

Descriptive or delimiting elements, such as "as a **commodity**" belong to the term and not to the definition, because this allows to better express what the defined terms cover, and makes it possible to use the terms in other contexts without delimiting elements, e.g. in their botanical sense.

#### Note 7 Pests and hosts

The Glossary does not define "host", which is considered to be a common-place biological word. It is important for phytosanitary purposes to know which **pests** infest a given host **plant**, or which host **plants** a **pest** can infest. The corresponding terms are, respectively, **host pest list** and **host range**. The definition of **host range** was extended, on account of **ISPM** 3, to cover the hosts of **biological control agents**. This is not wholly satisfactory, since the two terms no longer match (the truly equivalent term would be a **pest** host list) and the word "host" does not correspond to all the relationships that **biological control agents** have with their targets (many are "prey" rather than hosts; **antagonists** do not have hosts). The term **host pest list** is also matched by another term, **commodity pest list**. This distinction has been made because all **commodities** derived from a given host, and entering international trade, are not necessarily likely to carry a given **pest**. **Phytosanitary import requirements** should be applied to **commodities**, not to hosts.

#### Note 8 *Surveillance*

Surveillance is the most general term for the collection of **pest**-related information by **NPPO**s. It is necessarily official. ISPM 6 makes a distinction between "general surveillance" and "specific surveillance". Specific surveillance is achieved through one or more of the three kinds of surveys defined in the Glossary: detection survey, delimiting survey and monitoring survey. There is some overlap in this terminology (e.g. monitoring survey and monitoring).

#### Note 9 *Treatment*

The Glossary contains terms which refer to the effect of **treatment** (**inactivation**, **devitalization**), and others which refer to the active agent of the **treatment** (**irradiation**, **fumigation**, **heat treatment**, **chemical pressure impregnation**). The scope is fairly limited at present, but could be extended as **ISPMs** are developed for other types of **treatment**.

At present only **treatment schedule**, **efficacy** (of a **treatment**) and **required response** are included in the Glossary. **Efficacy** is a special concept linked to **treatments**, and the terms "**efficacy**" and "efficacious" should be used only in this context. In this sense, the term "**efficacy** (of a **treatment**)" is correctly defined in the Glossary. In other cases, the term "effectiveness" and its derived form "effective" may be used e.g. an "effective measure", "effectiveness of measures". The general accepted understanding is that **efficacy** refers to results under controlled conditions, whereas effectiveness refers to results in practice under natural conditions.

#### Note 10 Actions and measures

A phytosanitary measure is defined as "any legislation, regulation or official procedure …", and a phytosanitary procedure is defined as a "…method for implementing phytosanitary measures …" Thus, a phytosanitary measure is something which is set out ("established"), and not an action as such. Unfortunately, in everyday language, a measure can be an action as such. The Technical Panel for the Glossary decided that there was a need to make a distinction between measures and actions, and so created the term phytosanitary action, defined as "an official operation … undertaken …" Thus, the treatment or refusal of a particular consignment are "actions", while the regulation or procedure which lays down that certain non-complying consignments should be treated or refused is a measure.

The point is significant in particular because the WTO/SPS Agreement is concerned only with measures, in the above sense. It is measures, not actions, which have to be notified, commented or modified.

The problem arises particularly in emergency situations. The **NPPOs** of importing countries have the authority to take **emergency action** if they encounter a new or unexpected phytosanitary situation (if the situation is already covered by existing **phytosanitary measures**, immediate action may be needed; however, it is not **emergency action** but **phytosanitary action**). They have to notify this to the exporting country as in **ISPM** 13, but not to the WTO Secretariat under Annex B of the SPS Agreement. The latter

only applies to changes in **phytosanitary measures**, or new **regulations**. If, however, **emergency actions** are followed by **emergency measures**, then these have to be notified to WTO.

There is a further complication, owing to the term **provisional measure**. This is also derived from the WTO/SPS Agreement. What characterizes a **provisional measure** is not so much that it is applied in an emergency situation, but that it is applied on account of a risk which has not been **technically justified**. An **emergency measure** may or may not be a **provisional measure**. If it is applied in relation to a risk which can be **technically justified** immediately (for example, the discovery that a new **commodity** can serve as a **pathway** for a **quarantine pest**), it is not a **provisional measure**. If it is applied to a newly detected **pest** of unknown importance, then it is a **provisional measure**. Provisional measures are of course "subject to full **technical justification** as soon as possible" (and it is the importing country which has to provide this).

### Note 11 Presence and movement of pests

The Glossary contains a family of terms concerning where **pests** are present, and how long they remain there.

**Plant quarantine** is principally concerned with **pests** which present a risk of **entering** an **area** and of **establishing** there. The Glossary defines **introduction** (of a **pest**) as the process of **entry** followed by **establishment**. It is important to have a clear understanding of this definition, because it conflicts with another, non-IPPC use of the term introduction that is "movement by human agency", whether intentional or unintentional. This is not necessarily associated with **establishment**. **Introduction** in the sense of the Glossary can be by any means, not necessarily human. Certain activities closely related to **plant quarantine** use the other meaning of introduction, especially biological **control** (by introduction of non-indigenous **biological control agents**) and the protection of biodiversity. Also, the CBD terminology (Appendix 1 of **ISPM** 5) is based on these alternative meanings. It is unfortunate that this discrepancy exists, but it is imposed by the **IPPC**, which regularly refers to preventing the "**introduction** and **spread**" of **pests**, and uses the same definition of **introduction** as the Glossary.

The most important question concerning the presence of a **pest** in an **area** (for phytosanitary purposes) is whether it is considered permanent or temporary. In principle, a **pest**, which is present in an **area**, can possibly be **eradicated** (though this is unusual, **eradication** normally being attempted only for **transient pests**). The term **transience** is used for the presence of a **pest** which is not expected to **establish**, either because conditions are not suitable for it or because measures can readily be applied to destroy it (**eradication**). These distinctions are set out in more detail in **ISPM** 8. The **transient** presence of a **pest** often takes the form of an **incursion**, i.e. presence of the **pest** is localized and recent. An **incursion** can only be described as such for a limited period; it either disappears (spontaneously or as a result of **eradication**) or remains beyond the "immediate future" of the definition, in which case it becomes a presence.

The possibility of **transience** depends on the biology of the **pest**, and particularly its interaction with host **plants** and climate. If there is a period when growing host **plants** are not available or the climate is very unsuitable for **pest** survival, **establishment** becomes much less probable. In temperate regions, it is possible to define a growing season, to be distinguished from the winter which the **pest** has somehow to survive. In tropical or semitropical regions, or for **pests** of glasshouse crops, it is only possible to define the **growing period** on a crop-by-crop and location-by-location basis.

The term **spread** (much used in the **IPPC**; cf. above) is understood in relation to an **area**. It can be used, according to context, at any geographical scale, from **areas** within a country to intercontinental **spread**. **Introduction** is not **spread**, insofar as a given **area** is concerned. As with **introduction**, **spread** can be by any means not just by humans.

Finally, this family of terms also includes **outbreak**. The necessity for this term arises from its use in **IPPC** Article VIII/1a, which concerns **pest** reporting. In that article, it is distinguished from occurrence and **spread**. It has been interpreted that **outbreak** can refer either to **incursion** or to an unusual increase in the population of an **established pest**.

### Note 12 Inspection and pest freedom

The Glossary distinguishes between **inspection**, defined as **visual examination**, and **testing**. **Inspection** is by definition **official**, and done by an **inspector**. **Visual examination** is defined to include the use of a lens or microscope, as well as the unaided eye. **Inspection** and **testing** are defined in a very general context, and are not restricted to imported **consignments** at the **point of entry**. They also apply to work undertaken during **surveillance**, etc.

The Glossary term "find free" includes the action of inspection, so it is not necessary to specify "inspected and found free". In contrast, if testing is needed, then it will be necessary to specify "tested and found free". Free from is defined in relation to the application of phytosanitary procedures, so its meaning in any particular case will depend on what the procedures specify. There is no universal quantified concept of what is meant by free from. In any case, "free from" always has to be followed by the indication of the pests concerned.

The words "**pest**-free", as in "to make a **consignment pest**-free", does not appear in the Glossary. Its use is discouraged, since it may be used without reference to the **pests** concerned; it is not clear whether it means **free from** one stated **pest**, **free from** several **pests**, or **free from** all **pests**. The words "**pest** freedom" faces the same problem but is used in **ISPMs**. It should relate to an **area**, **place of production**, or **production site**, since these are the objects which the Glossary allows to be **pest free**.

**Practically free** is a term which had more importance in relation to the pre-1997 text of the **IPPC**, in which the declaration of the model **phytosanitary certificate** included the words "**practically free** from other **pests**". Countries are not, indeed, obliged to use this statement on the **phytosanitary certificate** (**ISPM** 12) because it has become optional since the revision of the Text of the **IPPC** in 1997. The "good cultural and handling practices employed in the production and marketing of a **commodity**" to which the definition refers are presumably those required for the same or an equivalent **commodity** produced and marketed within the exporting country. The use of this statement has been justified as a general "good practice" **phytosanitary measure**, reducing the risk of **introduction** of **pests** presenting an unspecified risk. At the time when it was a required part of the **phytosanitary certificate**, many countries had not established lists of **regulated pests**. A further justification was that **commodities** produced without good cultural and handling practices are considered more likely to be **infested** by **quarantine pests**, and more difficult to **inspect** for **quarantine pests**.

#### Note 13 Abbreviations

A few Glossary terms are so widely used in **ISPM**s or in other documents relating to the work of the **Commission** that it is useful to include their well-known abbreviations in the Glossary (e.g. **IPPC**, **NPPO**, **PRA**). However, the present set of abbreviations is considered sufficient. In general, the use of other abbreviations is not considered to add clarity to **ISPM**s, and their introduction is discouraged. Exceptionally, if a complex term is repeatedly used within an **ISPM**, it may be appropriate to use an abbreviation within the text of the **ISPM** concerned.

It should also be noted that abbreviations may pose problems when transposed into other FAO languages. Abbreviations that are convenient in one language do not necessarily transpose easily into other languages.

# Note 14 Organisms and pests

The Glossary does not define "organism", allowing the word to have the flexibility of common language. The definition of **pest** (which goes back to the 1980s) does not refer to organisms, but rather to "**plants**, animals and **pathogen**ic agents". Presumably, fungi, bacteria, viruses and other virus-like agents can simply be covered by "**pathogen**ic agents". Besides, many biologists consider that viruses and other virus-like agents are not organisms.

In any case, there is an underlying confusion, insofar as an organism may be a single individual of a species (hence the problem with **sterile insects**), or a whole taxon (without reference to whether given

individuals are alive or dead, sterile or not). The definition of a **pest** avoids this by specifically referring to taxa (species, strains or biotypes). Organisms (including **biological control agents**, **LMO**s) may enter the **PRA** process in **ISPM** 2, which then has to determine whether the organism is a **pest**.

# Note 15 Terminology relating to pests and their categorization

**IPPC** Article II contains three essential terms for the categorization of **pests**: **quarantine pest**, **regulated non-quarantine pest** and **regulated pest**. The first is inherited from the previous text of the **IPPC**, adjusted by the Glossary group. The second (**RNQP**) is a concept invented during the revision of the **IPPC**. Originally (before 1997), neither of these terms was understood to mean that the **pests** concerned were necessarily regulated with respect to international trade in **plants** and **plant products**. A **quarantine pest** was considered to satisfy the conditions for being so regulated, but still remained actually to be regulated. As was said at the time, a **quarantine pest** was a "regulatable" **pest**. Similarly, an **RNQP** satisfied the conditions for being regulated internationally, one of which is to be "regulated within the territory of the importing contracting party".

In any case, the New Revised Text of the **IPPC** pre-empted all these ideas by creating in its Article VI the concept of a **regulated pest**, and defining it as being only **quarantine pests** and **RNQPs**. This implies, but does not explicitly make clear, that **quarantine pests** and **RNQPs** are regulated (in contrast to the previous understanding, cf. above). However, the **IPPC** does not clarify what is meant by regulation. Article VI specifies that "contracting parties may require **phytosanitary measures** for **quarantine pests** and **RNQPs**", but may not do so for "non-regulated **pests**" (not defined).

It is stressed that the definitions of **phytosanitary regulations** and **phytosanitary measures** are restricted by the Glossary to **quarantine pests** and **RNQPs**. Countries may also take measures against **pests** that are present but not categorized as **quarantine pests** or **RNQPs**, for example in the case of "plague" **pests** like locusts. These can also be referred to as **official** measures, but are not **phytosanitary measures**. A suggestion was made that all such national measures could be called "domestic measures", but finally the description "**official** measures" was considered sufficient. Thus, **phytosanitary measures** constitute a subset of **official** measures.

#### Note 16 Pest recording and reporting

It is important for information about presence of **pests** to be validated and communicated. **ISPM** 8 (on **pest status**) and **ISPM** 17 (on **pest** reporting) cover this topic. The two should be clearly distinguished. A **pest record** concerns a particular place at a particular time. It has to be properly and accurately documented. **Pest records** are essential components of the information used to establish the status of a **pest** in an **area**, and should thus, be preserved in a permanent archive (i.e. be retained for an unlimited period). **Pest status** form the basis of regulations or requirements and concerns, not a single place, but an **area** of concern (usually a country), and is based on the compilation of information from different places and times. This information generally includes **pest records**, but could also include biological information (e.g. experimental evidence that a **pest** cannot survive the conditions in a given **area**). One or a few **pest records** in an **area** do not justify the conclusion that the **pest** is present in the **area**; this has to be based on a properly conducted evaluation by the **NPPO** of **pest status**.

#### Note 17 *Plant quarantine*

It is important to distinguish **quarantine** from **plant quarantine**. **Quarantine**, which is usually applied in a **quarantine station**, has the classical meaning of "holding back in isolation until found to be safe", used in earlier centuries for international travellers. **Plant quarantine** is a comprehensive term covering most activities under the **IPPC**. The word "**quarantine**" has also been used in some countries to mean a **phytosanitary regulation**; this usage is not accepted in the Glossary.

The Technical Panel for the Glossary has explored the possibility of making a clear distinction between **plant quarantine**, **plant** health and **plant** protection. Since the **IPPC** is eponymously concerned with **plant** protection, there really should be a clear understanding of what that is, and how it relates to **plant quarantine**. In international relations, it would also be easier if the national bodies known as "**plant** 

protection services", "**plant** health authorities", "**plant quarantine** inspectorates" and the like, had well understood common functions. However, no agreement has been reached. It is not even agreed whether these terms overlap in meaning, or fall in a hierarchy, or in the latter case what is the order of the hierarchy. The situation is further complicated by the need for the distinctions to be valid in different languages, when in fact the use of the terms differs by country. The word "phytosanitary" presents the same problem. At one time, the Glossary gave it the meaning "pertaining to **plant quarantine**", but this could not be upheld in different languages. For example, the word "phytosanitaire" in French is broader than "**plant quarantine**", and French does not have a word equivalent to the Spanish "cuarentenario". So "phytosanitary" remains in compound terms such as **phytosanitary measures**, **phytosanitary regulations** and **phytosanitary procedures**, but is not defined as such.

#### Note 18 Control

The Glossary subdivides **control** into suppression, containment, eradication and exclusion, which thus form a family of related terms.

# Appendix 1 - List of old terms, no longer included in the Glossary

The Technical Panel for the Glossary has sought to maintain trace-back throughout its operations. This should ensure that new proposals for addition or modification of terms or definitions are made and considered in the light of previous work.

Old term	Reason for deletion
antagonist	Deleted by CPM-7 (2012): Term no longer used in <b>ISPM</b> s.
authority	Deleted by CPM-3 (2008), since the text of <b>ISPM</b> 3 already referred to "the <b>NPPO</b> or responsible authority", so that there was no need to provide a special definition in the Glossary.
beneficial organism	Deleted by CPM-5 (2010). The term is considered to have its normal meaning and not to require definition in the Glossary.
biocontrol	Included (until 2004) as a synonym of biological <b>control</b> .
biological control	Deleted by CPM-3 (2008), since it is adequately defined within the term <b>biological control agent</b> .
biological pesticide (biopesticide)	Deleted by CPM-3 (2008), since the term and definition in <b>ISPM</b> 3 were outdated and unnecessary.
bulbs and tubers (as a commodity class)	Deleted by CPM-15 (2021). The term "bulbs and tubers (as a commodity class)" was used inconsistently in ISPMs and not always according to its Glossary definition. Being defined as 'intended for <b>planting</b> ' has not been used consistently in ISPMs with that exclusive meaning, as some bulbs and tubers (in the botanical sense) can be used for consumption. As such, the definition is too artificial to be useful and does not improve understanding and implementation of ISPMs. Rather, where bulbs or tubers are mentioned in <b>standards</b> , their <b>intended use</b> should be specified in the context, if so needed. The use of the words "bulbs" and "tubers" in their broad, common sense is appropriate and well understood in all current ISPM contexts.
certificate	Deleted by CPM-7 (2012). The former inclusion of this term, alongside <b>phytosanitary certificate</b> , allowed for the possibility that <b>NPPO</b> s may bilaterally agree to accept certificates other than <b>phytosanitary certificates</b> . This possibility is not referred to in <b>ISPM</b> s, and its inclusion was considered to cause confusion.
classical biological control	Deleted by CPM-3 (2008) after it disappeared from revised <b>ISPM</b> 3.
commodity class	Deleted by CPM-15 (2021). The Glossary definition of "commodity class" referred to "similar commodities that can be considered together in phytosanitary regulations". This could be interpreted as meaning that the same requirements should be established for all commodities within a commodity class. However, the grouping of commodities based on an <i>a priori</i> perceived similar pest risk has proven to be unrealistic in that it conflicts with the actual specific requirements that may be set for individual commodities within a commodity class. Grouping commodities into a higher level of commodity classes and defining this hierarchy in the Glossary is not useful for the development of standards, because the scope of an individual standard should define which commodity class" in the Glossary would not prevent countries from considering similar

Old term	Reason for deletion
	<b>commodities</b> together in <b>phytosanitary regulations</b> , whenever <b>technically justified</b>
competitor	Deleted by CPM-7 (2012): Term no longer used in <b>ISPM</b> s.
confinement (of a regulated article)	Deleted by CPM-14 (2019): There are two defined terms ("quarantine" and "detention") covering almost the same concept as "confinement (of a regulated article)", that creates unnecessary confusion. "Confinement" in the broad, common sense is used in the definition of "quarantine" and it is also used in the definition of "detention". Retaining the definitions of "quarantine" and "detention" is sufficient for all ISPM purposes. In future, the Glossary terms "quarantine" or "detention" should be used where appropriate, and "confinement" could be used in its common English meaning.
controlled area	Deleted by CPM-10 (2015). " <i>Controlled area</i> " and " <i>protected area</i> " are redundant, making the collection of <b>area</b> -related definitions overly complicated. Both were defined as particular cases of <b>regulated area</b> . In addition this term is not used in <b>ISPM</b> s.
control point	Deleted by CPM-7, (2012). Adequately explained within the text of <b>ISPM</b> 14.
country of re-export	Until 1999, it was the <i>country of re-export</i> , which was defined rather than <b>re-exported consignment</b> .
country of transit	Until 1999, it was the <i>country of transit</i> , which was defined rather than the <b>consignment in transit</b> .
cut flowers and branches (as a commodity class)	Deleted by CPM – 15 (2021), The term "cut flowers and branches (as a commodity class)" does not have any specific meaning in the phytosanitary context. It is making explicit the <b>intended use</b> of cut flowers and branches (i.e. "for decorative use and not for <b>planting</b> ") and their state (i.e. " <b>fresh</b> "), but this is also clear from the common meaning of the term. The use of the words "cut flowers" or "cut flowers and branches" in their common sense is appropriate and well understood in all <b>ISPM</b> contexts.
debarking	Replaced by <b>debarked wood</b> - CPM-3 (2008).
dosimeter	Deleted by CPM-7 (2012). Standard technical term relevant only to ISPM 18.
dosimetry	Deleted by CPM-7 (2012). Standard technical term relevant only to ISPM 18.
ecoarea	Deleted in 2005, after it disappeared from revised <b>ISPM</b> 3.
establishment (of a biological control agent)	Deleted by CPM-3 (2008) after it disappeared from revised <b>ISPM</b> 3.
exotic	Deleted by CPM-3 (2008) after it disappeared from revised <b>ISPM</b> 3. It was not considered appropriate to extend its application to organisms other than beneficial organisms, since its equivalent is used in at least French and Spanish as the translation of "alien", which is a CBD term

Old term	Reason for deletion
	with a special meaning. Current English words such as "not indigenous", "not native" or "not naturally present" are available to express the concept, without the implications of "alien".
field inspection	This term, together with its synonym <i>growing season inspection</i> , was removed from the Glossary in 1999 when <b>ISPM</b> 10 came to provide more detail on <b>pest free places of production</b> .
fruits and vegetables (as a commodity class)	Deleted by CPM – 15 (2021). The term " <i>fruits and vegetables (as a commodity class)</i> " does not have any specific meaning in the phytosanitary context. It is making explicit the <b>intended use</b> of fruits and vegetables (i.e. "for consumption and processing and not for <b>planting</b> ") and their state (i.e. " <b>fresh</b> "), but this is also clear from the common meaning of the term. The use of the words "fruits" and "vegetables" in their common sense is appropriate and well understood in all <b>ISPM</b> contexts.
Gray	Deleted by CPM-7 (2012). Standard technical term relevant only to <b>ISPM</b> 18.
growing season	Deleted by CPM-14 (2019). The term "growing season" does not have a particular meaning in the phytosanitary context and might cause confusion
growing season inspection	See field inspection.
hitch-hiker pest	Formerly included as a synonym (in English only) of <b>contaminating pest</b> . Deleted by CPM-7 (2012) as part of a general policy not to mention synonymous terms within the Glossary.
incidence (of a pest)	Deleted by CPM-16 (2022). Although the definition of " <i>incidence</i> " in the Glossary fitted well with the use of the term in plant protection, it corresponds to the epidemiological definition of "prevalence" as used in human and animal health. Additionally the general meaning of " <i>incidence</i> " in conventional dictionaries is consistent with the Glossary definition that simply made the term more specific to <b>plant</b> protection. Therefore the term was removed from the Glossary and used in its common dictionary sense
immediate vicinity	This term was removed from the Glossary in 1999 when <b>ISPM</b> 10 came to provide more detail on <b>pest free places of production</b> and <b>buffer zones</b> .
import permit (of a biological control agent)	Deleted by CPM-3 (2008), since the general definition of an <b>import permit</b> was considered adequate.
introduction (of a biological control agent)	Deleted in 1996 after it disappeared from revised <b>ISPM</b> 3.
ionizing radiation	Deleted by CPM-7 (2012). Standard technical term relevant only to <b>ISPM</b> 18.
kiln-drying	Deleted by CPM-13 (2018). <i>Kiln-drying</i> is an industrial process without a specific <b>IPPC</b> meaning. It is used for various purposes in the <b>wood</b> industry, not only to comply with <b>phytosanitary import requirements</b> , but also to meet quality requirements. There is no particular need for the term to be defined in the phytosanitary context.

Old term	Reason for deletion
	Moreover, <b>ISPM</b> 39 explains under which conditions <i>kiln-drying</i> may be considered as a <b>heat treatment</b> .
legislation	Derived from the original version of <b>ISPM 3</b> . Deleted by CPM-7 (2012) as being inconsistent with the terms <b>phytosanitary legislation</b> , <b>phytosanitary regulation</b> and <b>phytosanitary measure</b> , and their definitions.
mark	Deleted by CPM-14 (2019). The term had only been used in its Glossary meaning in <b>ISPM</b> 15, which actually provides a comprehensive description of the <b>ISPM</b> 15 mark, reflecting all features included in the definition. Therefore, its definition in the Glossary is not necessary.
micro-organism	Deleted by CPM-3 (2008) after it disappeared from revised <b>ISPM</b> 3. In any case, it is a current scientific term, which does not require definition in the Glossary.
naturally occurring	Deleted by CPM-10 (2015). Term used only in the Glossary definition of <i>organism</i> . See <i>organism</i> .
occurrence	Deleted by CPM-10 (2015). Occurrence was defined in terms of presence that implies a status more specific and restricted than presence. The actual use in <b>ISPM</b> s does not seem to intend or require a distinction between occurrence and presence. Moreover, the Convention text (written before occurrence was defined) uses the two terms synonymously. Therefore the words presence and occurrence should be accepted as synonyms in current <b>ISPM</b> s, and only presence and present (or absent for "does not occur") should be used in future <b>ISPM</b> s.
organism	Deleted by CPM-10 (2015). <i>Organism</i> is a common term, and it is not used in <b>ISPM</b> s with any specific meaning for <b>IPPC</b> purposes.
phytosanitary	Originally defined as "pertaining to <b>plant quarantine</b> ", but deleted in 1999.
plant pest	Formerly included as a synonym (in English only) of <b>pest</b> . Deleted by CPM-7 (2012), as part of a general policy not to mention synonymous terms within the Glossary.
plants <i>in vitro</i> (as a commodity class)	Deleted by CPM–15 (2021). The term " <i>plants in vitro (as a commodity class)</i> " does not have any specific meaning in the phytosanitary context.
pot plant	Originally distinguished from <b>plants for planting</b> in general by the fact that a pot <b>plant</b> is not intended to be <b>replanted</b> . However, it is impossible to <b>control</b> this, so the term was excluded (in the early 1990s).
pre-clearance	Deleted by CPM-13 (2018). The former definition of " <i>pre-clearance</i> " was not in accordance with the Convention as it indicated that <b>phytosanitary certification</b> can be performed by or under the regular supervision of the <b>national plant protection organization</b> of the country of destination. " <i>Pre-clearance</i> " is used in many different countries with very different meanings. Therefore, it was not possible to revise the definition of " <i>pre-clearance</i> " to adequately reflect all the

Old term	Reason for deletion
	various meanings of the term allowing for international <b>harmonization</b> and agreement.
propagative material/propagating material	Originally given as a synonym of <b>plants for planting</b> , but excluded in 1999 because it is often used to mean only vegetatively propagated material and this causes confusion.
protected area	Deleted by CPM-10 (2015). <i>Protected area</i> is used in <b>ISPM</b> s to a very limited extend. Where referring in <b>ISPM</b> s to a <b>regulated area</b> , that term could be used instead for consistency. The term <i>protected area</i> was meant to apply to <b>endangered area</b> , i.e. in the context of <b>PRA</b> . However, the revised <b>ISPM</b> 2 already uses the term <b>regulated area</b> .
quarantine (of a biological control agent)	Deleted in 2005, after it disappeared from revised ISPM 3.
quarantine procedure	Changed to <b>phytosanitary procedure</b> in the early 1990s.
region	Originally defined in relation to <b>RPPO</b> s, but this was seen to be unnecessarily restrictive on the use of the word.
restriction	Deleted by CPM-10 (2015). It is more correct to refer to <b>phytosanitary import requirements</b> .
specificity	Deleted by CPM-3 (2008) from <b>ISPM</b> 3, since this term has a much wider application than to <b>biological control agents</b> and is only used incidentally in that <b>standard</b> .
tissue culture	This term was never defined, but a cross-reference to <b>plants</b> in tissue culture given until 2002.
transit	This term was never defined, but a cross-reference to <b>consignment in transit</b> is given.

### Appendix 2 - List of terms considered or under consideration by the TPG

This Appendix is a further element of the TPG's trace-back (see Appendix 1).

During the years of continuous development of the Glossary, many terms and definitions were proposed for inclusion, but not accepted. A list of these terms has been accumulated, but generally without much detail, especially for the earlier years. Some of the early terms have simply been overtaken by events. Accordingly, it is difficult to determine exactly when and by whom the terms were proposed or considered, and how it was decided that they should not appear in the Glossary. In a number of cases, the TPG simply decided "not needed" and, in the absence of any feedback to this decision, the term simply disappeared from the agenda. Other terms have been repeatedly discussed, with definitions proposed and then abandoned. In yet other cases, several related terms were considered, and the TPG decided to give its preference to one particular term, leaving the others aside.

Accordingly, little detail can be provided for the pre-2009 terms, but it is possible to provide a general explanation of the reasons for which these terms were set aside, or are still waiting to be processed.

Term	Explanation
A-1 pest	The terms A-1 <b>pest</b> and A-2 <b>pest</b> are sometimes used, in <b>pest</b> lists, to specify <b>pests</b> that are not present in the <b>area</b> concerned, or present but not widely distributed, respectively. Historically, these terms were used by <b>RPPO</b> s in making recommendations to their members on which <b>pests</b> to regulate. Some countries use these terms, or similar ones, in their lists of <b>regulated pests</b> . However, there is no consensus that this terminology should be generally applied.
A-2 pest	See "A-1 <b>pest</b> ".
Acceptable level of risk	This term relates to the WTO/SPS Agreement. Attempts have been made to define it for the Glossary, but without success. A note was added in the General recommendations on consistency
Acceptance level	Now that the term <b>tolerance level</b> has been adopted, this term is not needed.
Alien species	This term arises from the CBD terminology, which is explained in Appendix 1 of the Glossary.
Appropriate level of protection	This term relates to the WTO/SPS Agreement. Attempts have been made to define it for the Glossary, but without success. A note was added in the General recommendations on consistency
Area-wide management or area- wide control	Not considered useful by the TPG. Widely used term that does not need to be defined. The term is descriptive and does not have a specific meaning for the <b>IPPC</b> .
Authorize, accredit, certify	Although these terms had been considered for inclusion and definition in the Glossary, TPG 2014-12 added instead a note in the General recommendations on consistency
Background specification	Not considered useful by the TPG.
Bark (as a commodity)	The discussions on the revision of the definitions for <b>bark</b> and <b>wood</b> in the TPG 2014-02 led to the proposal that <b>bark</b> did not need to be revised, but that it would be useful to define <b>bark</b> as a <b>commodity</b> . The TPG proposed a definition for isolated <b>bark</b> (as a <b>commodity</b> ). However, the SC in May 2014 did not agree with this proposal and agreed instead to define <b>bark</b> (as a <b>commodity</b> ). After member consultation TPG 2014-12 noted that several comments queried the need for this term because

Term	Explanation
	<b>bark</b> is already defined in its biological sense in <b>ISPM</b> 5. The TPG reiterated that two distinct definitions were needed to have a term that covers the definition of <b>bark</b> as a <b>commodity</b> , but SC May 2016 deleted the term from the list of topics for <b>IPPC</b> standards.
Bioindicator plant	Not considered useful by the TPG.
Biotype	Considered to have its usual meaning.
Certification assurance	Not considered useful by the TPG.
Cold treatment	Proposed by the TPG in 2009 to the SC. Not accepted, presumably because it is supposed to have its usual technical meaning.
Conditional host	A definition of this term, but restricted to '(of fruit to a fruit fly)' is provided in and only applies to <b>ISPM</b> 37.
Confidence interval	Considered to have its usual meaning in statistics.
Confidence level	Considered to have its usual meaning in statistics.
Confinement facility	Proposed by the TPG 2014-12 to the SC, based on proposal to revise " <b>quarantine</b> " by deleting "observation and research" from the definition because these purposes would not normally be understood to be <b>quarantine</b> . Added to the List of topics by SC May 2015. TPG 2015-12recommended not to define the term based on the revision proposed for the term " <b>quarantine</b> ". SC May 2016 deleted the term from the list of topics for <b>IPPC</b> <b>standards</b>
Damage threshold	Now that the term <b>tolerance level</b> has been adopted, this term is not deemed necessary, although the meaning of the two is different.
Direct economic impact	Explained in Supplement 2 of the Glossary.
Domestic regulation	A proposed definition was rejected by SC in 2012, principally because agreement could not be reached whether to prefer "domestic", "national", or "internal". The point that "domestic regulations" are not <b>phytosanitary regulations</b> is still not made clear within the Glossary itself.
Donor organism	Not considered useful by the TPG.
Ecological distribution	The ecological distribution of a <b>pest</b> is the geographical <b>area</b> where conditions allow it to survive, while the economic distribution is the <b>area</b> where it has economic importance. These do not necessarily coincide. However, these are theoretical concepts, which are appropriately captured by the concept of <b>endangered area</b> during <b>PRA</b> .
Economic distribution	See "ecological distribution".
Economic assessment	Explained in Supplement 2 of the Glossary.
Effective dose	A proposal had been made to define this term, as ED values for adopted <b>treatments</b> (annexes of <b>ISPM</b> 28). However, these are levels of <b>efficacy</b> at a stated statistical confidence level, and not doses. SC in 2015 approved ink amendments to describe the level of <b>efficacy</b> achieved by a <b>treatment schedule</b> instead of using "effective dose" or "ED".

Term	Explanation
Effectiveness	Not considered useful by the TPG. A note was added in the General recommendations on consistency.
Efficacy	Not considered useful by the TPG. <b>Efficacy</b> (of a <b>treatment</b> ) is already defined. A note was added in the General recommendations on consistency.
Electronic certification	The need for this new term disappeared when CPM-7 (2012) modified the definition of <b>phytosanitary certificate</b> to include the concept.
Emerging pest	SC 2018-05 considered proposal from TC- <b>RPPO</b> s and agreed to include the term in the TPG work programme. TPG 2018–12 recommended a definition for SC consideration in May 2019. SC in May 2019 invited the Bureau to consider the proposed definition and the rationale as provided by the TPG. Finally CPM-16 (2022) invited the SC to invite the TPG to consider the term emerging <b>pest</b> for inclusion in <b>ISPM</b> 5 and the suggestion made by the Focus Group on Pest Outbreak Alert and Response Systems (POARS) for this definition.
Examination technique	Not considered useful by the TPG.
Fixed risk inspection	Not considered useful by the TPG.
Gene	Considered to have its usual technical meaning.
General surveillance	TPG 2018-12 proposed to add to the List of Topics following revision of the note on " <b>surveillance</b> " to take into account the revision of <b>ISPM</b> 6. SC May 2019 added to the List of Topics. TPG 2019-11 discussed the issue and agreed to continue discussion in its next meeting. TPG 2021-01 proposed a draft definition of the term. SC May 2021 sent it for first consultation. TPG 2021-12 reviewed country comments and recommended for revision with modification
Genotype	Considered to have its usual technical meaning.
Genotypic characteristic	Considered to have its usual technical meaning.
Geographical distribution	Considered to have its obvious meaning.
Hazard (phytosanitary)	Although the term "hazard" is used in some risk analyses, it has been avoided in the <b>ISPM</b> s on <b>PRA</b> . It is considered confusing and difficult to translate into other languages. Furthermore, the terms <b>pest</b> and <b>pest risk</b> seem sufficient.
Host susceptibility	Other terms for hosts related to fruit flies are being developed, so this term is not needed.
Import license	This concept is covered by the term <b>import permit</b> .
Identity (of a <b>consignment</b> )	Definition now under consideration in relation to a consignment. SC 2011-05 added to the List of Topics based on CPM-6 discussion in relation to the revised <b>ISPM</b> 12. TPG 2012 suggested an approach. SC 2013-05 agreed. TPG 2014 proposed a definition. SC 2014-05 withdrew from Amendments (2014) for TPG to reconsider identity (of a consignment), <b>integrity</b> (of a <b>consignment</b> ), <b>phytosanitary security</b> (of a <b>consignment</b> ) and section 6.1 of <b>ISPM</b> 12 be reviewed together, and possibly propose revised definitions of the terms and possible consistency changes to section 6.1 of <b>ISPM</b> 12. TPG 2014-12 agreed that terms and <b>ISPM</b> 12 will be processed combined only (for SC May 2015). SC 2015-05 asked TPG to

Term	Explanation
	prepare draft specification for the review of <b>ISPM</b> 12 in combination with this term. TPG 2015-06 prepared specification and submitted to 2015-08 Call for topics. SC 2015-11 recommended addition to LOT to be approved by CPM-11 (2016). CPM-11 (2016) approved the addition of the <i>Revision of <b>ISPM</b> 12 on</i> Phytosanitary certificates (2015-011), TPG 2019-11 TPG Steward and TPG Assistant Steward prepared discussion paper for EWG on sections 4 and 6 of <b>ISPM</b> 12 and agreed to continue working on term. TPG 2021- 01 proposed a draft definition of the term. SC May 2021 sent it for first consultation. TPG 2021-12 reviewed country comments and retained its recommendation for revision without modification
Identity preservation system	Not considered useful by the TPG.
Infection	The TPG recognized that, traditionally, pathogens infect, while <b>pests infest</b> , and that this usage is current in many contexts. However, the <b>IPPC</b> has from the beginning worked with a broad concept of a " <b>pest</b> ", including pathogens. For consistency, there should not be some <b>pests</b> , which <b>infest</b> and others, which infect. As specified in the definition of <b>infestation</b> : " <b>infestation</b> includes infection".
Inactivation	Considered to have its obvious meaning.
Inspection programme	Considered to have its obvious meaning.
Inspection technique	Considered to have its obvious meaning.
Intentional introduction	This term arises from the CBD terminology, which is explained in Appendix 1 of the Glossary. The term could not be used in the Glossary, because if would mean "intentional <b>entry</b> and <b>establishment</b> ".
Invasive	This term arises from the CBD terminology, which is explained in Appendix 1 of the Glossary.
Invasive alien species	This term arises from the CBD terminology, which is explained in Appendix 1 of the Glossary.
List of RNQPs	Considered to have its obvious meaning.
List of quarantine pests	Considered to have its obvious meaning.
List of regulated pests	Considered to have its obvious meaning.
Managed risk	The term is adequately explained in <b>ISPM</b> 1.
Maximum allowable prevalence	This term was used in early drafts of the <b>ISPM</b> on <b>inspection</b> (now divided into <b>ISPMs</b> 23 and 31). Its use implied that any <b>inspection</b> procedure entails a certain risk of <b>infestation</b> below the allowable incidence, i.e. what has now been defined as the <b>tolerance level</b> . This wording (especially the word "allowable") created difficulties for many contracting parties, and its use was therefore abandoned.
Mitigation	This term has not been used in <b>ISPM</b> s, and it is considered to be technical jargon, limited to <b>pest risk management</b> . The aim of <b>pest risk management</b> may be to mitigate, or better "reduce" <b>pest</b> risk, but the aim of <b>phytosanitary measures</b> is to prevent <b>introduction</b> and <b>spread</b> of <b>pest</b> s.
Non-actionable occurrence	This concept is adequately explained in <b>ISPM</b> 8.

Term	Explanation
Non-squared wood	Not considered necessary for the Glossary.
Not widely distributed	This concept is now explained in the Supplement 1 to <b>ISPM</b> 5 as revised in 2012.
Novel trait	A term concerning LMOs, with no particular IPPC meaning.
Nursery stock	This term is used differently worldwide, and is not needed in view of the term <b>plants for planting</b> .
Packing wood	Not considered necessary for the Glossary.
Particle wood	Not considered necessary for the Glossary.
Pest freedom	Not considered useful by the TPG.
Pest list/Pest listing	Proposed for definition in 2013, but the TPG did not consider it useful, and left the related terms " <b>commodity pest list</b> " and " <b>host pest list</b> " as they were.
Pest risk management option	Not considered useful by the TPG. Instead a clarification on the use of the terms " <b>pest risk management</b> ", "risk management" and "pest management" in <b>ISPMs</b> has been prepared by TPG 2008-10 to clarify that "pest management" means the complex of methods used to control <b>pests</b> in practice in the <b>field</b> , and has been used correctly in <b>ISPMs</b> . "Risk management" alone or in combination with "options" or other terms, is a condensed form of " <b>pest risk management</b> ". The latter is a defined Glossary term, and should everywhere replace "risk management".
Phenotype	Considered to have its usual technical meaning.
Phenotypic characteristic	Considered to have its usual technical meaning.
Phytosanitary considerations	Considered to have its obvious meaning.
Phytosanitary hazard	See "hazard".
Phytosanitary requirement	Replaced by phytosanitary import requirements.
Phytosanitary risk analysis	At one point in the revision of <b>ISPM</b> 2, there was a suggestion to make a distinction between phytosanitary risk analysis and <b>pest risk analysis</b> . This was abandoned, partly because of difficulties with translation.
Phytosanitary security	The term is defined in the Glossary for a <b>consignment</b> , but it is not clear in what other contexts it might be used.
Phytosanitary status	The term had been used frequently in <b>ISPM</b> s, connected with various objects and with very differing, yet unclear meaning. Attempts to define the erm was therefore fruitless, and instead, the term in <b>ISPM</b> s has now been substituted with more precise wording, mainly using Glossary terms.
Plant health	As explained in <u>note 17</u> , there is no consensus on this term.
Plant protection	See " <b>plant</b> health".
Precautionary measure	Considered to have its obvious meaning. It is not clear how it is relevant to <b>plant</b> protection.
Precautionary principle	A concept developed politically in relation to human health. In <b>plant</b> protection, it can be argued that <b>PRA</b> involves the reasoned application of the precautionary principle to <b>plant pests</b> , but subject to the principles of the Convention and <b>ISPM</b> 1.
Premise freedom	Premises are, presumably, either <b>places of production</b> or <b>production sites</b> (see <b>ISPM</b> 8), so the term is not needed.

Term	Explanation
Presence	Not considered useful by the TPG because it has its obvious meaning.
Prevalence	The term only arises as part of the term <b>area of low pest</b> <b>prevalence</b> (derived from the WTO/SPS Agreement) and is not used in its definition. There is no apparent need to use the term as such in <b>plant</b> protection.
Probability	Considered to have its usual meaning in statistics.
Production area	An ambiguous concept, better expressed as an <b>area</b> , <b>place of production</b> , or <b>production site</b> , as appropriate (see <b>ISPM</b> 8).
Quality pest	A term which was in use decades ago, but has dropped out of use. It had several meanings, according to context: any <b>pest</b> which is not a <b>quarantine pest</b> ; a <b>pest</b> which directly affects the marketability of a <b>consignment</b> ; an <b>RNQP</b> . It has been replaced by more precise terms.
Risk-based inspection	See "maximum allowable prevalence". This concept is based on the theoretical consideration that, once a risk has been quantified, it becomes possible to design an <b>inspection</b> procedure (or other <b>phytosanitary measures</b> ) on a precise quantitative basis.
Risk management option	See "pest risk management option".
Safeguard	This term is used in some parts of the world, but not at all in others, so its value is not universally recognized.
Sample design	Considered to have its usual meaning in statistics, and now covered by <b>ISPM</b> 31.
Sample method	Considered to have its usual meaning in statistics, and now covered by <b>ISPM</b> 31.
Sample unit	Considered to have its usual meaning in statistics, and now covered by <b>ISPM</b> 31.
Sampling	Considered to have its usual meaning in statistics, and now covered by <b>ISPM</b> 31.
Sampling (for detection)	Considered to have its usual meaning in statistics, and now covered by <b>ISPM</b> 31.
Security (of a consignment)	Now expressed as <b>phytosanitary security</b> (of a <b>consignment</b> ).
Sentinel	Obscure.
Severity	Context not clear.
Special permit	Context not clear.
Soil	It is difficult, and not necessary, to provide a definition of "soil". <b>Phytosanitary measures</b> concerning soil would have to specify exactly what they require.
Solid wood packing material	Not considered necessary for the Glossary.
Specific surveillance	TPG 2018-12 proposed to add to the List of Topics following revision of the note on " <b>surveillance</b> " to take into account the revision of <b>ISPM</b> 6. SC May 2019 added to the List of Topics. TPG 2019-11 discussed the issue and agree to continue discussion in its next meeting, TPG 2021-01 proposed a draft definition of the term. SC May 2021 sent it for first consultation. TPG 2021-12 reviewed country comments and retained its recommendation for revision without modification

Term	Explanation
Specified pest	Considered to have its obvious meaning.
Squared wood	Not considered necessary for the Glossary.
Strain	Considered to have its usual scientific meaning.
Target pest	Considered to have its obvious meaning.
Threshold	With tolerance level adopted, this term is not needed.
Threshold level	With tolerance level adopted, this term is not needed.
Tolerance	With tolerance level adopted, this term is not needed.
Traceability	Considered to have its usual meaning.
Trading partners	It was originally supposed that "trading partners" were obviously countries, and no definition was deemed necessary. However, some users applied the term to stakeholders and commercial companies. The TPG 2014-12 added a note to the General recommendations on consistency to avoid using the term.
Transgene vector	A term concerning LMOs, with no particular IPPC meaning.
Unintentional introduction	See "intentional introduction".
Unlisted pest	Considered to have its obvious meaning.
Unspecified pest	Considered to have its obvious meaning.
Waste wood	Not considered necessary for the Glossary.
Widely distributed	See "not widely distributed".

### **Appendix 3 - History of the Glossary**

A presentation of the history of the Glossary group is considered useful:

- (1) as a further element of trace-back (see Appendix 1)
- (2) as an archival record
- (3) for transparency.

The Glossary of Phytosanitary Terms was developed in three phases, the principal events being summarized in Table 1. In the 1980s, there were separate initiatives in North America (through NAPPO) and Europe (through EPPO) to develop standardized terminology in **plant quarantine**. It was soon realized that these efforts should be combined, and directed towards global standardization. Messrs Hopper (NAPPO) and Smith (EPPO) took on the role of 'stewards' for development of a "Core vocabulary of phytosanitary terminology". Through the technical meetings of NAPPO and EPPO, and through the Informal Consultations of **RPPO**s organized by FAO in 1986 and 1988, a draft was prepared for consideration. In 1989, in view of the growing need for global cooperation in **plant quarantine**, FAO decided to formalize the consultations between **RPPO**s. Technical Consultations (TC) of **RPPO**s was convened henceforward, attended by member governments of **RPPO**s as well as their secretariats. The TC organized consultations on the draft "Core vocabulary" in 1990, the text was finalized and published in *FAO Plant Protection Bulletin* with the addition of French and Spanish translations.

In the second phase, by the time of the 5<sup>th</sup> TC in 1993, other harmonized texts were being developed (Principles of **plant quarantine**; guidelines for **PRA**). A specialist committee became necessary to sustain the increasing volume of work, hence the creation of the Committee of Experts on **Phytosanitary measures**, or CEPM. The new texts introduced new ideas, and it became clear that the Glossary needed to be revised and extended. Accordingly, a FAO Glossary Working Group was convened, with a membership largely drawn from the circle of experts who had taken part in the TCs of the previous years. After further meetings in 1994/1996, the Glossary was adopted as **ISPM** 5, passing from the CEPM to the FAO Conference. Versions in the other FAO official languages (Arabic and Chinese) were incorporated. The 1996 Glossary took its present published form, with the texts in the five languages, a multilingual index, and indications in the text of the year of first appearance, or latest revision, of each term and definition.

In the third phase, the Glossary, as **ISPM** 5, was integrated into the regular standard-setting procedures which followed from the revision of the **IPPC** in 1997. Revisions thus passed through the Interim Standards Committee (or later Standards Committee) to the Interim **Commission** on Phytosanitary measures (ICPM, or later **Commission** on Phytosanitary measures, CPM), with appropriate consultation of member governments. **ISPM** 5 nevertheless remained distinct from the other **ISPMs**: it is revised every year (with respect to changes put forward for consideration); it has always to take account of new terminology appearing in other **ISPMs**; the Glossary Working Group had retained its identity and membership through many meetings. This has finally led to the replacement of the working group by a Technical Panel.

This brief recapitulation of the history of the Glossary spans the whole period through which the present arrangements for global cooperation in **plant** protection were envisaged, planned and realized. The Glossary started before any "SPS Agreement" existed, and is now thoroughly integrated in the system of **ISPM**s. Its history exemplifies the successive stages by which global cooperation has been organized and effective international consultation has been achieved.

<b>Table 1</b> Principal events in the development of the Glossary of Phytosanitary Terms, with details on all the
meetings of the Glossary working group and Technical Panel for the Glossary

Year	Month	Description	Place	Participants
1986	May	1 <sup>st</sup> Informal Consultation of RPPOs recommends creation of a "Core vocabulary of phytosanitary terms". Messrs Hopper (NAPPO) and Smith (EPPO) take on the role of stewards to combine and develop work already undertaken in North America and Europe	Rome	
1988	Feb	2 <sup>nd</sup> Informal Consultation of RPPOs reviews ongoing consultations within and between NAPPO and EPPO	Rome	
1989	Sep	1 <sup>st</sup> TC of RPPOs receives draft "Core vocabulary" and comments are invited from all RPPOs to be analysed by the FAO Secretariat. English, French and Spanish versions are prepared	Rome	
1990		Publication of the "FAO Glossary of phytosanitary terms" in <i>FAO Plant</i> <i>Protection Bulletin</i>		
1993	May	5 <sup>th</sup> TC of RPPOs notes that the development of the "Principles of plant quarantine" and "Guidelines for PRA" (later to become ISPMs 1 and 2) calls for revision and extension of the Glossary. It recommends constitution of a formal Glossary Working group (GWG) to make recommendations to the Committee of Experts on Phytosanitary measures (CEPM), whose creation is recommended at the same meeting	Rome	
1994	Feb	1 <sup>st</sup> meeting of GWG. Numerous additions and modifications to the old text are put forward	Paris	Hedley (FAO), Hernandez (CU), Hopper (NAPPO), Ikin (FAO), Pemberton (GB), Smith (EPPO)
1994	May	1 <sup>st</sup> meeting of CEPM approves many of the proposals of the GWG but returns others for further consideration	Rome	
1995	May	2 <sup>nd</sup> meeting of CEPM decides publication of a revised Glossary	Rome	
1995	Sep	2 <sup>nd</sup> meeting of GWG makes proposals for further terms to appear in the revised Glossary	Paris	Hedley (FAO), Hopper (NAPPO), Klay (CH), Pemberton (GB), Smith (EPPO), Trujillo (MX)
1996	May	3 <sup>rd</sup> meeting of CEPM considers GWG proposals and finalizes new version. Arabic and Chinese versions are prepared	Rome	

Year	Month	Description	Place	Participants
1997		New version of the Glossary approved by the 29 <sup>th</sup> Session of FAO Conference and published as ISPM No. 5		
1997		New revised text of the IPPC approved by the 29 <sup>th</sup> Session of FAO Conference. Interim Commission on Phytosanitary measures (ICPM), created as a result of this decision, takes charge of the work programme.		
1999	Feb	3 <sup>rd</sup> meeting of GWG reviews ISPM No. 5 in the light of the new revised text of the IPPC and of terms used in recent ISPMs	Paris	Griffin (FAO), Guillén (AR), Hedley (NZ), McDonell (NAPPO), Petter (FR), Smith (EPPO)
1999	Mar	6 <sup>th</sup> meeting of CEPM in Rome approves GWG proposals, and passes them to 2 <sup>nd</sup> ICPM. Henceforth, revision of the Glossary follows ICPM rules*. Preparation of an Annotated Glossary is first suggested	Rome	
2000	Mar	4 <sup>th</sup> meeting of GWG, followed by special meeting in Bordeaux, which prepared the first supplement to the Glossary (on "Official control"). CEPM replaced by Interim Standards Committee	Paris	Bast-Tjeerde (CA), Canale (UY), Griffin (FAO), Hedley (NZ), Petter (FR), Smith (EPPO)
2001	Mar	5 <sup>th</sup> meeting of GWG	Paris	Bast-Tjeerde (CA), Canale (UY), Griffin (FAO), Hedley (NZ), Petter (FR), Smith (EPPO)
2002	Feb	6 <sup>th</sup> meeting of GWG, followed by special meeting in Paris which prepared the second supplement to the Glossary (on "Potential economic importance")	Paris	Bast-Tjeerde (CA), Griffin (FAO), Hedley (NZ), Petter (FR), Smith (EPPO)
2003	Feb	7 <sup>th</sup> meeting of GWG	Ottawa	Bast-Tjeerde (CA), Canale (UY), Griffin (FAO), Hedley (NZ), Larson (FAO), McDonnell (NAPPO), Smith (EPPO)
2004	Feb	8 <sup>th</sup> meeting of GWG. Membership extended to cover Arabic and Chinese	Paris	Bast-Tjeerde (CA), Canale (UY), Grousset (FAO), Hedley (NZ), Katbeh-Bader (JO), Smith (EPPO), Xu Yan (CN)
2004	Oct	9 <sup>th</sup> meeting of GWG	Rome	Bast-Tjeerde (CA), Canale (UY), Grousset (FAO), Hedley (NZ), Katbeh-Bader (JO), Smith (EPPO), Xu Yan (CN)
2005	Oct	10 <sup>th</sup> meeting of GWG (last meeting, since the GWG is converted into a Technical panel in 2006)	Rome	Bast-Tjeerde (CA), Canale (UY), Grousset (FAO), Hedley (NZ),

Year	Month	Description	Place	Participants
				Katbeh-Bader (JO), Smith (EPPO), Xu Yan (CN)
2006	Mar	CPM-1 creates Technical Panel for the Glossary	Rome	
2006	Oct	1 <sup>st</sup> meeting of the Technical Panel for the Glossary	Rome	Bast-Tjeerde (CA), Grousset (FAO), Hedley (NZ), Katbeh- Bader (JO), Peralta (COSAVE), Smith (EPPO), Wu Lifeng (CN)
2007	Oct	2 <sup>nd</sup> meeting of the Technical Panel for the Glossary	Rome	Bast-Tjeerde (CA), Grousset (FAO), Hedley (NZ), Katbeh- Bader (JO), Peralta (COSAVE), Smith (EPPO), Wu Lifeng (CN)
2008	Oct	3 <sup>rd</sup> meeting of the Technical Panel for the Glossary	Copenhagen	Bast-Tjeerde (CA), Hedley (NZ), Katbeh-Bader (JO), Nordbo (DK), Peralta (COSAVE), Smith (EPPO), Wu Lifeng (CN)
2009	Jun	4 <sup>th</sup> meeting of the Technical Panel for the Glossary, primarily to establish a programme for checking the consistent use of terminology in ISPMs, henceforth a major activity of the TPG	Rome	Bast-Tjeerde (CA), Hedley (NZ), Katbeh-Bader (JO), Peralta (COSAVE), Smith (EPPO)
2009	Oct	5 <sup>th</sup> meeting of the Technical Panel for the Glossary	Rome	Bast-Tjeerde (CA), Grousset (FAO), Hedley (NZ), Katbeh- Bader (JO), Nordbo (DK), Peralta (COSAVE), Smith (EPPO)
2010	Oct	6 <sup>th</sup> meeting of the Technical Panel for the Glossary	Rome	Bast-Tjeerde (CA), Grousset (FAO), Hedley (NZ), Katbeh- Bader (JO), Nordbo (DK), Smith (EPPO), Wang Yuxi (CN)
2011	Nov	7 <sup>th</sup> meeting of the Technical Panel for the Glossary. <i>Membership extended to cover</i> <i>Russian</i>	Rome	Grousset (FAO), Hedley (NZ), Melcho (UY), Nordbo (DK), Orlinski (EPPO), Smith (EPPO)
2012	Oct	8 <sup>th</sup> meeting of the Technical Panel for the Glossary	Rome	Grousset (FAO), Hedley (NZ), Melcho (UY), Moller (FAO), Ning (CN), Nordbo (DK), Omar (EG), Orlinski (EPPO), Smith (EPPO)
2013	Feb	9 <sup>th</sup> meeting of the Technical Panel for the Glossary	Rome	Grousset (FAO), Hedley (NZ), Melcho (UY), Moller (FAO), Ning (CN), Nordbo (DK), Omar (EG), Orlinski (EPPO), Smith (EPPO)
2014	Feb	10 <sup>th</sup> meeting of the Technical Panel for the Glossary	Rome	Bloem (US), Bouhot-Delduc (FR), Grousset (FAO), Hedley (NZ), Melcho (UY), Moller

Year	Month	Description	Place	Participants
				(FAO), Ning (CN), Nordbo (DK), Omar (EG), Orlinski (EPPO), Smith (invited expert)
2014	Dec	11 <sup>th</sup> meeting of Technical Panel for the Glossary	Rome	Bloem (US), Bouhot-Delduc (FR), Grousset (FAO), Hedley (NZ), Melcho (UY), Moller (FAO), Moreira (FAO), Nordbo (DK), Omar (EG), Orlinski (EPPO)
2015	Dec	12 <sup>th</sup> meeting of Technical Panel for the Glossary	Rome	Bloem (US), Bouhot-Delduc (FR), Germain (FAO), Hedley (NZ), Melcho (UY), Moller (FAO), Nordbo (DK), Omar (EG), Orlinski (EPPO), Ning (CN)
2016	Dec	13 <sup>th</sup> meeting of Technical Panel for the Glossary	Rome	Bloem (US), Bouhot-Delduc (FR), Hedley (NZ), Melcho (UY), Moller (FAO), Nordbo (DK), Omar (EG), Orlinski (EPPO), Ning (CN)
2017	Dec	14 <sup>th</sup> meeting of Technical Panel for the Glossary	Rome	Bloem (US), Bouhot-Delduc (FR), Germain (FAO), Hedley (NZ), Melcho (UY), Moller (FAO), Nordbo (DK), Omar (EG), Orlinski (EPPO), Ning (CN)
2018	Dec	15 <sup>th</sup> meeting of Technical Panel for the Glossary	Rome	Bouhot-Delduc (FR), Koech (KE), Melcho (UY), Ning (CN), Nordbo (DK), Omar (EG), Orlinski (EPPO), Ramarathnam (invited expert), Goritschnig (FAO), Kiss (FAO)
2019	Nov	16 <sup>th</sup> meeting of Technical Panel for the Glossary	Rome	Bouhot-Delduc (FR), Koech (KE), Melcho (UY), Ning (CN), Nordbo (DK), Omar (EG), Orlinski (EPPO), Ramarathnam (CA), Shamilov (FAO), Rouen (FAO)
2020	Dec	17 <sup>th</sup> meeting of Technical Panel for the Glossary	Virtual	Bouhot-Delduc (FR), Koech (KE), Melcho (UY), Nordbo (DK), Omar (EG), Orlinski (EPPO), Ramarathnam (CA), Shamilov (FAO), Rouen (FAO), DelGreco (FAO)
2021	Dec	18 <sup>th</sup> meeting of Technical Panel for the Glossary	Virtual	Bouhot-Delduc (FR), Koech (KE), Melcho (UY), Nordbo (DK), Omar (EG), Ramarathnam (CA), Grebennikov (RU),

Year	Month	Description	Place	Participants
				Orlinski (EPPO), Shamilov (FAO), Mushegian (FAO), DelGreco (FAO)

\*In consequence, no further details are given here on approval of Glossary revisions by the Standards Committee, ICPM or CPM.

This page is intentionally left blank

# IPPC

The International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC) is an international plant health agreement that aims to protect cultivated and wild plants by preventing the introduction and spread of pests. International travel and trade are greater than ever before. As people and commodities move around the world, organisms that present risks to plants travel with them.

#### Organization

- There are 184 contracting parties to the IPPC.
- Each contracting party has a national plant protection organization (NPPO) and an Official IPPC contact point.
- Ten regional plant protection organizations (RPPOs) work to facilitate the implementation of the IPPC in countries.
- IPPC liaises with relevant international organizations to help build regional and national capacities.
- The Secretariat is provided by the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO).

#### Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations

IPPC Secretariat Viale delle Terme di Caracalla, 00153 Rome, Italy Tel: +39 06 5705 4812 Email: ippc@fao.org | Web: www.ippc.int