Ink amendments (editorials) approved by SC November 2014, (SC report, Appendix 15, CPM informed), translated into Spanish by the IPPC Secretariat in 2015 and reviewed by the LRG for Spanish (deletions are not translated). Incorporated into the concerned standards, published in January 2016.

These changes include cross-references to other ISPMs which can be adjusted "easily" (but the cross-reference remains). For example: removal of quotes without other text change; changes for the sake of consistency with the text agreed by CPM; removal of section numbers (straightforward cases); removal of references to ISPMs in the Reference section (references to other sources remain), etc...

Other editorial changes, such as those related to the cover page and publication history of standards are not listed in the table below.

In the column "reasons", the standards cross-referred in the paragraph and that have been revised since, or are under revision, are indicated. This is to indicate clearly which cross-references need to be changed to allow replacement of old versions, which ones will come up soon, and others.

		APPENDIX 15 – TABL	.E 1			
	No.		Ref.	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
M		reference	ISPM			
		ALL ISPMs				
Α	1.	References	ISPMs	[example of ISPM 1]	[example of ISPM 1]	All ISPMs are now referred to collectively,
L					IPPC . 1997. International Plant Protection	
L				Convention. Rome, IPPC, FAO.	Convention. Rome, IPPC, FAO.	replacement of old versions. References
					ISPM 5. Glossary of phytosanitary terms. Rome,	other than to ISPMs would remain.
				IPPC, FAO.	IPPC, FAO.	
				, ,	— All International Standards for Phytosanitary	
				Measures.	Measures.	it would apply to other ISPMs (not detailed
					WTO. 1994. Agreement on the Application of	
					Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures. Geneva,	
				World Trade Organization.	World Trade Organization.	as ISPMs presented for adoption at CPM-
					The present standard also refers to other	9 (2014) . In ISPM 5 itself, the change
					International Standards for Phytosanitary Measures	lineeus to be different (and is in Annex 2).
					(ISPMs). ISPMs are available on the IPP	
					at https://www.ippc.int/core-activities/standards-	
					setting/ispms.	
		ISPM 1 Phyt	osanitary	principles for the protection of plants and the applic		de
1	2.	Adoption	1		This standard was first adopted by the Twenty-	
				, , ,	seventh Session of the FAO Conference in	
				November 1993 as Principles of plant quarantine as	November 1993 as Principles of plant quarantine as	,

		APPENDIX 15 – TAB	LE 1						
IS M	PNo	control contro	Ref.	Current text		Proposed re	vision		Reasons
				related to international trade. The adopted by the First Session of Phytosanitary Measures in April standard, ISPM 1:2006.	the Commission on	adopted by th	ne First Session of Measures in April 2	the Commission on	
1	3.	undue delays, 3rd parag.		Relevant ISPM: ISPM 24 (section step 7).	n 2.7 and Annex 1,	Relevant ISP stop 7).	M: ISPM 24 (soctio	n 2.7 and Annex 1,	General cross-reference. Section 2.7 is "timeliness" (and easy to find). Annex 1 does not refer to timeliness or undue delays (but to the need for a timetable). Note: undue delay is also a major topic in ISPM 2 (3.6) and 29 (2.4) (both adopted after the current version of ISPM 1), but these are not mentioned here
			mework for	pest risk analysis					
2	4.	Adoption	(previou s and current)	This standard was first adopted by Session of the FAO Conference as <i>Guidelines for pest risk analys</i> was adopted by the Second Commission on Phytosanitary I 2007 as the present stand (<i>Framework for pest risk analysis</i>)	in November 1995 is. This first revision d Session of the Measures in March dard, ISPM 2:2007	eighth Session 1995 as <i>Guid</i> revision was Commission 2007 as th	n of the FAO Confe delines for pest risk adopted by the Sec on Phytosanitary N	erence in November analysis. This first cond Session of the Measures in March ard, ISPM 2:2007	ISPM mention is unecessary, and its deletion also removes the year.
2	5.	PRA Stage 1: Initiation, 5th paragraph, footnote	5		e interpretation and nic importance and	Supplement interpretation importance a	2 (Guidelines on and application of	the <u>understanding</u> potential economic cluding reference to	Specific cross-reference. Title kept when the Supplement is first mentioned in the ISPM. The title of the Supplement changed.
	6.				e mayor información ctrices sobre la económica potencial cluida la referencia a	fitosanitarios información s interpretación potencial y o	_(NIMF 5 n.o_ obre este aspecto (de _la_import	5) ofrece mayor Directrices sobre la tancia económica tionados incluida la	The titles were deleted in the Spanish version, they will be reinstated when the standard is next adjusted.
2	7.	2.1 Linked standards	3, 11, 21	ISPM Title ISPM 1 Pest risk analysis 1:2004 for quarantine pests including	Coverage of PRA Specific guidance on PRA of	ISPM ISPM 11: 2004	Title Pest risk analysis for quarantine	Coverage of PRA Specific guidance on PRA of	[ISPMs revised since: 11] The "coverage of PRA" for the 3 standards is described in broad terms and is not likely to change (except in case of

		APPENDIX 15 – TABL	E 1							
ISP	No.			Current tex	ct		Proposed re	vision		Reasons
M		reference	ISPM				T 1			
				ISPM 2 1:2004 ISPM 3: 2005	analysis of environmental risks and living modified organisms Pest risk analysis for regulated non- quarantine pests Guidelines for the export, shipment, import and release of biological control agents and other beneficial organisms	quarantine pests including: - Stage 1: Initiation¹ - Stage 2: Pest risk assessmen including environmental risks and LMO assessment - Stage 3: Pest risk management [text not extracted here, no change needed - Includes reference to note 1 below] [text not extracted here, no change needed - Includes reference to note 2 below]		pests including analysis of environmental risks and living modified organisms Pest risk analysis for regulated non- quarantine pests	environmental risks and LMO assessment - Stage 3: Pest ris management	covered under the general wording "quarantine pests"). It is not proposed that Stage 2 be made less specific, as information would be lost on the difference in 11 and 21.
							ISPM 3 :2 005	Guidelines for the export,	Specific guidance	
							000	tne export, shipment,	on pest risk management for	
								import and	biological control	
								release of	agents and	
								biological		

			APPENDIX 15 – TABL	E 1		
IS	SP I	No.		Ref.	Current text	Proposed revision Reasons
N	1		reference	ISPM		
						control agents beneficial and other organisms² beneficial
2	2 8	8.	2.1 Linked standards	3, 11, 21	1 The present ISPM 11:2004 and ISPM 21:2004, adopted before this revision of ISPM 2, include some guidance on PRA Stage 1 for quarantine pests and RNQPs, respectively. 2 ISPM 3:2005 provides more detailed guidance appropriate to PRA Stage 1, for example with respect to the provision of necessary information, documentation and communication to relevant parties.	appropriate to PRA Stage 1, for example with respect to the provision of necessary information, wrong for the revised ISPM 11.
		9.				4. Las actuales Las NIMF nº 11 (2004) y Note that the Es used regular footnote cues hence the difference in numbering. Note that the Es used regular footnote cues hence the difference in numbering. Note that the Es used regular footnote cues hence the difference in numbering. Note that the Es used regular footnote cues hence the difference in numbering. Note that the Es used regular footnote cues hence the difference in numbering. Note that the Es used regular footnote cues hence the difference in numbering.
2	?	10.	3.6 Avoidance of undue delay		the NPPO should, on request, supply information about the completion of individual analyses, and if possible the anticipated time frame, taking into account avoidance of undue delay (section 2.14 of ISPM 1:2006).	Where other contracting parties are directly affected, the NPPO should, on request, supply information about the completion of individual analyses, and if possible the anticipated time frame, taking into account avoidance of undue delay (section 2.14 of ISPM 1:2006). Principle is easy to find in ISPM 1 (title of a section). General reference to ISPM 1 is already used in some other ISPMs when mentioning specific principles. Avoid specific reference and date.
				elines for	the export, shipment, import and release of biologi	
3		11.	Adoption			of exotic biological control agents. The first revision

		APPENDIX 15 – TABL	.E 1			
ISF	No.		Ref.	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
M		reference	ISPM		·	
				Commission on Phytosanitary Measures in April	Commission on Phytosanitary Measures in April	
				2005 as the present standard, ISPM 3:2005.	2005 as the present standard, ISPM 3:2005.	
3	12.	3.1.9	19		Consider, through pest risk analysis (consistent with	
				the principles of necessity and minimal impact), if,	the principles of necessity and minimal impact), if,	covered by ISPM 19. Date not needed.
					after a first import or release, further imports of the	
					same biological control agent or other beneficial	ISPM, and added (editorial)
				organism may be exempted from some or all of the		
					requirements for import. The publication of lists of	
				approved and prohibited biological control agents		
					and other beneficial organisms may also be	
				considered. If appropriate, biological control agents		
				that are prohibited should be included in lists of		
					regulated pests (established and updated by contracting parties in accordance with the IPPC and	
				ISPM 19:2003.	ISPM 19:2003).	
		ISPM 5 Glos	cary of nh	nytosanitary terms	13F W 19 .2000].	
5	13.	101 W 3	July of pr		Throughout the table, change the way the dates of	To use a usual reference format instead
	10.				ISPMs are mentioned to number, date (e.g. for	
					absorbed dose:	or the recent formation dates of standards
					"[ISPM 18, 2003, revised CPM, 2012]"	
					(instead of "[ISPM 18:2003, revised CPM, 2012]")	
		ISPM 7 Phyte	osanitary	certification system		
7	14.	Adoption	7	This standard was adopted by the Twenty-ninth	This standard was adopted by the Twenty-ninth	ISPM mention is unecessary, and its
					Session of the FAO Conference in November 1997	deletion also removes the year.
					as Export certification system. The first revision of	
					the standard was adopted by the Sixth Session of	
					the Commission on Phytosanitary Measures in	
				2011 as the present standard, ISPM 7:2011.	March 2011 as the present standard, ISPM 7:2011.	
	1=			rsis for quarantine pests		F10714
11	15.	2. Stage 2: Pest Risk			In most cases, these steps will be applied	
		· ·	(previou		sequentially in a PRA but it is not essential to follow	
		parag.	s)		a particular sequence. Pest risk assessment needs	
					to be only as complex as is technically justified by	
					the circumstances. This standard allows a specific	
				to be judged against the principles of necessity,	PRA to be judged against the principles of necessity,	throughout standards)

			APPENDIX 15 – TABI	.E 1			
I P	SP VI	No.	Location of reference	Ref. ISPM	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
					analysis, managed risk and non-discrimination set out in ISPM 1:1993.	minimal impact, transparency, equivalence, pest risk analysis, managed risk and non-discrimination set out in ISPM 1:1993.	
	11	16.	2.3.2.4 Non-commercial and environmental consequences, last parag.				Specific cross-reference to one element of the Supplement 2. Title not needed
	11	17.	3.1 Level of risk	1	The principle of "managed risk" (ISPM 1:1993, Principles of plant quarantine as related to international trade) states that: "Because some risk of introduction of a quarantine pest always exists, countries shall agree to a policy of risk management when formulating phytosanitary measures." In implementing this principle, countries should decide what level of risk is acceptable to them.	Principles of plant quarantine as related to international trade) states that: "Because some risk of introduction of a quarantine pest always exists, countries shall agree to a policy of risk management when formulating phytosanitary measures." In	[ISPMs revised since: 1] Specific cross-reference. Managed risk is one of the basic principles, also in the revised version of ISPM 1, but wording has changed. It is proposed to not quote the principle, but refer to it. No additional change needed and considered as editorial. In any case, a change is needed to be able to replace the old version of ISPM 1
		18.				El principio de "manejo del riesgo" (NIMF 1:1993) estipula que: "Dado que siempre hay algún riesgo de introducción de plagas cuarentenarias, los países deberán convenir en una política de actuación ante los riesgos al formular medidas fitosanitarias". Al llevar a la práctica este el principio de manejo de riesgo (NIMF 1), los países deberán decidir qué nivel de riesgo es aceptable para ellos.	Referencia cruzada específica. Manejo de riesgo es uno de los principios básicos, también en la versión revisada de la NIMF 1, pero la redacción cambió. Se propone no citar el principio, sino referirse a él. En todo caso, se necesita un cambio para reemplazar la versión vieja de la NIMF 1
	11	19.	3.6.1 Monitoring and review of phytosanitary measures, 1st parag.	(previou s)	The principle of "modification" states: "As conditions change, and as new facts become available, phytosanitary measures shall be modified promptly, either by inclusion of prohibitions, restrictions or requirements necessary for their success, or by removal of those found to be unnecessary"	In accordance with the principle of "modification" states: "As conditions change, and as new facts become available, phytosanitary measures shall be modified promptly, either by inclusion of prohibitions, restrictions or requirements necessary for their success, or by removal of those found to be unnecessary" (ISPM 1 (Phytosanitary principles for the protection of plants and the application of	[ISPMs revised since: 1] The wording of this principle has changed in the revised ISPM 1. The rewording proposed avoids a direct quote, and still refer to the relevant principle of ISPM 1 (easy to find). Principles are normally not mentioned between "" and these were

		APPENDIX 15 – TABL	.E 1			
ISF	No.		Ref.	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
M		reference	ISPM	(ICDM 4:4002 Principles of plant guarantins as	why to conito my management in the management	deleted. The come tout appears in ICDM
				(ISPM 1:1993, Principles of plant quarantine as related to international trade).	phytosanitary measures in international trade)):1993, Principles of plant quarantine as	deleted. The same text appears in ISPM
				Telated to international trade).	related to international trade). Thus, the	21 and was changed in the same manner.
				Thus, the implementation of particular phytosanitary	implementation of particular phytosanitary measures	No additional change needed and
					should not be considered to be permanent. After	
				After application, the success of the measures in	application, the success of the measures in	
					achieving their aim should be determined by	
						able to replace the old version of ISPM 1
					inspection of the commodity on arrival, noting any	
					interceptions or any entries of the pest to the PRA	
					area. The information supporting the pest risk analysis should be periodically reviewed to ensure	
					that any new information that becomes available	
				does not invalidate the decision taken.	does not invalidate the decision taken.	
	20.				De acuerdo con el principio de la El principio de la	La redacción de este principio ha
					"modificación" establece lo siguiente: "A medida que	
					las condiciones cambien y se obtenga nueva	
					información, las medidas fitosanitarias deberán	
					modificarse con prontitud, incorporando las	
					prohibiciones, restricciones o requisitos necesarios	
					para su efectividad o eliminando aquellas que resultaren innecesarias" (NIMF 1 (<i>Principios</i>	
					fitosanitarios para la protección de las plantas y la	
					aplicación de medidas fitosanitarias en el comercio	
					internacional) Por consiguiente, la aplicación de	do la mona manora.
					medidas fitosanitarias concretas no deberá	No es necesario ningún cambio adicional
					considerarse permanente. Una vez aplicadas, el	
					éxito de las medidas para alcanzar su objetivo	
					deberá determinarse mediante un seguimiento	
					mientras estén vigentes. Esto se logra a menudo	
					mediante la inspección inspeccionando del producto	NIME 1
					básico a su llegada y tomando nota de cualesquiera interrupciones intercepciones o entradas de la plaga	Additional translation adjustments to align
					en el área de ARP. Deberá examinarse	
					periódicamente la información en que se basa el	With English version.
		<u> </u>	l		I portodioditionito la littorinación en que se basa el	

			APPENDIX 15 – TABL	E 1			
I:	SP 1	No.	Location of reference	Ref. ISPM	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
						análisis de riesgo de plagas para cerciorarse de que cualquier información nueva que pueda obtenerse no pone en entredicho invalida la decisión adoptada.	
	11	21.	4.1 Documentation requirements	(previou s)	(ISPM 1:1993) require that countries should, on request, make available the rationale for phytosanitary requirements. The whole process from initiation to pest risk management should be sufficiently documented so that when a review or a dispute arises, the sources of information and rationale used in reaching the management decision can be clearly demonstrated.	The IPPC and the principle of "transparency" (ISPM 1:1993) require that countries should, on request, make available the rationale for phytosanitary requirements. The whole process from initiation to pest risk management should be sufficiently documented so that when a review or a dispute arises, the sources of information and rationale used in reaching the management decision can be clearly demonstrated.	General reference to the principle of transparency, which is one of the basic principles. Principles are normally not mentioned
				osanitary	certificates		
•	12	22.	Adoption	12		phytosanitary certificates. The first revision of the standard was adopted by the Sixth Session of the	
			ISPM 15 Regu	lation of v	vood packaging material in international trade		
	15	23.	Adoption	15	Session of the Interim Commission on Phytosanitary Measures in March 2002 as Guidelines for regulating wood packaging material in international trade. Modifications to Annex 1 were adopted by the First Session of the Commission on Phytosanitary Measures in April 2006. The first revision was adopted by the Fourth Session of the Commission on	Measures in March 2002 as Guidelines for regulating wood packaging material in international trade. Modifications to Annex 1 were adopted by the First Session of the Commission on Phytosanitary	

			APPENDIX 15 – TABL	.E 1			
IS N	SP I		Location of reference	Ref. ISPM	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
					in Annex 2, was adopted by the Eighth Session of the Commission on Phytosanitary Measures in April 2013.	Revision to Annex 1 together with associated change in Annex 2, was adopted by the Eighth Session of the Commission on Phytosanitary Measures in April 2013.	
					sis for regulated non-quarantine pests		
2	21 2	24.	Background, last parag.	16	Supplement 1 (Guidelines on the interpretation and application of the concept of official control for regulated pests), and the defining criteria of RNQPs	Requirements for official control are set out in ISPM 5 Supplement 1 (Guidelines on the interpretation and application of the concepts of "official control" for regulated pests) and "not widely distributed"), and the defining criteria of RNQPs are set out in ISPM 16:2002; these standards should be taken into account in PRA.	General cross-reference to Supplement 1. Revision applies. Title of Supplement 1 changed (Title kept when Supplement 1 is first mentioned in the ISPM).
		25.				Los requisitos para el control oficial se estipulan en la NIMF n.º 5 Glesario de términos fitosanitarios, Suplemento n.º 1 (Directrices sobre la interpretación y aplicación de los conceptos de "control oficial" y "no ampliamente distribuida" para las plagas reglamentadas), y los criterios para definir las PNCR se establecen en la NIMF n.º 16 (Plagas no cuarentenarias reglamentadas: concepto y aplicación); documentos los cuales estas normas se deberán tomar en cuenta al realizar un ARP.	Referencia cruzada general al Suplemento 1. La revisión aplica. El título del Suplemento 1 cambió (Se mantiene el título cuando se menciona el Suplemento por primera vez en la NIMF) Referencia cruzada general a la NIMF 16, que es sobre PNCR.
2	21 2	26.	1.2 Official control, 1st parag.	16	official control. RNQPs are subject to official control	"Regulated" in the definition of an RNQP refers to official control. RNQPs are subject to official control in the form of phytosanitary measures for their suppression in the specified plants for planting (see section 3.1.4 of ISPM 16:2002).	ISPM 16. Official control is the title of 3.1.4
2	21 2	27.	1.2 Official control, last parag.	5 Suppl.1		An official control programme for RNQPs can be applied on a national, sub-national or local area basis (see ISPM 5 Supplement 1).	

			APPENDIX 15 – TABL	E 1			
N	Λ		reference	Ref. ISPM	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
2	21	28.	3.1.1.4 Indication of economic impact(s) of the pest on the intended use of the plants for planting, 1st parag.		causes an economic impact on the intended use of the plants for planting (see ISPM 5 Supplement 2	There should be clear indications that the pest causes an economic impact on the intended use of the plants for planting (see ISPM 5 Supplement 2 Guidelines on the understanding of potential economic importance and related terms including reference to environmental considerations).	
		29.				Debe haber claros indicios de que la plaga ocasiona repercusiones económicas en el uso destinado previsto de las plantas para plantar (véase la NIMF n.º 5 Glosario de términos fitosanitarios, Suplemento n.º 2: (Ddirectrices sobre la interpretación comprensión de la importancia económica potencial y otros términos relacionados incluida la referencia a las consideraciones ambientales)).	Additional translation adjustments to align with English version.
2	21	30.	3.3.3.1 Analytical techniques	11 (previou s)	consultation with experts in economics to make a more detailed analysis of the economic effects of an RNQP. These should incorporate all of the effects	There are analytical techniques that can be used in consultation with experts in economics to make a more detailed analysis of the economic effects of an RNQP. These should incorporate all of the effects that have been identified. These techniques (see section 2.3.2.3 of ISPM 11:2004) may include:	Specific cross-reference. Still applies in
			4. Stage 3: Pest Risk Management		management for an RNQP is the establishment of measures to achieve an appropriate pest tolerance level. The same tolerance level should be applied for domestic production and import requirements (see section 6.3 of ISPM 16:2002).	management for an RNQP is the establishment of measures to achieve an appropriate pest tolerance level. The same tolerance level should be applied for domestic production and import requirements (see section 6.3 of ISPM 16:2002).	called tolerances, easy to find not needed
2	21	32.	4.3.1 Non-discrimination	5 Suppl. 1	There should be consistency between import and domestic requirements for a defined pest (see ISPM 5 Supplement 1):		[ISPMs revised since: Suppl. 1] Specific cross-reference. The original Supplement 1 used "consistency between import and domestic requirements", while the revised version uses "consistency between domestic requirements and phytosanitary import requirements". The

		APPENDIX 15 – TABL	E 1			
ISF M	No.	Location of reference	Ref. ISPM	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
		Telefelice	IOI III			change was made here for consistency with Supplement 1, and because "phytosanitary import requirements" is the term defined in ISPM 5.
	33.				Debe existir haber coherencia entre los requisitos nacionales y los requisitos fitosanitarios de importación y los nacionales para una determinada plaga (véase la NIMF n.º 5 Glosario de términos fitosanitarios, Suplemento n.º 1: directrices para la interpretación y aplicación del concepto de control oficial para las plagas reglamentadas):	Suplemento 1 original usaba "coherencia entre los requisitos nacionales y de importación". El cambio se hizo por consistencia con el Suplemento 1, y
21	34.	4.5 Options to achieve the required tolerance levels, 1st parag.	16	There are a number of options that may achieve the required tolerance. Certification schemes are often useful for attaining the required tolerance and may include elements that may be relevant for all of the management options. Mutual recognition of certification schemes may facilitate trade of healthy plant material. However some aspects of certification schemes (e.g. varietal purity) are not relevant (see section 6.2 of ISPM 16:2002).	management options. Mutual recognition of certification schemes may facilitate trade of healthy plant material. However some aspects of	Specific cross-reference. The reference to certification schemes and varietal purity
21	35.	4.5 Options to achieve the required tolerance levels, 4th parag.		· ·	Section 3.4 of ISPM 11:2004 also provides information on the identification and selection of risk management options.	2
21	36.	5. Monitoring and Review of Phytosanitary Measures, 1st parag.		The principle of "modification" states: "As conditions change, and as new facts become available, phytosanitary measures shall be modified promptly, either by inclusion of prohibitions, restrictions or requirements necessary for their success, or by	In accordance with the principle of "modification" states: "As conditions change, and as new facts become available, phytosanitary measures shall be modified promptly, either by inclusion of prohibitions, restrictions or requirements necessary for their success, or by removal of those found to be	

		APPENDIX 15 – TABL	E 1			
ISP	No.		Ref.	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
M		reference	ISPM	removal of those found to be unnecessary"	unnecessary" (ISPM 1:1993).Thus, the	generally not mentioned between "" and
				(ISPM 1:1993).	implementation of particular phytosanitary measures	these were deleted. The same text
				Thus, the implementation of particular phytosanitary	should not be considered to be permanent. After application, the success of the measures in	
				measures should not be considered to be permanent.	achieving their aim should be determined by	
					monitoring. This may be achieved by monitoring the plants for planting at appropriate times and places	
				monitoring. This may be achieved by monitoring the		as eutoriai.
					information supporting the pest risk analysis should be periodically reviewed to ensure that any new	
					information that becomes available does not	the old version of ISFW 1 can be replaced.
				be periodically reviewed to ensure that any new		
				information that becomes available does not invalidate the decision taken.		
	37.				De acuerdo con el El principio de la "modificación" se	
					estipula que: "A medida que las condiciones cambien y se obtenga nueva información, las	
					medidas fitosanitarias deberán modificarse con	cita exacta, y aún se refiere al principio
					prontitud, incorporando las prohibiciones, restricciones o requisitos necesarios para su	
					innecesarias" (NIMF n.º 1: Principios de cuarentena	
					fitosanitaria en relación con el comercio internacional).	changed in the same manner.
					Por lo tanto, la aplicación de medidas fitosanitarias	
					particulares no deberá considerarse permanente. Tras la aplicación de una medida, deberá	as editorial.
					determinarse mediante el monitoreo, el éxito de la	In any case, a change is needed, so that
					misma para conseguir su objetivo. Esto podrá	
					lograrse mediante el monitoreo de las plantas para plantar en momentos y lugares apropiados y/o de	Additional translation adjustments to
					los niveles de daños (repercusiones económicas).	
					La información que apoye el análisis de riesgo de	
					plagas deberá ser revisada periódicamente para asegurarse de que cualquier información nueva que	

			APPENDIX 15 – TABL	E 1			
		0.			Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
N			reference	ISPM			
						esté disponible no invalide la decisión que se haya tomado.	
						tomado.	
2	1 38	8.	6. Documentation of	1	The IPPC (Article VII.2(c)) and the principle of	The IPPC (Article VII.2(c)) and the principle of	[ISPMs revised since: 1]
			Pest Risk Analysis	(previou			Specific cross-refence to a basic
			•	s)		contracting parties should, on request, make	
							Principles are generally not between ""
						requirements. The whole process from initiation to	and these were deleted
						pest risk management should be sufficiently	
					documented so that when a request for the rationale		
					for measures is received, or a dispute arises, or when measures are reviewed, the sources of information		
					and rationale used in reaching the management		
					decision can be clearly demonstrated.	management decision can be clearly demonstrated.	
	39	9.			according compactation.	La CIPF de 1997 (Artículo VII.2(c)) y el principio de	
		•				la "transparencia" (NIMF n.º 1: Principios de	
						cuarentena fitosanitaria en relación con el comercio	
						internacional) requieren que las partes contratantes	Additional translation adjustments to align
						deberían comunicarquen, si así se solicita, los	En version
						fundamentos de los requisitos fitosanitarios. El	
						proceso íntegro, desde el inicio hasta el manejo del	
						riesgo de plagas, deberá estar suficientemente	
						documentado, de manera que cuando se reciba una solicitud de la razón por la cual se aplicaron las	
						medidas o surja una diferencia, o cuando se	
						examinen revisen las medidas pueda demostrarse	
						con claridad las fuentes de información y los	
						principios fundamentos utilizados para adoptar la	
						decisión con respecto al manejo del riesgo.	
			_				
					the determination and recognition of equivalence of		
2	4 4	0.	Outline of		Equivalence is one of the IPPC general principles	Equivalence is one of the IPPC <u>basic general</u>	
			Requirements	(previou	(ISPM 1:1993).	principles (ISPM 1 :1993).	Specific cross-reference. General
				s)			principles became basic principles at

			APPENDIX 15 – TABL	E1			
K	SP	No.		Ref.	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
Λ	Л		reference	ISPM			and the set IODM 4. On the set I'll
							revision of ISPM 1. Consistency with ISPM 1.
		41.				La equivalencia es uno de los principios generales básicos de la CIPF (NIMF n.º 1: (Principios de cuarentena fitosanitaria en relación con el comercio internacional)).	Basic should be translated as "básicos"
	24	42.	1. General Considerations	1 (previou s)	Equivalence is described as general principle no. 7 in ISPM 1:1993): "Equivalence: Countries shall recognize as being equivalent those phytosanitary measures that are not identical but which have the same effect." Furthermore, the concept of equivalence and the obligation of contracting parties to observe the principle of equivalence is an integral element in other existing ISPMs. In addition, equivalence is described in Article 4 of the WTO-SPS Agreement.	Equivalence is described as general principle no. 7 in ISPM 1:1993): "Equivalence: Countries shall recognize as being equivalent those phytosanitary measures that are not identical but which have the same effect.". Furthermore, the concept of equivalence and the obligation of contracting parties to observe the principle of equivalence is an integral element in other existing ISPMs. In addition, equivalence is described in Article 4 of the WTO-SPS Agreement.	reference. - Mention of a principle number is the only one of its kind in ISPMs, and not necessary. - it is not a general principle anymore in the 2006 version (general principles became basic principles).
			ISPM 25 Cons	ignments	in transit		
1	25	43.	parag.	(previou s)	introduction and spread of a pest is provided in ISPM 11:2004, in particular section 2.2. For consignments in transit, the following information may also be relevant:	Guidance for the assessment of the probability of introduction and spread of a pest is provided in ISPM 11:2004, in particular section 2.2. For consignments in transit, the following information may also be relevant:	Specific cross-reference. The section is easy to find and is worded in the same
					pest free areas and areas of low pest prevalence		
2	29	44.	2.6 Other relevant principles of the IPPC	1	equivalence (section 1.10 of ISPM 1:2006).	equivalence (section 1.10 of ISPM 1:2006).	[ISPMs revised since: 1]

			APPENDIX 15 – TABL	E1			
IS	P N	lo.		Ref.	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
M			reference and its ISPMs, last indent	ISPM			Specific cross-reference. The principle of equivalence is a separate section of ISPM 1 and easy to locate
2	9 4	5.	4.7 Duration of recognition, 2nd indent		described in section 4.1 of ISPM 13:2001) related to the areas in question or related to the bilateral arrangement noted by the importing contracting party.	party.	Specific cross-reference. Significant instances of non-compliance is the title of
					of areas of low pest prevalence for fruit flies (Teph		
3	0 4	6.	1. General Requirements, 1st parag.		(Requirements for the establishment of areas of low pest prevalence) apply to the establishment and maintenance of ALPPs for a specified pest, or a group of pests including fruit flies, and therefore	The concepts and provisions of ISPM 22:2005 (Requirements for the establishment of areas of low pest prevalence) apply to the establishment and maintenance of ALPPs for a specified pest, or a group of pests including fruit flies, and therefore ISPM 22 should be referred to in conjunction with this standard.	
3	0 4	7.	1. General	26	FF-ALPPs should include public awareness	FF-ALPPs should include public awareness	Specific cross-reference. Public
			Requirements, last parag.		programmes of a similar nature as outlined in section 1.1 of ISPM 26:2006.	programmes of a similar nature as outlined in section 1.1 of ISPM 26:2006.	awareness is a specific section in ISPM 26 and easy to find
	0 4		2.1 Establishment of the FF-ALPP		PFA are described in sections 2.1 and 2.2 of		characterization, and 2.2. on
3	0 4	9.	2.2.1 Surveillance activities, 1st parag.	6, 26	ALPP may include those processes described in	in any type of ALPP. The surveillance used in an FF-ALPP may include those processes described in ISPM 6:1997, section 2.2.2.1 on trapping	General cross-reference to ISPM 6. Specific cross-reference to trapping procedures in ISPM 26. The section is
3	0 5	0.	2.2.1 Surveillance activities, 3rd parag.	26	fruit sampling for larvae. Fruit sampling may be especially useful for surveillance for fruit flies when	The NPPO may complement trapping for adults with fruit sampling for larvae. Fruit sampling may be especially useful for surveillance for fruit flies when no traps are available. If larvae are detected in fruit	procedures in ISPM 26. The section is easy to find (and there is now an annex

			APPENDIX 15 – TABL	E 1			
K	SP			Ref.	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
Ν	1		reference	ISPM		·	
					sampling, it may be necessary to rear the larvae to adults in order to identify them. This is the case		
					particularly if multiple species of fruit flies may be		
						present. However, fruit sampling alone will not	
					provide sufficient accuracy for describing the size of	provide sufficient accuracy for describing the size of	
					the population and should not be solely relied on to	the population and should not be solely relied on to	
					validate or verify the FF-ALPP status. Surveillance	validate or verify the FF-ALPP status. Surveillance	
					procedures may include those described in section	procedures may include those described in section	
						2.2.2.2 on for fruit sampling procedures ofin	
					ISPM 26:2006.	ISPM 26 :2006 .	
	;	51.				La ONPF podrá complementar el trampeo para	
						capturar moscas de adultoas con el muestreo de	with English version
						frutas para determinar la presencia de larvas. Dicho	
						El muestreo de frutas puede resultar particularmente	
						útil para la vigilancia de las moscas de la fruta	
						cuando no hay trampas disponibles. Si en el	
						muestreo de frutas se detectan larvas, quizás sea	
						necesario criarlas hasta la edad adulta para poder	
						identificarlas. Este es el caso especialmente Será	
						conveniente hacerlo sobre todo si es posible que	
						estén presentes varias especies de moscas de la	
						fruta. Sin embargo, el muestreo de frutas por sí solo	
						no será lo suficientemente preciso para describir el	
						tamaño de la población y no debería utilizarse como la única base para validar o verificar la condición del	
						ABPP-MF. Los procedimientos de vigilancia podrán	
						incluir aquellos que se describen en el apartado	
						2.2.2.2 sobrepara los procedimientos de muestreo	
						de fruta en de la NIMF n.º 26 (<i>Establecimiento de</i>	
						áreas libres de plagas para moscas de la fruta	
						(Tephritidae)).	
						[[Topiniadao/].	
			ISPM 31 Meth	odologica	for sampling of consignments		
-	31	52	3.1.1.6 Tolerance			Tolerance levels may be established for regulated	Specific cross-reference. This is the
•	, ,	υ ∠ .	level, 2nd parag.	4 1			section called tolerances, easy to find.
			ievei, ziiu paiay.		mon-quaramme pesis (as described in	mon-quaramme pesis (as described in	section called tolerances, easy to lind.

			APPENDIX 15 – TABL	E1			
IS M	P	_	Location of reference	Ref. ISPM	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
					established for conditions related to other phytosanitary import requirements (for example, bark on wood or soil on plant roots).	bark on wood or soil on plant roots).	
3	1		3.1.1.6 Tolerance level, 3rd parag.	11 (previou s)	quarantine pests, taking into account probabilities of pest presence in the non-sampled units as described in section 3.1.1.1. However, an NPPO may determine to establish a tolerance level for a quarantine pest based on pest risk analysis (as described in ISPM 11:2004, section 3.4.1) and then determine sampling rates from this. For example, NPPOs may determine a tolerance level that is greater than zero because small numbers of the quarantine pest may be acceptable if the establishment potential of the pest is considered low or if the intended end use of the product (for example, fresh fruit and vegetables imported for processing)	Most NPPOs have a zero tolerance level for all quarantine pests, taking into account probabilities of pest presence in the non-sampled units as described in section 3.1.1.1. However, an NPPO may determine to establish a tolerance level for a quarantine pest based on pest risk analysis (as described in ISPM 11:2004, section 3.4.1) and then determine sampling rates from this. For example, NPPOs may determine a tolerance level that is greater than zero because small numbers of the quarantine pest may be acceptable if the establishment potential of the pest is considered low or if the intended end use of the product (for example, fresh fruit and vegetables imported for processing) limits the potential of entry of the pest into endangered areas.	Internal cross-reference Specific cross-reference to a section of ISPM 11. Revised version applies. Note: does ISPM 11"describe" this? (it says "inspection or testing for freedom from a pest or to a specified pest tolerance – sample size should be adequate to give an acceptable
			PT 12				
P T 2	1		Scope of the treatment	18	vegetables at 165 Gy minimum absorbed dose to prevent the development of F1 adults of <i>Cylas formicarius elegantulus</i> at the stated efficacy. This treatment should be applied in accordance with the requirements outlined in ISPM 18:2003 (<i>Guidelines for the use of irradiation as a phytosanitary measure</i>)	This treatment applies to the irradiation of fruits and vegetables at 165 Gy minimum absorbed dose to prevent the development of F1 adults of <i>Cylas formicarius elegantulus</i> at the stated efficacy. This treatment should be applied in accordance with the requirements outlined in ISPM 18:2003–(Guidelines for the use of irradiation as a phytosanitary measure) Treatment should be applied in accordance with the	about irradiation
T 2	1		PT 13			requirements of ISPM 18: 2003 (Guidelines for the use of irradiation as a phytosanitary measure) .	about irradiation

		APPENDIX 15 – TABL	E 1			
ISP	No.		Ref. ISPM	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
M		reference		This factor of a collection to the factor of the collection of the	This factor is a Part to the Part Part of College	0 1004 40
P	56.	Scope of the	18		This treatment applies to the irradiation of fruits and	
T1		treatment			vegetables at 150 Gy minimum absorbed dose to	about irradiation
3					prevent the development of F1 adults of Euscepes	
					postfasciatus at the stated efficacy. This treatment	
					should be applied in accordance with the	
					requirements outlined in ISPM 18:2003-(Guidelines	
					for the use of irradiation as a phytosanitary measure)	
P	57.		18		Treatment should be applied in accordance with the	
T1					'	about irradiation
3				use of irradiation as a phytosanitary measure).	use of irradiation as a phytosanitary measure).	
		DP 1	-			
D	58.	5. Records, 1st	27			•
P1		parag.		described in section 2.5 of ISPM 27:2006.	described in section 2.5 of ISPM 27 :2006 .	ISPM 27 is called "Records" and is easy to find.
		DP 2				
D	59.	5. Records, 1st parag.	27	The records required to be kept are listed in section	The records required to be kept are listed in section	
P2				2.5 of ISPM 27:2006.	2.5 of ISPM 27 :2006 .	ISPM 27 is called "Records" and is easy
		DP 3				to find.
_	00		07	December 11 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	D	0 1: 0 5
D	60.	5. Records, 1st parag.	21		Records and evidence should be retained as	
P3				described in section 2.5 of ISPM 27.	described in section 2.5 of ISPM 27.	ISPM 27 is called "Records" and is easy
						to find. The year was already omitted in
		DD 4				the adopted version.
		DP 4				

		APPENDIX 15 – TABLE 1					
K	SP I	Vo.	Location of	Ref.	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
Λ	1		reference	ISPM			
I) (31.	5. Records, 1st parag.	27	Refer to section 2.5 in ISPM 27:2006 for the list of	Refer to section 2.5 in ISPM 27:2006 for the list of	Specific cross-reference. Section 2.5 in
I	P4				information that needs to be recorded and retained.	information that needs to be recorded and retained.	1
							to find.
			DP 5				
I) (32.	5. Records, 1st parag.	27	The records and evidence detailed in section 2.5 of	The records and evidence detailed in section 2.5 of	Specific cross-reference. Section 2.5 in
ı	P 5				ISPM 27:2006 should be kept.	ISPM 27:2006 should be kept.	ISPM 27 is called "Records" and is easy
							to find.
			DP 6				
) P6	3.	5. Records, 1st parag.	27	Records and evidence should be retained as described in section 2.5 of ISPM 27:2006.		Specific cross-reference. Section 2.5 in ISPM 27 is called "Records" and is easy to find.

Appendix 15 – Table 2: deletion of dates

These changes are related to the deletion of the year of adoption of an ISPM (only change). This includes cases whereby a very specific wording arising from another ISPM is needed (i.e. a specific pest status from ISPM 8) and needs to remain in the standard in order to be properly understood.

In the column "reasons", the standards cross-referred in the paragraph and that have been revised since, or are under revision, are indicated. This is to indicate clearly which cross-references need to be changed to allow replacement of old versions, which ones will come up soon, and others.

			APPENDIX 15 – TABL	E 2			
IS	P			Ref.ISP	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
IVI	<u>' </u>		reference	M			
					pest risk analysis		
2	2	1.	Outline of requirements, 2nd parag.	3, 11 (previou s), 21	Stage 1, summarizes PRA Stages 2 and 3, and addresses issues generic to the entire PRA process. For Stages 2 and 3 it refers to ISPM 3:2005,	This standard provides detailed guidance on PRA Stage 1, summarizes PRA Stages 2 and 3, and addresses issues generic to the entire PRA process. For Stages 2 and 3 it refers to ISPM 3:2005, ISPM 11:2004 and ISPM 21:2004 dealing with the PRA process.	[ISPMs revised since: 11] General cross-references. Still valid. Current version of ISPM 11 applies. Titles added to ISPMs when first mentioned
2	2	2.	Background 2nd parag., footnote	11 (previou s)	biotype of plant, animal or pathogenic agent injurious to plants or plant products". The understanding of the term "pests" includes organisms that are pests because they directly affect cultivated/managed or uncultivated/unmanaged plants, indirectly affect plants,	The IPPC defines a pest as "any species, strain or biotype of plant, animal or pathogenic agent injurious to plants or plant products". The understanding of the term "pests" includes organisms that are pests because they directly affect cultivated/managed or uncultivated/unmanaged plants, indirectly affect plants, or indirectly affect plants through effects on other organisms (c.f. Annex 1 of ISPM 11:2004).	[ISPMs revised since: 11] Specific cross-reference. The annex has not changed in the revised ISPM 11. A specific cross reference is useful here, but the date can be deleted
2	2	3.	Background, revision of this standard	(previou s), 21	issues of: aligning the text with further conceptual developments of the PRA scope and procedures as appearing in ISPM 3:2005, ISPM 11:2004 and ISPM 21:2004	This revision of ISPM 2 particularly addresses the issues of: aligning the text with further conceptual developments of the PRA scope and procedures as appearing in ISPM 3:2005, ISPM 11:2004 and ISPM 21:2004	[ISPMs revised since: 11] General cross-references. Still valid. Current version of ISPM 11 applies. No date needed.
2	2	4.	1.2.1 Plants as pests, 2nd parag.	11	for water, light, minerals etc. or through direct parasitism and thus suppressing or eliminating other plants. Imported plants may also affect, by hybridization, plant populations under cultivation or in	Plants as pests may affect other plants by competing for water, light, minerals etc. or through direct parasitism and thus suppressing or eliminating other plants. Imported plants may also affect, by hybridization, plant populations under cultivation or in the wild flora, and may become pests for that reason. Further information	[ISPMs revised since: 11] General cross-reference. The current version of ISPM 11 applies. Date deleted (close parenthesis was a mistake and is also deleted)

		APPENDIX 15 – TABL	E 2			
ISP M		Location of reference	Ref.ISP M	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
				text on environmental risks in ISPM 11:2004).	is provided in the supplementary text on environmental risks in ISPM 11:2004).	
2	5.	1.2.2 Biological control agents and other beneficial organisms, 1st parag., footnote		a PRA either before import or before release of biological control agents and other beneficial organisms.	ISPM 3:2005 recommends that NPPOs should conduct a PRA either before import or before release of biological control agents and other beneficial organisms.	Specific cross-reference. Sentence may have to be substantially changed if this aspect of ISPM 3 is changed (but not foreseen)
2	6.	1.2.4 Living modified organisms, last parag.		to ISPM 11:2004. A PRA may be carried out to determine whether the LMO is a pest, and subsequently assess the pest risk.	assess the pest risk.	[ISPMs revised since: 11] Specific cross-reference, and is needed. Annex has not changed with recent revision of ISPM 11, and is expected to remain.
2	7.	1.5 Conclusion of initiation, 4th parag.	11	the pest should be regulated as a quarantine pest, the process may proceed immediately to the pest categorization step of pest risk assessment (PRA Stage	Where the PRA is specifically aimed at determining if the pest should be regulated as a quarantine pest, the process may proceed immediately to the pest categorization step of pest risk assessment (PRA Stage 2) of ISPM 11:2004. That ISPM is relevant for organisms that appear to meet the following criteria:	[ISPMs revised since: 11] General cross-reference to a PRA stage. Current version of ISPM 11 applies.
2	8.	1.5 Conclusion of initiation, 4th parag.	21	the pest should be regulated as an RNQP, the process may proceed immediately to the pest categorization	Where the PRA is specifically aimed at determining if the pest should be regulated as an RNQP, the process may proceed immediately to the pest categorization step of pest risk assessment (PRA Stage 2) of ISPM 21:2004.	General cross-reference to a PRA stage.
2	9.	3.3.2 Documenting each specific PRA, footnote linked to 3rd parag.		ISPM 3:2005 lists additional documentation requirements in relation to such organisms.	requirements in relation to such organisms.	Specific cross-ref. Expected that some kind of documentation requirements would remain in ISPM 3 even if revised.
				the export, shipment, import and release of biologica		I.
3	10.	Background, 3rd parag.	20	Section 4.1 of ISPM 20:2004 contains a reference to the regulation of biological control agents; it states: Imported commodities that may be regulated include articles that may be infested or contaminated with regulated pests The	Section 4.1 of ISPM 20:2004 contains a reference to the regulation of biological control agents; it states: Imported commodities that may be regulated include articles that may be infested or contaminated with regulated pests The	[no solution found] Specific cross-reference, but also one to the IPPC in the paragraph just above. No easy rewording. It is proposed to leave the text as it is (only delete the date of

		APPENDIX 15 – TABL	.E 2			
IS	Р		Ref.ISP	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
IV		reference	M			
				following are examples of regulated articles:	following are examples of regulated articles:	adoption of ISPM 20) and adjust it if ISPM 20 is revised before ISPM
				- pests and biological control agents.	- pests and biological control agents.	3.
3	11.	Background, 5th parag.	3, 2, 11	The structure of this revised standard broadly follows the same structure as the original ISPM 3:1995, and its content is based primarily on risk management relating to the use of biological control agents and other beneficial organisms. It is recognized that the existing standards on pest risk analysis (ISPM 2:2007 and ISPM 11:2004) provide the appropriate fundamental processes for carrying out pest risk assessments for biological control agents and other	The structure of this revised standard broadly follows the same structure as the original ISPM 3:1995, and its content is based primarily on risk management relating to the use of biological control agents and other beneficial organisms. It is recognized that the existing standards on pest risk analysis (ISPM 2:2007 and ISPM 11:2004) provide the appropriate fundamental processes for carrying out pest risk assessments for biological control agents and other beneficial	[ISPMs revised since: 3, 11] General cross-reference to the previous ISPM 3, current version applies. General cross-reference to ISPMs 2 and 11. Specific reference to ISPM 11, but the current version applies.
	10			beneficial organisms. In particular, ISPM 11:2004 includes provisions for pest risk assessment in relation to environmental risks, and this aspect covers environmental concerns related to the use of biological control agents.	organisms. In particular, ISPM 11:2004 includes provisions for pest risk assessment in relation to environmental risks, and this aspect covers environmental concerns related to the use of biological control agents.	
		Background, 7th parag.		Most of this standard is based on the premise that a biological control agent or other beneficial organism may be a potential pest itself, and in this sense Article VII.1(c) of the IPPC applies because contracting parties may prohibit or restrict the movement of regulated pests into their territories. In some situations, biological control agents and other beneficial organisms may act as a carrier or pathway for plant pests, hyperparasitoids, hyperparasites and entomopathogens. In this sense, biological control agents and other beneficial organisms may be considered to be regulated articles as described in Article VII.1 of the IPPC and ISPM 20:2004.	Most of this standard is based on the premise that a biological control agent or other beneficial organism may be a potential pest itself, and in this sense Article VII.1(c) of the IPPC applies because contracting parties may prohibit or restrict the movement of regulated pests into their territories. In some situations, biological control agents and other beneficial organisms may act as a carrier or pathway for plant pests, hyperparasitoids, hyperparasites and entomopathogens. In this sense, biological control agents and other beneficial organisms may be considered to be regulated articles as described in Article VII.1 of the IPPC and ISPM 20:2004.	General cross-reference to ISPM 20.
3	13.	2. Pest Risk Analysis, 2nd parag.	2, 11	Pest risk assessment should be conducted in accordance with ISPM 2:2007 and/or Stage 2 of ISPM 11:2004 as appropriate, taking into account uncertainties, and potential environmental consequences, as provided for in those standards. In	Pest risk assessment should be conducted in accordance with ISPM 2:2007 and/or Stage 2 of ISPM 11:2004 as appropriate, taking into account uncertainties, and potential environmental consequences, as provided for in those standards. In	[ISPMs revised since: 11] Specific cross-references to a basic concept of ISPMs 2 and 11 (pest risk assessment). ISPM 11

		APPENDIX 15 – TABL	E 2			
ISP M		Location of reference	Ref.ISP M	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
				addition to conducting pest risk assessment, contracting parties should also consider possible impacts on the environment, such as impacts on nontarget invertebrates.	addition to conducting pest risk assessment, contracting parties should also consider possible impacts on the environment, such as impacts on non-target invertebrates.	was revised, and its revision still applies to the current wording.
3	14.	2. Pest Risk Analysis, 3rd parag.	20, 11	Most contracting parties require PRA to be completed prior to import and technical justification, as described in ISPM 20:2004, such as through PRA, is required to determine if pests should be regulated and the strength of phytosanitary measures to be taken against them. Where applicable, if pest risk assessment of the proposed organism has not been undertaken or completed prior to import, it should be completed prior to release (see section 7). However, it is recognized that biological control agents and other beneficial organisms may need to be imported for research and evaluation in secure facilities prior to release. ISPM 20 also states that contracting parties may make special provision for the import of biological control agents and other beneficial organisms for scientific research, and that such imports may be authorized subject to the provision of adequate safeguards. The NPPO should be prepared for such imports with the expectation that, where necessary, a full PRA in accordance with ISPM 11:2004 will be completed prior to release. When non-phytosanitary risks are identified, these may need to be referred to other appropriate authorities for possible action.	Most contracting parties require PRA to be completed prior to import and technical justification, as described in ISPM 20:2004, such as through PRA, is required to determine if pests should be regulated and the strength of phytosanitary measures to be taken against them. Where applicable, if pest risk assessment of the proposed organism has not been undertaken or completed prior to import, it should be completed prior to release (see section 7). However, it is recognized that biological control agents and other beneficial organisms may need to be imported for research and evaluation in secure facilities prior to release. ISPM 20 also states that contracting parties may make special provision for the import of biological control agents and other beneficial organisms for scientific research, and that such imports may be authorized subject to the provision of adequate safeguards. The NPPO should be prepared for such imports with the expectation that, where necessary, a full PRA in accordance with ISPM 11:2004 will be completed prior to release. When non-phytosanitary risks are identified, these may need to be referred to other appropriate authorities for possible action.	[ISPMs revised since: 11] General cross-references to ISPMs 20 and 11. ISPM 11 was revised, and its revision still applies to the current wording.
3		3.1.3, 2nd indent	12	phytosanitary certification, in accordance with ISPM 12:2001	phytosanitary certification, in accordance with ISPM 12:2001	[ISPMs revised since: 12] General cross-reference to the concept covered by ISPM 12. The revised version applies.
3	16.	3.2 Responsibilities of the NPPO of an exporting country, 1st parag.	(previou	the phytosanitary import requirements of the importing country are satisfied and that phytosanitary certificates	The NPPO of an exporting country should ensure that the phytosanitary import requirements of the importing country are satisfied and that phytosanitary certificates are issued in accordance with ISPM 12-2004 where	[ISPMs revised since: 12] General cross-reference to the concept covered by ISPM 12. Revised version applies

		APPENDIX 15 – TABL	E 2			
IS	Р			Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
IV		reference	M			
					required by the importing country for consignments of	
				biological control agents or other beneficial organisms,	biological control agents or other beneficial organisms,	
					if these are considered as potential pests or pathways	
				for plant pests.	for plant pests.	
3	17.	7. Responsibilities of			If pest risk analysis was not undertaken prior to import	[ISPMs revised since: 11]
		the NPPO or Other			in accordance with ISPM 2:2007 and/or ISPM 11:2004,	General cross-reference to the
		Responsible Authority	s)		it should be undertaken prior to release, taking into	topic of ISPMs 2 and 11. Revised
		before, upon and			account uncertainties, as provided for in those	ISPM 11 applies
		following Release,		standards. In addition to conducting pest risk	standards. In addition to conducting pest risk	
		2nd paragraph		assessment, contracting parties should also consider		
				possible impacts on the environment, such as impacts on non-target invertebrates.	possible impacts on the environment, such as impacts on non-target invertebrates.	
_		ISPM 4 Requ	iromonto :	for the establishment of pest free areas	on non-target invertebrates.	
_	. 18.	1.2 Establishment and			ISPM 6:1997 and ISPM 2:1995 provide further details	[ISPMs revised since: 2; under
4	10.			on general surveillance and specific survey		revision: 6]
		PFA, last parag.	s)	requirements.	requirements.	General cross-reference to survey
		i i A, iast parag.	3)	requirements.	requirements.	and surveillance requirements,
						which is the main topic of ISPM 6.
						Surveillance or survey are briefly
						mentioned in the current version
						of ISPM 2, but not in the 1995
						version, so the original cross-ref to
						ISPM 2 was not clear. However as
						such aspects are mentioned in the
						2007 version, it applies. Date not
						needed
		ISPM 5 Glos	sary of ph	ytosanitary terms		
5	19.	Supplement 1,	8	"Not widely distributed" is not a term included in the	"Not widely distributed" is not a term included in the	[ISPMs under revision: 8]
		Background, last		description of pest status listed in ISPM 8:1998.	description of pest status listed in ISPM 8:1998.	Specific cross-reference, true as
		parag.				of now. This is needed now. It is
						not possible to anticipate whether
						it might (or not) be mentioned in
						the revised ISPM 8

			APPENDIX 15 – TABL	E 2			
IS M	iP		Location of reference	Ref.ISP M	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
5	, 2	0.	Supplement 1, 1. General Requirements		the principles of non-discrimination, transparency,	Official control is subject to ISPM 1:2006, in particular the principles of non-discrimination, transparency, equivalence of phytosanitary measures and pest risk analysis.	This refers to the current ISPM 1. Basic IPPC principles, not expected to change
5	2	1.	Supplement 1, 2.1 Technical justification, 2nd parag.		requires knowledge of potential economic importance, potential distribution and official control programmes (ISPM 2:2007). The categorization of a pest as present and widely distributed or present but not widely distributed is determined in relation to its potential distribution. This potential distribution represents the areas where the pest could become established if given the opportunity, i.e. its hosts are present and environmental factors such as climate and soil are favourable. ISPM 11:2004 provides guidance on the factors to be considered in assessing the probability of establishment and spread when conducting a pest risk analysis. In the case of a pest that is present but not widely distributed, the assessment of potential	Application of the definition of a quarantine pest requires knowledge of potential economic importance, potential distribution and official control programmes (ISPM 2:2007). The categorization of a pest as present and widely distributed or present but not widely distributed is determined in relation to its potential distribution. This potential distribution represents the areas where the pest could become established if given the opportunity, i.e. its hosts are present and environmental factors such as climate and soil are favourable. ISPM 11:2004 provides guidance on the factors to be considered in assessing the probability of establishment and spread when conducting a pest risk analysis. In the case of a pest that is present but not widely distributed, the assessment of potential economic importance should relate to the areas where the pest is not established.	[ISPMs revised since: 11] ISPM 2. Specific cross-reference to Basic elements of PRA, not expected to change ISPM 11. specific cross-reference to basic elements of PRA. Sentence still applies to the revised version, and likely to remain relevant in the future
5	2	2.	Last parag.	6	Surveillance should be used to determine the distribution of a pest in an area as a basis for the further consideration of whether the pest is not widely distributed. ISPM 6:1997 provides guidance on surveillance, and includes provisions on transparency. Biological factors such as pest life cycle, means of dispersal and rate of reproduction may influence the design of surveillance programmes, the interpretation of survey data and the level of confidence in the categorization of a pest as not widely distributed. The distribution of a pest in an area is not a static condition. Changing conditions or new information may	Surveillance should be used to determine the distribution of a pest in an area as a basis for the further consideration of whether the pest is not widely distributed. ISPM 6:1997 provides guidance on surveillance, and includes provisions on transparency. Biological factors such as pest life cycle, means of	[ISPMs under revision: 6] General cross-reference. Not expected to change if ISPM 6 is revised (ISPM 6 is on surveillance and is expected to still mention transparency)

		APPENDIX 15 – TABL	E 2			
ISP M			Ref.ISP	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
		reference	M			
5	23.	Supplement 2, 3.		· ·	Terms related to evidence that supports the above	[ISPMs revised since: 11]
		Economic Terms and		judgements:	judgements:	General cross-references. For
		Environmental Scope	s), 16	- limit the economic impact (in the definition for		ISPM 11, revised version applies
		of the IPPC and ISPMs, 3rd parag.		phytosanitary regulation and the agreed interpretation of phytosanitary measure)	phytosanitary regulation and the agreed interpretation of phytosanitary measure)	
				- economic evidence (in the definition for pest	- economic evidence (in the definition for pest risk	
				risk analysis)	analysis)	
				- cause economic damage (in Article VII.3 of the IPPC, 1997)	- cause economic damage (in Article VII.3 of the IPPC, 1997)	
				- direct and indirect economic impacts (in ISPM 11:2004 and ISPM 16:2002)	- direct and indirect economic impacts (in ISPM 11:2004 and ISPM 16:2002)	
				- economic consequences and potential	- economic consequences and potential	
				economic consequences (in ISPM 11:2004)	economic consequences (in ISPM 11:2004)	
					commercial consequences and non-commercial	
_	0.4		4.4	consequences (in ISPM 11:2004).	consequences (in ISPM 11:2004).	
5	24.		11		ISPM 11:2004 notes in section 2.1.1.5 with respect to	[no solution found]
		Economic Terms and Environmental Scope			pest categorization, that there should be a clear indication that the pest is likely to have an unacceptable	[ISPMs revised since: 11] Although ISPM 11 was revised in
		of the IPPC and	5)		economic impact, including environmental impact, in	2013, the section numbers still
		ISPMs, 4th parag.			the PRA area. Section 2.3 of the standard describes the	apply (i.e. does not prevent
		Tor Mo, Tar parag.			procedure for assessing potential economic	replacement of old versions of
					consequences of a pest introduction. Pest effects may	ISPM 11).
					be considered to be direct or indirect. Section 2.3.2.2	,
				addresses analysis of commercial consequences.	addresses analysis of commercial consequences.	There may not be a solution in this
					Section 2.3.2.4 provides guidance on the assessment	case. This section needs to refer
				of the non-commercial and environmental		to different elements of ISPM 11.
					consequences of pest introduction. It acknowledges	Deleting section numbers could
					that certain types of effects may not apply to an existing	be done by adding text, but would
					market that can be easily identified, but it goes on to	not be helpful for readers who
					state that the impacts could be approximated with an	need to find the details of each element.
				····	appropriate non-market valuation method. This section notes that if a quantitative measurement is not feasible,	element.
					then this part of the assessment should at least include	It is proposed to keep section
					a qualitative analysis and an explanation of how the	numbers as they are (to delete
					information is used in the PRA. Environmental or other	only the date of ISPM 11)

		APPENDIX 15 – TABL	E 2			
ISP		Location of	Ref.ISP	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
М		reference	M			
				undesirable effects of control measures are covered in	undesirable effects of control measures are covered in	
				section 2.3.1.2 (Indirect pest effects) as part of the	section 2.3.1.2 (Indirect pest effects) as part of the	
				analysis of potential economic consequences. Where a	analysis of potential economic consequences. Where a	
				pest risk is found to be unacceptable, section 3.4	pest risk is found to be unacceptable, section 3.4	
				provides guidance on the selection of pest risk	provides guidance on the selection of pest risk	
				management options, including measurements of cost-	management options, including measurements of cost-	
				effectiveness, feasibility and least trade restrictiveness.	effectiveness, feasibility and least trade restrictiveness.	
5	25.		16, 21	In the case of regulated non-quarantine pests, because	In the case of regulated non-quarantine pests, because	General cross-references.
		Application, last		such pest populations are already established,	such pest populations are already established,	
		parag.			introduction in an area of concern and environmental	
					effects are not relevant criteria in the consideration of	
					economically unacceptable impacts (see ISPM 16:2002	
				and ISPM 21:2004).	and ISPM 21:2004).	
5	26.	Appendix 1, note 9	11		⁹ The word "threaten" does not have an immediate	[ISPMs revised since: 11]
			(previou		equivalent in IPPC language. The IPPC definition of a	
			s)	pest uses the term "injurious", while the definition of a	pest uses the term "injurious", while the definition of a	General cross-reference. Still
				quarantine pest refers to "economic importance".	quarantine pest refers to "economic importance".	applies in ISPM 11 version of
					ISPM 11:2004 makes it clear that quarantine pests may be "injurious" to plants directly, or indirectly (via	2013
				other components of ecosystems), while Supplement 2	other components of ecosystems), while Supplement 2	
					of the Glossary explains that "economic importance"	The sentence about supplement 2
					depends on a harmful impact on crops, or on the	summarizes elements that are
					environment, or on some other specific value	mentioned in the supplement
				(recreation, tourism, aesthetics).	(recreation, tourism, aesthetics).	
5	27.	Appendix 1, note 21	11		²¹ It is not clear at what stages in the process of risk	[ISPMs revised since: 11]
				analysis (CBD) socio-economic and cultural		
			s), 5		considerations are taken into account (during	General cross-references. For
			Suppl. 2		assessment, or during management, or both). No	ISPM 11, still true for revised
					explanation can be offered in relation to ISPM 11:2004	version
				or Supplement 2 of ISPM 5.	or Supplement 2 of ISPM 5.	
				surveillance		
6	28.	Outline of	I -		Under the international standard ISPM 1:1993 countries	[ISPMs revised since: 1; under
		Requirements	(previou		are required to justify their phytosanitary measures on	revision: 4]
			s), 4		the basis of pest risk analysis. These principles also	
				principles also endorse the concept of "pest free areas",	endorse the concept of "pest free areas", a description	

		APPENDIX 15 – TABL	E 2			
ISP		Location of	Ref.ISP	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
M		reference	M			
					of which is provided in ISPM 4:1995. These concepts	General cross-reference to basic
					are also referred to in the World Trade Organization's	principles, still apply to the revised
				Organization's Agreement on the Application of	Agreement on the Application of Sanitary and	version of ISPM 1
				Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures (WTO, 1994).	Phytosanitary Measures (WTO, 1994). The collecting	
				The collecting and recording of pest information is	and recording of pest information is fundamental to all	General cross-reference to ISPM
				fundamental to all these concepts. The implication is	these concepts. The implication is that national plant	4. The revised ISPM 4 will still be
					protection organizations (NPPOs) should be in a	about pest free areas.
					position to validate declarations of the absence or	
		IODM 7 DI (••	absence or limited distribution of quarantine pests.	limited distribution of quarantine pests.	
_	00			certification system		
1	29.	Scope	12		Requirements and guidelines for the preparation and	General cross-reference to a
				issuance of phytosanitary certificates (phytosanitary	issuance of phytosanitary certificates ¹ (phytosanitary	basic element of ISPM 12
				certificates for export and phytosanitary certificates for	certificates for export and phytosanitary certificates for	
7	20	4.4 Dh. 4	40	re-export) are described in ISPM 12:2011.	re-export) are described in ISPM 12:2011.	0
1	30.	4.1 Phytosanitary	12	The phytosanitary certificates are the documentary	The phytosanitary certificates are the documentary	General cross-reference to a
		certificates		assurance that the phytosanitary certification process as described under the IPPC has been undertaken. The	assurance that the phytosanitary certification process as described under the IPPC has been undertaken. The	basic element of ISPM 12
					model phytosanitary certificates as described in the	
					Annex to the IPPC should be used. Specific guidance is	
				is provided in ISPM 12:2011.	provided in ISPM 12:2011.	
7	31.	4.2 Documentation of	12		The NPPO should maintain guidance documents and	General cross-reference to a
•	J 1.	procedures, 1st parag.	12		work instructions, as appropriate, covering all the	basic element of ISPM 12
		procedures, 1st parag.		procedures of the phytosanitary certification system,	procedures of the phytosanitary certification system,	basic element of for W 12
				including:	including:	
				- specific activities relating to phytosanitary	- specific activities relating to phytosanitary	
					certificates, as described in ISPM 12:2011, including	
				, , ,	inspection, sampling, testing, treatment and verification	
				of the identity and integrity of consignments	of the identity and integrity of consignments	
7	32.	5.2 Communication	13		If after phytosanitary certification the NPPO of the	General cross-reference. The
		between NPPOs, last			exporting country becomes aware that an exported	topic of ISPM 13 is notification of
		parag.			consignment may not have complied with phytosanitary	non-compliance and emergency
				import requirements, the IPPC contact point or	import requirements, the IPPC contact point or	action, and expected to remain so.
					designated alternative point of contact in the importing	
					country should be informed as soon as possible. In	

		APPENDIX 15 – TABL	E 2			
IS	Р	Location of	Ref.ISP	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
M		reference	M		·	
				cases where non-compliance has been identified at	cases where non-compliance has been identified at	
				import, ISPM 13:2001 applies.	import, ISPM 13:2001 applies.	
				of pest status in an area		
8	33.	1. Purposes of Pest			In general, the provision of reliable pest records and the	[ISPMs revised since: 1]
		Status Determination,	**		determination of pest status are vital components of a	General cross-reference.
		2nd parag.	s)		number of activities covered under the International	Revised ISPM 1 is still about the
					Plant Protection Convention (IPPC) and by the	principles
					principles noted in ISPM 1:1993 and the international	
				standards for phytosanitary measures that have been developed from them.	standards for phytosanitary measures that have been developed from them.	
8	34.	2.1 Pest record	6	The ISPM 6:1997 describes the elements of information	The ISPM 6:1997 describes the elements of information	[ISPMs under revision: 6]
Ü	J	2.11 03(100010	O		from general surveillance and specific surveys that may	General cross-reference. ISPM 6
					be included in a pest record. The basic information	is on surveillance, and even if
				needed in a pest record includes the following:	needed in a pest record includes the following:	revised is likely to refer to general
						surveillance and specific surveys
8	35.	3.1.2 Absence, 2nd	4, 6	It is also possible to conclude that a pest is absent even	It is also possible to conclude that a pest is absent even	[ISPMs under revision: 4, 6]
		parag.				Specific cross-reference. Still
				•	different situations are described below. Absence may	expected that absence may be
					also be confirmed by specific surveys (see	confirmed by specific surveys,
					ISPM 6:1997) and, in that case, the phrase "confirmed	even in revised ISPM 6
					by survey" should then be added. Similarly, when a pest	One and arrange of a source to ICDM
					free area is established according to the appropriate	General cross-reference to ISPM
				declared" should be added.	ISPM (see ISPM 4:1995) the phrase "Pest free area declared" should be added.	4, on pest free areas
8	36.	3.1.2 Absence,	9		Pest records indicate that the pest was present in the	General cross-reference.
ľ		Absent: pest	3		past. A documented pest eradication programme was	Eradication is the topic of ISPM 9
		eradicated		conducted and was successful (see ISPM 9:1998).	conducted and was successful (see ISPM 9:1998).	
				Surveillance confirms continued absence.	Surveillance confirms continued absence.	
				pest eradication programmes		
9	37.	Outline of	2	After a preliminary investigation that includes the	After a preliminary investigation that includes the	[ISPMs under revision: 2]
		requirements, 2nd	(previou	consideration of data collected at the site(s) of	consideration of data collected at the site(s) of	
		parag.	s)	detection or occurrence, the extent of the infestation,	detection or occurrence, the extent of the infestation,	General cross-reference to ISPM
				information on the biology and potential economic	information on the biology and potential economic	2. Revised version applies
				impact of the pest, current technology and available	impact of the pest, current technology and available	
				resources for eradication, a cost-benefit analysis of the	resources for eradication, a cost-benefit analysis of the	

			APPENDIX 15 – TABL	E 2			
K	P			Ref.ISP	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
IV			reference	M			
					pest eradication programme should be undertaken. Whenever possible, it is also useful to gather information concerning the geographical origin of the pest, and pathways for its reintroduction. Pest risk analysis (PRA) provides a scientific basis for informed decision-making (see ISPM 2:1995). From these studies, one or more options should be made available to decision-makers. However, in an emergency situation, the benefits of speed of action in preventing spread may outweigh the benefits normally achieved through a more structured approach.	pest eradication programme should be undertaken. Whenever possible, it is also useful to gather information concerning the geographical origin of the pest, and pathways for its reintroduction. Pest risk analysis (PRA) provides a scientific basis for informed decision-making (see ISPM 2:1995). From these studies, one or more options should be made available to decision-makers. However, in an emergency situation, the benefits of speed of action in preventing spread may outweigh the benefits normally achieved through a more structured approach.	Both ISPMs 2 and 11 would be relevant (but ISPM 9 was developed before ISPM 11 was first adopted)
Ş	3	38.	1.3 Reporting requirements and information sharing	8	Verification of the occurrence of a new pest of immediate or potential danger initiates the process that leads to reporting requirements for the NPPO under the International Plant Protection Convention (see Article VII.2(j) and Article VIII.1(a) and VIII.1(c)) and is described in ISPM 8:1998.	Verification of the occurrence of a new pest of immediate or potential danger initiates the process that leads to reporting requirements for the NPPO under the International Plant Protection Convention (see Article VII.2(j) and Article VIII.1(a) and VIII.1(c)) and is described in ISPM 8:1998.	[ISPMs under revision: 8] General cross-reference. ISPM 8 is about determining pest status.
ę	3	39.	2.1 Initiation	6	The eradication programme may be initiated by detection of a pest new to an area arising from general surveillance or specific surveys (see ISPM 6:1997). In the case of established pests, the eradication programme will be initiated by policy considerations (e.g. a decision taken to establish a pest free area).	The eradication programme may be initiated by detection of a pest new to an area arising from general surveillance or specific surveys (see ISPM 6:1997). In the case of established pests, the eradication programme will be initiated by policy considerations (e.g. a decision taken to establish a pest free area).	[ISPMs under revision: 6] General cross-reference ISPM 6 is on surveillance
Ş	4		eradication programme	2, 11 (previou s)	An estimate of the impact of the pest, the extent of the infested area, the potential for spread, and the anticipated rate of spread is necessary to judge the feasibility of an eradication programme. PRA provides a scientific basis for this estimate (see ISPM 2:2007 and ISPM 11:2004). Possible eradication options and cost-benefit factors should also be considered.	An estimate of the impact of the pest, the extent of the infested area, the potential for spread, and the anticipated rate of spread is necessary to judge the feasibility of an eradication programme. PRA provides a scientific basis for this estimate (see ISPM 2:2007 and ISPM 11:2004). Possible eradication options and cost-benefit factors should also be considered.	[ISPMs revised since: 11] General cross-reference. Estimating the impact of a pest is generally part of PRA, topic of ISPM 2 and ISPM 11
Ş) 4	11.	3.2.1 Surveillance	6	A delimiting survey should be completed either initially or to confirm earlier surveys. Monitoring surveys should then continue in accordance with the eradication plan to check the distribution of the pest and assess the effectiveness of the eradication	A delimiting survey should be completed either initially or to confirm earlier surveys. Monitoring surveys should then continue in accordance with the eradication plan to check the distribution of the pest and assess the effectiveness of the eradication	[ISPMs under revision: 6] Specific reference to a concept in ISPM 6. Monitoring surveys are likely to remain in ISPM 6

		APPENDIX 15 – TABL	.E 2			
ISP		Location of	Ref.ISP	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
M		reference	M			
9	42.	3.5 Declaration of eradication	8	programme (see ISPM 6:1997). Surveillance may include a pathway analysis to identify the source of the pest and its possible spread, the inspection of clonally or contact-linked material, inspection, trapping, and aerial observation. This may also include targeted inquiries to growers, those responsible for storage and handling facilities, and the public. A declaration of eradication by the NPPO follows the completion of a successful eradication programme. The status of the pest in the area is then "absent: pest eradicated" (see ISPM 8:1998). It involves communication with affected and interested parties, as	programme (see ISPM 6:1997). Surveillance may include a pathway analysis to identify the source of the pest and its possible spread, the inspection of clonally or contact-linked material, inspection, trapping, and aerial observation. This may also include targeted inquiries to growers, those responsible for storage and handling facilities, and the public. A declaration of eradication by the NPPO follows the completion of a successful eradication programme. The status of the pest in the area is then "absent: pest eradicated" (see ISPM 8:1998). It involves communication with affected and interested parties, as	[ISPMs under revision: 8] Specific reference to one pest status in ISPM 8. If the pest status changes in the revised ISPM 8, the text here could easily be
		ICDM 40 Dogs		well as appropriate authorities concerning the fulfilment of programme objectives. Programme documentation and other relevant evidence supporting the declaration should be made available to other NPPOs upon request.	well as appropriate authorities concerning the fulfilment of programme objectives. Programme documentation and other relevant evidence supporting the declaration should be made available to other NPPOs upon request.	adjusted (as ISPM 8 will presumably contain a pest status for eradication)
- 10	10			for the establishment of pest free places of production		TIODA I I I I I
10	43.	1.2 Distinction between a Pest Free Place of Production or a Pest Free Production Site and a Pest Free Area	4	distinct from that of the pest free area (see ISPM 4:1995). The pest free area has the same objective as the pest free place of production but is implemented in a different way. Every distinction	The concept of the pest free place of production is distinct from that of the pest free area (see ISPM 4:1995). The pest free area has the same objective as the pest free place of production but is implemented in a different way. Every distinction between a pest free place of production and a pest free area applies equally to a pest free production site.	[ISPMs under revision: 4] General cross-reference. ISPM 4 is on pest-free areas
		ISPM 11 Pest	risk analy	rsis for quarantine pests		
	44.	1.1 Initiation points, 3rd parag.		and thereby make them useful for biological control (see ISPM 3:2005)	pests modified to alter their pathogenic characteristic and thereby make them useful for biological control (see ISPM 3:2005)	General cross-reference to ISPM 3
11	45.	2.2.2 Probability of establishment, 2nd parag.	8	be able to establish in the PRA area (e.g. because of	In considering probability of establishment, it should be noted that a transient pest (see ISPM 8:1998) may not be able to establish in the PRA area (e.g. because of unsuitable climatic conditions) but could still have unacceptable economic consequences (see IPPC Article VII.3).	[ISPMs under revision: 8] Specific cross-reference. Transience expected to remain in ISPM 8

		APPENDIX 15 – TABL	E 2			
ISI M		Location of reference	Ref.ISP M	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
	46.	selection of appropriate risk management options, 1st parag	(previou s)	effectiveness in reducing the probability of introduction of the pest. The choice should be based on the following	Appropriate measures should be chosen based on their effectiveness in reducing the probability of introduction of the pest. The choice should be based on the following considerations, which include several of the phytosanitary principles of ISPM 1:1993:	[ISPMs revised since: 1] General cross-reference. The principles referred to are minimal impact, equivalence, and non-discrimination, which are basic principles and still in the 2006 version of ISPM 1.
1	47.	3.4.3 Options ensuring that the area, place or site of production or crop is free from the pest	4, 10	Measures may include: - pest-free area – requirements for pest-free area status are described in ISPM 4:1995 - pest-free place of production or pest-free production site – requirements are described in ISPM 10:1999 - inspection of crop to confirm pest freedom.	area status are described in ISPM 4:1995	[ISPMs under revision: 4] General cross-references to ISPMs 4 and 10
1	1 48.	3.5 Phytosanitary certificates and other compliance measures, 1st parag.		Risk management includes the consideration of appropriate compliance procedures. The most important of these is export certification (see ISPM 7:1997). The issuance of phytosanitary certificates (see ISPM 12:2001) provides official assurance that a consignment is "considered to be free from the quarantine pests specified by the importing contracting party and to conform with the current phytosanitary requirements of the importing contracting party." It thus confirms that the specified risk management options have been followed. An additional declaration may be required to indicate that a particular measure has been carried out. Other compliance	Risk management includes the consideration of appropriate compliance procedures. The most important of these is export certification (see	General cross-references. Export certification is the topic of ISPM 7 and phytosanitary certificates of ISPM 12 Exact quote from ISPM 12:2001 is also included in ISPM 12:2011 (this is part of the certifying statement on the model certificates; it leaves out the end of the sentence on RNQPs, not relevant for ISPM 11)
1	1 49.	3.5 Phytosanitary certificates and other compliance measures, 2nd parag.		LMOs (as with any other regulated articles) should only	S2 Information on phytosanitary certificates regarding LMOs (as with any other regulated articles) should only be related to phytosanitary measures (see ISPM 12:2001).	General cross-reference to ISPM 12 (LMOs are not specifically mentioned in ISPM 12, the reference here is presumably intended to be general)

		APPENDIX 15 – TABL	.E 2			
IS	Р		Ref.ISP	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
M		reference	M			
1	1 50.	Annex 4, section			The remainder of the text generally follows the	[ISPMs revised since: 11]
		Plants as pests, last	(previou		sequence of ISPM 11:2004, with the corresponding	The sequence is the same in the
		parag.	s)		sections of the standard indicated in parentheses. In	revised ISPM 11 (the annex was
						adopted at the same time as ISPM
				aspects particular to plants as pests.	aspects particular to plants as pests.	11 was revised)
1	1 51.	Footnote	5 App. 1		"Invasive plants" are often taken to mean invasive alien	Not needed, general cross-
				species in the CBD sense (see ISPM 5, Appendix 1	species in the CBD sense (see ISPM 5, Appendix 1	reference
					(2009)). The term "weed" usually refers to pests of	
				cultivated plants. However, some countries use the	cultivated plants. However, some countries use the	
				term "weed" irrespective of whether cultivated plants or	term "weed" irrespective of whether cultivated plants or	
				wild flora are at risk, and other countries use the term	wild flora are at risk, and other countries use the term	
				"noxious weed", "landscape weed", "environmental	"noxious weed", "landscape weed", "environmental	
				weed" or similar terms to distinguish them from plants only affecting crops.	weed" or similar terms to distinguish them from plants only affecting crops.	
1	1 52.	Stage 1, pre-selection	2		ISPM 2 :2007 describes, as part of the initiation stage, a	Specific cross-reference to a basic
'	1 32.	Stage 1, pre-selection	2		pre-selection step intended for determining whether or	elements of ISPM 2.
					not an organism is a pest, and provides some indicators	elements of ior wiz.
					that a plant may be a pest. Particular attention is needed	
					for plants that have proven to be pests elsewhere or that	
					have intrinsic characteristics such as high propagation	
					rate or strong competitive or propagule dispersal	
				propagule dispersal abilities. In most cases,	abilities. In most cases, consideration of these factors	
				1	in Stage 1 of the PRA may not be sufficient to terminate	
				may not be sufficient to terminate the process; however,	the process; however, in cases where it is clearly	
					determined that the plant is only suited to a specific type	
				only suited to a specific type of habitat that does not	of habitat that does not exist in the PRA area, it may be	
				exist in the PRA area, it may be concluded that the plant	concluded that the plant cannot become a pest in that	
				cannot become a pest in that area and the PRA process	area and the PRA process may stop at that point.	
				may stop at that point.		
1	1 53.	Stage 2, Intended use	32		The PRA should include consideration of the intended	General cross-reference.
				use (refer to ISPM 32:2009) of the plants as this may	use (refer to ISPM 32 :2009) of the plants as this may	
					affect the probability of establishment, spread and	
				economic consequences. However, it should also be	economic consequences. However, it should also be	
				recognized that plants, once entered, may escape or be	recognized that plants, once entered, may escape or be	

		APPENDIX 15 – TABL	E 2			
ISP M		Location of reference	Ref.ISP M	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
				intended.	diverted from the use for which they were originally intended.	
		ISPM 12 Phyt	osanitary	certificates		
12	54.	Scope	7	a phytosanitary certification system to be established by national plant protection organizations (NPPOs) is provided in ISPM 7:2011.	Specific guidance on requirements and components of a phytosanitary certification system to be established by national plant protection organizations (NPPOs) is provided in ISPM 7:2011.	General cross-reference to the topic of ISPM 7
12	55.	3. Considerations for Importing Countries and NPPOs Issuing Phytosanitary Certificates, 1st parag.		require phytosanitary certificates for plant products that have been processed to the point where they		General cross-reference
12	56.	3. Considerations for Importing Countries and NPPOs Issuing Phytosanitary Certificates, 2nd parag.		differences between their views regarding the technical justification for requiring phytosanitary certificates. Requirements for phytosanitary certificates should respect the principles of transparency, non-	NPPOs should consult bilaterally when there are differences between their views regarding the technical justification for requiring phytosanitary certificates. Requirements for phytosanitary certificates should respect the principles of transparency, non-discrimination, necessity and technical justification (see ISPM 1:2006).	General cross-reference to basic principles in ISPM 1
12	57.	3.1 Unacceptable phytosanitary certificates	13	NPPOs of importing countries should not accept phytosanitary certificates that they determine to be invalid or fraudulent. The NPPO of the declared country of issuance should be notified as soon as possible regarding unacceptable or suspect phytosanitary certificates as described in ISPM 13:2001. Where the NPPO of the importing country suspects that phytosanitary certificates may be unacceptable, it may require the prompt cooperation of the NPPO of the exporting or re-exporting country in determining the validity or non-validity of the phytosanitary certificates. The NPPO of the exporting or re-exporting country	NPPOs of importing countries should not accept phytosanitary certificates that they determine to be invalid or fraudulent. The NPPO of the declared country of issuance should be notified as soon as possible regarding unacceptable or suspect phytosanitary certificates as described in ISPM 13:2001. Where the NPPO of the importing country suspects that phytosanitary certificates may be unacceptable, it may require the prompt cooperation of the NPPO of the exporting or re-exporting country in determining the validity or non-validity of the phytosanitary certificates. The NPPO of the exporting or re-exporting country should take corrective action where necessary and	General cross-reference. One of the basic elements of ISPM 13.

		APPENDIX 15 – TABL	.E 2			
IS M	P	Location of reference	Ref.ISP M	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
				certificates so as to ensure that a high level of	review systems for the issuance of phytosanitary certificates so as to ensure that a high level of confidence is associated with its phytosanitary certificates.	
	2 58.	5. Guidelines and Requirements for Completing Sections of a Phytosanitary Certificate for Export, under III. Disinfestation and/or Disinfection Treatment, last parag.		ISPM 18:2003 should be considered.	For irradiation treatments, the provisions of ISPM 18: 2003 should be considered.	General cross-reference. ISPM 18 is about irradiation
1	2 59.	6.2 Transit, 1st parag	25	NPPO of the country of transit is not involved unless	If a consignment is in transit through a country, the NPPO of the country of transit is not involved unless risks for the country of transit have been identified (ISPM 25:2006).	Specific cross-reference to a basic element under ISPM 25
				the notification of non-compliance and emergency a		
1	3 60.	2. The Use of Notification Information		Notification is normally bilateral. Notifications and information used for notification are valuable for official purposes but may also be easily misunderstood or misused if taken out of context or used imprudently. To minimize the potential for misunderstandings or abuse, countries should be careful to ensure that notifications and information about notifications are distributed in the first instance only to the exporting country. In particular, the importing country may consult with the exporting country and provide the opportunity for the exporting country to investigate instances of apparent noncompliance, and correct as necessary. This should be done before changes in the phytosanitary status of a	Notification is normally bilateral. Notifications and information used for notification are valuable for official purposes but may also be easily misunderstood or misused if taken out of context or used imprudently. To minimize the potential for misunderstandings or abuse, countries should be careful to ensure that notifications and information about notifications are distributed in the first instance only to the exporting country. In particular, the importing country may consult with the exporting country and provide the opportunity for the exporting country to investigate instances of apparent noncompliance, and correct as necessary. This should be done before changes in the phytosanitary status of a commodity or area, or other failures of phytosanitary systems in the exporting country are confirmed or reported more widely (see also good reporting practices for interceptions in ISPM 8:1998).	[ISPMs under revision: 8] General cross-reference. The revised ISPM 8 is expected to contain such good reporting practices

	APPENDIX 15 – TABLE 2						
ISF	,	Location	of	Ref.ISP	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
IVI		reference		M			
13	6	I. 9.1 Non-compli	iance	8	instances of non-compliance to determine the possible cause with a view to avoid recurrence. Upon request, the results of the investigation should be reported to the importing country. Where the results of the investigation indicate a change of pest status, this information should	The exporting country should investigate significant instances of non-compliance to determine the possible cause with a view to avoid recurrence. Upon request, the results of the investigation should be reported to the importing country. Where the results of the investigation indicate a change of pest status, this information should be communicated according to the good practices noted in ISPM 8:1998.	As above
		ISPM 14	The	use of inte	grated measures in a systems approach for pest risk	management	
	62	Requirements, parag.	of 1st	2, 11 (previou s), 21	ISPM 2:2007, ISPM 11:2004 and ISPM 21:2004 provide general guidance on measures for pest risk management. Systems approaches, which integrate measures for pest risk management in a defined manner, could provide an alternative to single measures to meet the appropriate level of phytosanitary protection of an importing country. They can also be developed in situations where no single measure is available. A systems approach requires the integration of different measures, at least two of which act independently, with a cumulative effect.	ISPM 2:2007, ISPM 11:2004 and ISPM 21:2004 provide general guidance on measures for pest risk management. Systems approaches, which integrate measures for pest risk management in a defined manner, could provide an alternative to single measures to meet the appropriate level of phytosanitary protection of an importing country. They can also be developed in situations where no single measure is available. A systems approach requires the integration of different measures, at least two of which act independently, with a cumulative effect.	[ISPMs revised since: 11] General cross-reference to ISPMs dealing with pest risk management
14	63	3. 1. Purpose of S Approaches	Systems	2, 11 (previou s), 21	pest risk management are described in ISPM 2:2007, ISPM 11:2004 and ISPM 21:2004. All phytosanitary measures must be technically justified according to Article VII.2(a) of the IPPC. A systems approach integrates measures to meet phytosanitary import requirements. Systems approaches provide, where appropriate, an equivalent alternative to procedures such as treatments or replace more restrictive measures like prohibition. This is achieved by considering the combined effect of different conditions and procedures. Systems approaches provide the opportunity to consider both pre- and post-harvest procedures that may contribute to the effective	Many of the elements and individual components of pest risk management are described in ISPM 2:2007, ISPM 11:2004 and ISPM 21:2004. All phytosanitary measures must be technically justified according to Article VII.2(a) of the IPPC. A systems approach integrates measures to meet phytosanitary import requirements. Systems approaches provide, where appropriate, an equivalent alternative to procedures such as treatments or replace more restrictive measures like prohibition. This is achieved by considering the combined effect of different conditions and procedures. Systems approaches provide the opportunity to consider both pre- and post-harvest procedures that may contribute to the effective management of pest risk. It is important to consider	[ISPMs revised since: 11] General cross-reference to ISPMs dealing with pest risk management

		APPENDIX 15 – TABL				
IS M	Р		Ref.ISP	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
		reference	M	options because the integration of measures may be less trade restrictive than other risk management	systems approaches among pest risk management options because the integration of measures may be less trade restrictive than other risk management options (particularly where the alternative is prohibition).	
1	4 64	3. Relationship with PRA and Available Pest Risk Management Options, 2nd parag.	(previou	A combination of phytosanitary measures in a systems approach is one of the options which may be selected as the basis for phytosanitary import requirements. As in the development of all pest risk management	A combination of phytosanitary measures in a systems approach is one of the options which may be selected as the basis for phytosanitary import requirements. As in the development of all pest risk management measures, these should take into account uncertainty of the risk. (see ISPM 11:2004).	[ISPMs revised since: 11] Specific cross-reference to uncertainty of the risk. The degree of uncertainty is a basic element of PRA, not expected to change
		ISPM 15 Regi	ulation of v	wood packaging material in international trade		
1	5 65	3.2 Approval of new or revised treatments		existing treatments may be reviewed and modified, and new alternative treatments and/or treatment schedule(s) for wood packaging material may be adopted by the CPM. ISPM 28:2007 provides guidance on the IPPC's process for approval of treatments. If a new treatment or a revised treatment schedule is adopted for wood packaging material and incorporated into this ISPM, material already treated under the		General cross-reference. ISPM 28 is on approval of treatments
	5 66	considerations, 1st parag., 2nd and 3rd indents	(previou	 monitoring treatment and marking systems implemented in order to verify compliance (further information on related responsibilities is provided in ISPM 7:1997) inspection, establishing verification 	- monitoring treatment and marking systems implemented in order to verify compliance (further information on related responsibilities is provided in ISPM 7:1997)	[ISPMs revised since: 7] Specific cross-reference to ISPM 7. Revised version applies. General cross-references to ISPM 23 on inspection.
1	5 67	4.4 Transit	25	 Where consignments moving in transit have wood packaging material that does not meet the requirements of this standard, NPPOs of countries of transit may require measures to ensure that wood packaging material does not 	,	General cross-reference. ISPM 25 is on transit

			APPENDIX 15 – TABL	.E 2			
IS	P			Ref.ISP	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
IV			reference	M			
					present an unacceptable risk. Further guidance	present an unacceptable risk. Further guidance	
					on transit arrangements is provided in	on transit arrangements is provided in	
					ISPM 25:2006.	ISPM 25 :2006 .	
					-quarantine pests: concept and application		
1	6 6	8.	4.5 "Regulated"	5 Suppl.	"Regulated" in the definition of RNQP refers to official	"Regulated" in the definition of RNQP refers to official	[ISPMs revised since: Suppl. 1]
				1		control. An official control programme for RNQPs can	Specific cross-reference to
						be applied on a national, subnational, or local area	Supplement 1, expected to remain
					basis. (see ISPM 5 Supplement 1, Guidelines on the	basis. (see ISPM 5 Supplement 1, Guidelines on the	SO.
						interpretation and application of the concepts of "official	Title kept when Supplement 1 is
	6 6	0	F. Dalayant Dringinlag	4	control" and "not widely distributed", 2012)	control" and "not widely distributed", 2012)	first mentioned in the ISPM.
1	0		5. Relevant Principles		''	''	Specific cross-references, but
			and Obligations			particular the principles and obligations of technical justification, pest risk analysis, managed risk, minimal	principles expected to remain
						impact, equivalence, non-discrimination and	
					transparency (see ISPM 1:2006).	transparency (see ISPM 1: 2006).	
			ISPM 17 Pest	reporting	Transparency (see for W. 1.2000).	Transparency (See for Mr 1.2000).	
1	7 7		3.1 Surveillance	6	Pest reporting depends on the establishment, within	Pest reporting depends on the establishment, within	[ISPMs under revision: 6]
		•	o. r carvomarioo		countries, of national systems for surveillance, as	countries, of national systems for surveillance, as	General cross-references. ISPM 6
						required by the Article IV.2(b) of the IPPC. Information	is on surveillance and still
					for pest reporting may be derived from either of the two	for pest reporting may be derived from either of the two	expected to refer to general
					types of pest surveillance systems defined in	types of pest surveillance systems defined in	surveillance and specific surveys
					ISPM 6:1997, general surveillance or specific surveys.	ISPM 6:1997, general surveillance or specific surveys.	, ,
					Systems should be put in place to ensure that such	Systems should be put in place to ensure that such	
					information is sent to and collected by the NPPO. The	information is sent to and collected by the NPPO. The	
					surveillance and collection systems should operate on	surveillance and collection systems should operate on	
						an ongoing and timely basis. Surveillance should be	
					conducted in accordance with ISPM 6:1997.	conducted in accordance with ISPM 6:1997.	
1	7		3.3 Verification and	8		NPPOs should put in place systems for verification of	[ISPMs under revision: 8]
			analysis			domestic pest reports from official and other sources	General cross-references. ISPM 8
					(including those brought to their attention by other	(including those brought to their attention by other	is on pest status
					countries). This should be done by confirming the	countries). This should be done by confirming the	
					identification of the pest concerned and making a	identification of the pest concerned and making a	
						preliminary determination of its geographical	
						distribution— and thus establishing its "pest status" in the	
					country, according to 15PW 8:1998. NPPOs should also	country, according to ISPM 8:1998. NPPOs should also	

		APPENDIX 15 – TAB	LE 2			
ISI	Р		Ref.ISP	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
IVI		reference	M			
				or unexpected pest situations constitute an immediate or potential danger to their country (i.e. the reporting country), requiring phytosanitary action. PRA may also be used to identify, as appropriate, whether the		
1	7 72	4.3 Reporting of changed status, absence or correction of earlier reports		earlier report indicating immediate or potential danger and it later appears that the report was incorrect or circumstances change so that the risk changes or disappears, countries should report the change. Countries may also report that all or part of their territory has been categorized as a pest free area, according to ISPM 4:1995, or report successful eradication according to ISPM 9:1998, or changes in host range or	and it later appears that the report was incorrect or circumstances change so that the risk changes or disappears, countries should report the change. Countries may also report that all or part of their territory has been categorized as a pest free area, according to ISPM 4:1995, or report successful eradication	[ISPMs under revision: 4, 8] Specific cross-references to reporting aspects in the three ISPMs. Reporting expected to remain in these ISPMs
1	7 73	4.4 Reporting of pests in imported consignments			Reporting the pests detected in imported consignments is covered by the ISPM 13:2001 and not by this standard.	General cross-references. ISPM 13 is on notification of non- compliance and emergency action
	7 74	5.2 Outbreak, 1st parag.	8	population. An outbreak should be reported when its presence corresponds at least to the status of "Transient: actionable" in ISPM 8:1998. This means that it should be reported even when the pest may	An outbreak refers to a recently detected pest population. An outbreak should be reported when its presence corresponds at least to the status of "Transient: actionable" in ISPM 8:1998. This means that it should be reported even when the pest may survive in the immediate future, but is not expected to establish.	[ISPMs under revision: 8] Specific cross-reference. Transience is expected to remain in the revised ISPM 8
1	7 75	5.4 Successful eradication	9	Eradication may be reported when it is successful, that is when an established or transient pest is eliminated from an area and the absence of that pest is verified (see ISPM 9:1998).	Eradication may be reported when it is successful, that is when an established or transient pest is eliminated from an area and the absence of that pest is verified (see ISPM 9:1998).	General cross-references. ISPM 9 is on eradication

		APPENDIX 15 – TABL	E 2			
ISP M			Ref.ISP	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
		reference	M			
17	76.	5.5 Establishment of	4		The establishment of a pest free area may be reported	[ISPMs under revision: 4]
		pest free area		where this constitutes a change in the pest status in that area (see ISPM 4:1995).	where this constitutes a change in the pest status in that area (see ISPM 4:1995).	Specific cross-reference to a basic elements of ISPM 4
17	77.	6.1 Content of reports,	8	- the status of the pest under ISPM 8:1998	- the status of the pest under ISPM 8:1998	[ISPMs under revision: 8]
		1st parag., 4th indent				General cross-references. ISPM 8 is on pest status
17	78.	6.1 Content of reports,	8		It may also indicate the phytosanitary measures applied	[ISPMs under revision: 8]
		1st parag.		or required, their purpose, and any other information as	or required, their purpose, and any other information as	General cross-references. ISPM 8
				indicated for pest records in ISPM 8:1998.	indicated for pest records in ISPM 8:1998.	is on pest status
17	79.	6.4 Good reporting	8	Countries should follow the "good reporting practices"	Countries should follow the "good reporting practices"	[ISPMs under revision: 8]
		practices, first parag.		set out in ISPM 8:1998.	set out in ISPM 8:1998.	Specific cross-reference. Good
						reporting practices in general is expected to remain in ISPM 8
17	80.	9. Documentation	6		National pest surveillance and reporting systems should	[ISPMs under revision: 6]
					be adequately described and documented and this	General cross-references. ISPM 6
				this information should be made available to other	information should be made available to other countries	is on surveillance
				countries on request (see ISPM 6:1997).	on request (see ISPM 6:1997).	
				the use of irradiation as a phytosanitary measure		
18	81.	3.1 Application, last	14		According to the pest risks to be addressed and the	General cross-references. ISPM
		parag.			available options for pest risk management, irradiation	14 is on systems approaches
					can be used as a single treatment or combined with	
					other treatments as part of a systems approach to meet	
40	82.	8.2 Phytosanitary	7	the level of efficacy required (see ISPM 14:2002).	the level of efficacy required (see ISPM 14:2002).	HODMs revised sizes 7 and 401
18	02.	8.2 Phytosanitary certification	/ (previou	The NPPO may issue phytosanitary certificates based on treatment information provided to it by an entity	The NPPO may issue phytosanitary certificates based on treatment information provided to it by an entity	[ISPMs revised since: 7 and 12] General cross-references to
		Certification	s), 12		approved by the NPPO. It should be recognized that the	ISPMs on phytosanitary
			(previou		phytosanitary certificate may require other information	certification. Revised versions
			s)	supplied to verify that additional phytosanitary	supplied to verify that additional phytosanitary	apply
			3)	requirements have also been met (see ISPM 7:1997	requirements have also been met (see ISPM 7:1997)	арріу
				and ISPM 12:2001).	and ISPM 12 :2001).	
18	83.		13		In case of non-compliance or emergency action, the	General cross-references. ISPM
		last parag.			NPPO of the importing country should notify the NPPO	13 is on non-compliance and
				of the exporting country as soon as possible (see ISPM 13:2001).	of the exporting country as soon as possible (see ISPM 13 :2001).	emergency actions

		APPENDIX 15 – TABL	E 2			
ISP M			Ref.ISP	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
		reference	M			
18	84.	8.5 Administration and	13		All NPPO procedures should be appropriately	General cross-references. ISPM
		documentation by the			documented and records, including those of monitoring	13 is on non-compliance and
		NPPO, last parag.		inspections made and phytosanitary certificates issued,	inspections made and phytosanitary certificates issued,	emergency actions
				should be maintained for at least one year. In cases of	should be maintained for at least one year. In cases of	
				non-compliance or new or unexpected phytosanitary	non-compliance or new or unexpected phytosanitary	
					situations, documentation should be made available as	
		ISPM 19 Guid	alinaa an	described in ISPM 13:2001.	described in ISPM 13: 2001 .	
40	85.	4.1 Required		lists of regulated pests	Name of pest. The scientific name of the pest is used	[ISPMs revised since: 11]
19	05.	- 1	(previou		for listing purposes, at the taxonomic level which has	Specific reference. The concept
		·	s)		been justified by PRA (see also ISPM 11: 2003). The	has not changed when ISPM 11
		parag.	5)		scientific name should include the authority (where	was revised
					appropriate) and be complemented by a common term	was revised
				for the relevant taxonomic group (e.g. insect, mollusc,	for the relevant taxonomic group (e.g. insect, mollusc,	
				virus, fungus, nematode).	virus, fungus, nematode).	
19	86.	5. Maintenance of	8		Lists of regulated pests require updating when pests are	[ISPMs under revision: 8]
		Lists of Regulated			added or deleted, or the category of listed pests	General cross-references, ISPM 8
		Pests, 2nd parag.		changes, or when information is added or changed for	changes, or when information is added or changed for	is on pest status
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			listed pests. The following are some of the more	
				common reasons for updating these lists:	common reasons for updating these lists:	
				- changes to prohibitions, restrictions or	- changes to prohibitions, restrictions or	
				requirements	requirements	
				- change in pest status (see ISPM 8:1998)	- change in pest status (see ISPM 8:1998)	
				result of a new or revised PRA	- result of a new or revised PRA	
				- change in taxonomy.	- change in taxonomy.	
		ISPM 20 Guid	elines for	a phytosanitary import regulatory system		
20	87.	3.1 International	1	The drafting, adoption and application of phytosanitary	The drafting, adoption and application of phytosanitary	Specific reference to some
		agreements,		regulations require recognition of certain principles and	regulations require recognition of certain principles and	principles and concepts. The list
		principles and		concepts such as in ISPM 1:2006, including:	concepts such as in ISPM 1 :2006 , including:	that follows was adjusted during
		standards, 2nd parag.				the consistency study of ISPMs to
						take account of the principles'
						names in the revised ISPM 1.
						Other terms used are not
						principles.

		APPENDIX 15 – TABL	E 2			
ISP			Ref.ISP	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
M		reference	M			
20	88.	4.2.1 Phytosanitary measures for consignments to be imported, 1st parag.	14	phytosanitary measures with which imported consignments ¹ of plants, plant products and other regulated articles should comply. These phytosanitary measures may be general, applying to all types of commodities, or the measures may be specific, applying to specified commodities from a particular origin. Phytosanitary measures may be required prior to entry, at entry or post entry.	The phytosanitary regulations should specify the phytosanitary measures with which imported consignments ¹ of plants, plant products and other regulated articles should comply. These phytosanitary measures may be general, applying to all types of commodities, or the measures may be specific, applying to specified commodities from a particular origin. Phytosanitary measures may be required prior to entry, at entry or post entry. Systems approaches may also be used when appropriate (see ISPM 14:2002).	General cross-references. ISPM 14 is on systems approaches
20	89.	4.2.1 Phytosanitary measures for consignments to be imported, 2nd parag.	7		Phytosanitary measures required in the exporting country, which the NPPO of the exporting country may be required to certify (ISPM 7:2011) include:	General cross-references. ISPM 7 is on export certification. Revised version applies
20	90.	4.2.1.1 Provision for special imports	3 (previou s)	Contracting parties may make special provision for the import of pests, biological control agents (see also ISPM 3:1995) or other regulated articles for scientific research, education or other purposes. Such imports may be authorized subject to the provision of adequate safeguards.	Contracting parties may make special provision for the import of pests, biological control agents (see also ISPM 3:1995) or other regulated articles for scientific research, education or other purposes. Such imports may be authorized subject to the provision of adequate safeguards.	[ISPMs revised since: 3] General cross-references. ISPM 3 is on export, shipment, import and release of biological control agents and other beneficial organisms. Revised version applies
20	91.	areas, pest free places of production, pest free production sites, areas of low pest prevalence and official control programmes		areas, areas of low pest prevalence (ISPM 4:1995, ISPM 22:2005, ISPM 29:2007) and official control programmes within their country. Phytosanitary regulations may be required to protect or sustain such designations within the importing country. However such phytosanitary measures should respect the principle of non-discrimination.	principle of non-discrimination.	[ISPMs under revision: 4] General cross-references
20	92.	4.3 Consignments in transit	25	phytosanitary import regulatory system may be extended to cover consignments in transit and to	Consignments in transit are not imported. However, the phytosanitary import regulatory system may be extended to cover consignments in transit and to establish technically justified phytosanitary measures to	General cross-references. ISPM 25 is on transit

		AP	PPENDIX 15 – TABLI	E 2			
IS	Р	Lo	cation of	Ref.ISP	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
M		ref	ference	M			
					VII.4 of the IPPC, ISPM 25:2006). Measures may be required to track consignments, to verify their integrity or to confirm that they leave the country of transit. Countries may establish points of entry, routes within the country, conditions for transportation and time	prevent the introduction and/or spread of pests (Article VII.4 of the IPPC, ISPM 25:2006). Measures may be required to track consignments, to verify their integrity or to confirm that they leave the country of transit. Countries may establish points of entry, routes within the country, conditions for transportation and time	
2	0 93	cor cor em	Measures ncerning non- mpliance and nergency action, 1st rag.	13	include provisions for phytosanitary action to be taken in the case of non-compliance or for emergency action (Article VII.2(f) of the IPPC; detailed information is	spans permitted within their territories. The phytosanitary import regulatory system should include provisions for phytosanitary action to be taken in the case of non-compliance or for emergency action (Article VII.2(f) of the IPPC; detailed information is contained in ISPM 13:2001), taking into consideration the principle of minimal impact.	General cross-references. ISPM 13 is on non-compliance and emergency action
2	0 94	4. 5.1	1.3 Surveillance	6	within the regulating country. Pest status may change and this may necessitate revision of phytosanitary import regulations. Surveillance of cultivated and non- cultivated plants in the importing country is required to maintain adequate information on pest status	The technical justification of phytosanitary measures is determined in part by the pest status of regulated pests within the regulating country. Pest status may change and this may necessitate revision of phytosanitary import regulations. Surveillance of cultivated and non-cultivated plants in the importing country is required to maintain adequate information on pest status (according to ISPM 6:1997), and may be required to support PRA and pest listing.	[ISPMs under revision: 6] General cross-reference to ISPM 6 on surveillance
2	0 95	ana	alysis and pest ting, 1st parag.	(previou	to determine if pests should be regulated and the strength of phytosanitary measures to be taken against them (ISPM 11:2004; ISPM 21:2004). PRA may be done on a specific pest or on all the pests associated with a particular pathway (e.g. a commodity). A commodity may be classified by its level of processing or its intended use (see ISPM 32:2009). Regulated pests should be listed (according to ISPM 19:2003) and lists of regulated pests should be made available (Article VII.2(i) of the IPPC). If appropriate international	Technical justification such as through PRA is required to determine if pests should be regulated and the strength of phytosanitary measures to be taken against them (ISPM 11:2004; ISPM 21:2004). PRA may be done on a specific pest or on all the pests associated with a particular pathway (e.g. a commodity). A commodity may be classified by its level of processing or its intended use (see ISPM 32:2009). Regulated pests should be listed (according to ISPM 19:2003) and lists of regulated pests should be made available (Article VII.2(i) of the IPPC). If appropriate international standards are available, measures should take account	[ISPMs revised since: 11] General cross-references to the concepts in the standards mentioned

		APPENDIX 15 – TABL	E 2			
ISP M			Ref.ISP	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
141		reference	M			
				_	of such standards and should not be more stringent	
20	96.	5.1.5.2.2 Sampling	31	unless technically justified.	unless technically justified. Samples may be taken from consignments for the	Specific cross-reference to basic
20	30.	5.1.5.2.2 Sampling	31		purposes of inspection, or for subsequent laboratory	elements of sampling.
				testing, or for reference purposes (see ISPM 31:2008).	testing, or for reference purposes (see ISPM 31: 2008).	cicinents of sampling.
20	97.	5.1.6 Non-compliance	13		Detailed information about non-compliance and	General cross-references. ISPM
		and emergency action		emergency action is contained in ISPM 13:2001.	emergency action is contained in ISPM 13:2001.	13 is on non-compliance and
		,				emergency action
20	98.	5.1.8 International	13		notification of non-compliance and emergency action	General cross-references. ISPM
		liaison, 1st parag.		(ISPM 13:2001)	(ISPM 13 :2001)	13 is on non-compliance and
	00	50016 (0 1	40	TI NEEDO I III	TI NDDO I III	emergency action
20	99.	5.2.2 Information, 2nd	19	The NPPO should have access to information on the		General cross-references. ISPM
		parag.			presence of pests in its country (preferably as pest lists), to facilitate the categorization of pests during pest risk	19 is about lists of regulated pests
					analysis. The NPPO should also maintain lists of all its	
				1	regulated pests. Detailed information on lists of	
				regulated pests is contained in ISPM 19:2003.	regulated pests is contained in ISPM 19: 2003 .	
20	100.	6.2 Records, 1st	11		Records should be kept of all actions, results and	[ISPMs revised since: 11]
		parag.	(previou	decisions concerning the regulation of imports,	decisions concerning the regulation of imports,	General cross-references. ISPM
			s), 13		following the relevant sections of ISPMs where	11 is on pest risk analysis and
				appropriate, including:	appropriate, including:	ISPM 13 on non-compliance and
				- documentation of pest risk analyses (in		emergency action
				SPMs 11:2004, and other relevant ISPMs)	accordance with ISPM 11:2004, and other relevant ISPMs)	
				- where established, documentation of pest		
					free areas, areas of low pest prevalence, and official	
					control programmes (including information on the	
					distribution of the pests and the phytosanitary measures	
					used to maintain the pest free area or area of low pest	
				prevalence) - records of inspection, sampling and testing	prevalence) - records of inspection, sampling and testing	
				- non-compliance and emergency action (in	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
				accordance with ISPM 13:2001).	accordance with ISPM 13:2001).	
		ISPM 21 Pest	risk analy	rsis for regulated non-quarantine pests	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	

		APPENDIX 15 – TABL	.E 2			
ISP M			Ref.ISP	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
21		Requirements, 1st parag.	1 (previou s)	sequentially in a PRA but it is not essential to follow a particular sequence. Pest risk assessment needs to be only as complex as is technically justified by the circumstances. This standard allows a specific PRA to be judged against the principles of necessity, minimal impact, transparency, equivalence, risk analysis, managed risk and non-discrimination set out in ISPM 1:1995 as well as the interpretation and	In most cases, the following steps will be applied sequentially in a PRA but it is not essential to follow a particular sequence. Pest risk assessment needs to be only as complex as is technically justified by the circumstances. This standard allows a specific PRA to be judged against the principles of necessity, minimal impact, transparency, equivalence, risk analysis, managed risk and non-discrimination set out in ISPM 1:1995 as well as the interpretation and application of official control (see ISPM 5 Supplement 1).	[ISPMs revised since: 1 and Suppl.1] Specific reference to some principles in ISPM 1. Are also in the revised ISPM 1. General reference to Supplement 1. still applies
21	102.	4.3 Factors to be taken into account in the identification and selection of appropriate risk management options	(previou s)	on the intended use of the plants for planting. The choice should be based on the following considerations,	which include several of the principles of plant	[ISPMs revised since: 1] General cross-reference. Still true for ISPM 1 of 2006 (minimal impact, equivalence, non-discrimination)
21	103.	4.4.1 Zero tolerance, 2nd indent	10	official control programme is in place requiring pest freedom in plants for planting (zero tolerance) for the same intended use for all domestic places of production	the pest fulfils the defining criteria of an RNQP and an official control programme is in place requiring pest freedom in plants for planting (zero tolerance) for the same intended use for all domestic places of production or production sites. Similar requirements could be used as described in ISPM 10:1999.	General cross-reference to ISPM 10
21	104.	4.5 Options to achieve the required tolerance levels, 2nd parag.		Management options may consist of a combination of two or more options (see ISPM 14:2002). Sampling, testing and inspection for the required tolerance may be relevant for all the management options.	Management options may consist of a combination of two or more options (see ISPM 14:2002). Sampling, testing and inspection for the required tolerance may be relevant for all the management options.	General reference to the standard on systems approaches
21	105.	production, 2nd indent	10	pest free place of production or pest free production site (see ISPM 10:1999)	pest free place of production or pest free production site (see ISPM 10:1999)	General cross-reference. ISPM 10 is on pest free places of production and pest free poduction sites
		ISPM 22 Requ	urements	for the establishment of areas of low pest prevalence		

		APPEN	DIX 15 – TABI	E 2			
ISF M		Location	n of	Ref.ISP	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
		reference		M			
22	2 10	6. Outline Requirer parag.		6	according to appropriate protocols (ISPM 6:1997).	Surveillance of the relevant pest should be conducted according to appropriate protocols (ISPM 6:1997). Additional phytosanitary procedures may be required to establish and maintain an ALPP.	[ISPMs under revision: 6] General cross-reference. ISPM 6 is about surveillance
		an area prevalen parag., 5	5th indent		as part of official control in relation to regulated non- quarantine pests (see ISPM 16:2002)	as part of official control in relation to regulated non- quarantine pests (see ISPM 16:2002)	Specific cross-reference. Official control for RNQPs is one aspect of ISPM 16. Expected to remain
22	2 10	an area	ermination of of low pest ice, 3rd parag.		intended to be exported, they may be subject to additional phytosanitary measures. In this way, an ALPP would be part of a systems approach. Systems approaches are detailed in ISPM 14:2002. Such systems may be very efficient in ensuring that phytosanitary import requirements are met and thus, in	Where an ALPP is established and host materials are intended to be exported, they may be subject to additional phytosanitary measures. In this way, an ALPP would be part of a systems approach. Systems approaches are detailed in ISPM 14:2002. Such systems may be very efficient in ensuring that phytosanitary import requirements are met and thus, in some cases, the pest risk may be reduced to that of host material originating from a PFA.	General cross-reference to ISPM 14 on systems approaches
22		specified	termination of I pest levels	(previou s), 21	Specified levels for the relevant pests should be established by the NPPO of the country where the ALPP is located, with sufficient precision to allow assessment of whether surveillance data and protocols are adequate to determine that pest incidence is below these levels. Specified pest levels may be established through PRA, for example as described in ISPM 11:2004 and ISPM 21:2004. If the ALPP is intended to facilitate exports, the specified levels should be established in conjunction with the importing country.	Specified levels for the relevant pests should be established by the NPPO of the country where the ALPP is located, with sufficient precision to allow assessment of whether surveillance data and protocols are adequate to determine that pest incidence is below these levels. Specified pest levels may be established through PRA, for example as described in ISPM 11:2004 and ISPM 21:2004. If the ALPP is intended to facilitate exports, the specified levels should be established in conjunction with the importing country.	[ISPMs revised since: 11] General cross-reference to standards on PRA
22	2 11	0. 3.1.4.1 activities	Surveillance , 1st parag.	6	The status of the relevant pest situation in the area, and when appropriate of the buffer zone, should be determined by surveillance (as described in ISPM 6:1997) during appropriate periods of time and at a level of sensitivity that will detect the specified pest at the specified level with an appropriate level of confidence. Surveillance should be conducted	The status of the relevant pest situation in the area, and when appropriate of the buffer zone, should be determined by surveillance (as described in ISPM 6:1997) during appropriate periods of time and at a level of sensitivity that will detect the specified pest at the specified level with an appropriate level of confidence. Surveillance should be conducted according to protocols for the specified pest(s). These	[ISPMs under revision: 6] General cross-reference to ISPM 16 is on surveilance

		APPENDIX 15 – TABL	E 2			
ISP M			Ref.ISP	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
		reference	M	pest level has been maintained, e.g. type of trap, number of traps per hectare, acceptable number of pest individuals per trap per day or week, number of samples per hectare that need to be tested or inspected, part of	protocols should include how to measure if the specified pest level has been maintained, e.g. type of trap, number of traps per hectare, acceptable number of pest individuals per trap per day or week, number of samples per hectare that need to be tested or inspected, part of	
		ICDM 02	-1: f	the plant to be tested or inspected.	the plant to be tested or inspected.	
22	111	1.3 Responsibility for		inspection NPPOs have the responsibility for inspection.	NDDOs hove the responsibility for increation	General cross-references
23	111.	inspection	7, 20	Inspections are carried out by NPPOs or under their authority (see also ISPM 7:2011, ISPM 20:2004, and Articles IV.2(a), IV.2(c) and V.2(a) of the IPPC).	NPPOs have the responsibility for inspection. Inspections are carried out by NPPOs or under their authority (see also ISPM 7:2011, ISPM 20:2004, and Articles IV.2(a), IV.2(c) and V.2(a) of the IPPC).	General cross-references
	112.	relation to pest risk analysis, last parag.	(previou s) 21	When considering inspection as an option for risk management and the basis for phytosanitary decision-making, it is important to consider both technical and operational factors associated with a particular type and intensity of inspection. Such an inspection may be required to detect specified regulated pests at the desired level and confidence depending on the risk associated with them (see also ISPM 11:2004 and ISPM 21:2004).	When considering inspection as an option for risk management and the basis for phytosanitary decision-making, it is important to consider both technical and operational factors associated with a particular type and intensity of inspection. Such an inspection may be required to detect specified regulated pests at the desired level and confidence depending on the risk associated with them (see also ISPM 11:2004 and ISPM 21:2004).	General cross-references to the standards on PRA
23	113.	2.1 Examination of documents associated with a consignment, 1st parag., 4th indent	12	valid and not fraudulent (see ISPM 12:2011).	valid and not fraudulent (see ISPM 12 :2011).	Specific cross-reference to one component of ISPM 12, not expected to change
		2.1 Examination of documents associated with a consignment, 2nd parag., 4th indent		treatment documents or certificates, marks (such as provided for in ISPM 15:2009) or other indicators of treatment	treatment documents or certificates, marks (such as provided for in ISPM 15:2009) or other indicators of treatment	Specific cross-reference to one component of ISPM 15, not expected to change
23	115.	2.3.1 Pests, 1st parag.	31	A sample is taken from consignments or lots to determine if a pest is present, or if it exceeds a specified tolerance level. The ability to detect in a consistent manner the presence of a regulated pest with the desired confidence level requires practical and statistical considerations, such as the probability of detecting the pest, the number of units making up the	A sample is taken from consignments or lots to determine if a pest is present, or if it exceeds a specified tolerance level. The ability to detect in a consistent manner the presence of a regulated pest with the desired confidence level requires practical and statistical considerations, such as the probability of detecting the pest, the number of units making up the	General cross-reference. ISPM 31 is about sampling

		APPENDIX 15 – TABL	E 2			
ISF M		Location of reference	Ref.ISP M	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
		reference	IVI	lot, the desired confidence level, and the sample size (i.e. the intensity of inspection) (see ISPM 31:2008).	lot, the desired confidence level, and the sample size (i.e. the intensity of inspection) (see ISPM 31:2008).	
23		2.3.1 Pests, 4th parag.	20	The sampling method adopted should be based on transparent technical and operational criteria, and should be consistently applied (see also ISPM 20:2004).	The sampling method adopted should be based on transparent technical and operational criteria, and should be consistently applied (see also ISPM 20:2004).	[no solution found] It is not clear what this refers to. There is a section on sampling in ISPM 20, but it does not mention the aspects indicated here. There may be a need to expand the reference, but no proposal is made here. It is only proposed to delete the date of adoption of ISPM 20.
23	117.	2.5 Inspection outcome, 2nd parag.	20	If phytosanitary regulations are not met, further actions can be taken. These actions may be determined by the nature of the findings, considering the regulated pest or other inspection objectives, and the circumstances. Actions for non-compliance are described in detail in ISPM 20:2004.	If phytosanitary regulations are not met, further actions can be taken. These actions may be determined by the nature of the findings, considering the regulated pest or other inspection objectives, and the circumstances. Actions for non-compliance are described in detail in ISPM 20:2004.	Specific cross-reference to a part of ISPM 20 and easy to find.
	118.	outcome, last parag.	13, 8, 20	Where a pest is detected in an import, the inspection report should be sufficiently detailed to allow for notifications of non-compliance (in accordance with ISPM 13:2001). Certain other record-keeping requirements may also rely on the availability of adequately completed inspection reports (e.g. as described in Articles VII and VIII of the IPPC, ISPM 8:1998 and ISPM 20:2004).	Where a pest is detected in an import, the inspection report should be sufficiently detailed to allow for notifications of non-compliance (in accordance with ISPM 13:2001). Certain other record-keeping requirements may also rely on the availability of adequately completed inspection reports (e.g. as described in Articles VII and VIII of the IPPC, ISPM 8:1998 and ISPM 20:2004).	[ISPMs under revision: 8] General cross-references
		2.7 Transparency	1	As part of the inspection process, information concerning inspection procedures for a commodity should be documented and made available on request to the parties concerned in application of the transparency principle (ISPM 1:2006). This information may be part of bilateral arrangements covering the phytosanitary aspects of a commodity trade.	As part of the inspection process, information concerning inspection procedures for a commodity should be documented and made available on request to the parties concerned in application of the transparency principle (ISPM 1:2006). This information may be part of bilateral arrangements covering the phytosanitary aspects of a commodity trade.	Specific cross-reference to a basic principle in ISPM 1
23	120.		31	Guidance on sampling is provided in ISPM 31:2008.	Guidance on sampling is provided in ISPM 31:2008.	General cross-reference. ISPM 31 is about sampling

		APPENDIX 15 – TABL				
ISP		Location of	Ref.ISP	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
М		reference	M			
				the determination and recognition of equivalence of		
24	121.			Although equivalence is generally a bilateral process	Although equivalence is generally a bilateral process	[ISPMs revised since: 15]
		Considerations	··.	between importing and exporting contracting parties,	between importing and exporting contracting parties,	Specific cross-reference to the
			s)	multilateral arrangements for comparing alternative	multilateral arrangements for comparing alternative	content of ISPM 15. There are
				measures take place as part of the standard setting	measures take place as part of the standard setting	alternative measures in the
				process of the IPPC. For example, there are	process of the IPPC. For example, there are	revised version, and expected to
24	122.	3.2 Existing	11	alternative measures approved in ISPM 15:2002. Where new commodities or commodity classes are	alternative measures approved in ISPM 15 :2002 . Where new commodities or commodity classes are	remain so. [ISPMs revised since: 11]
24	122.	measures, last parag.	(previou	presented for importation and no measures exist,	presented for importation and no measures exist,	General cross-references to the
		inicasures, last parag.	s), 21	contracting parties should refer to ISPM 11:2004 and	contracting parties should refer to ISPM 11: 2004 and	standards on PRA.
			0), 21	ISPM 21:2004 for the normal PRA procedure.	ISPM 21: 2004 for the normal PRA procedure.	Standardo off 1 to t.
24	123.	3.8 Review and	13	After the recognition of equivalence, and to provide	After the recognition of equivalence, and to provide	Specific cross-reference to the
		monitoring		continued confidence in the equivalence	continued confidence in the equivalence	content of ISPM 13. Reporting of
				arrangements, contracting parties should implement	arrangements, contracting parties should implement	non-compliance is expected to
				the same review and monitoring procedures as for	the same review and monitoring procedures as for	remain in ISPM 13
				similar phytosanitary measures. These may include	similar phytosanitary measures. These may include	
				assurance procedures such as audits, periodic checks,	assurance procedures such as audits, periodic checks,	
				reporting of non-compliances (see also ISPM 13:2001	reporting of non-compliances (see also ISPM 13:2001	
		ISPM 25 Cons	signments	or other forms of verification.	or other forms of verification.	
25	124.				Further details on pest risk management are provided	[ISPMs revised since: 11]
23	127.	management	(previou	in ISPM 11:2004.	in ISPM 11: 2004 .	General cross-reference. Also
		managomont	s)	111 101 W 11.2004.	III 101 W 11.2004.	applies to revised version
25	125.	1.3.2 Transit requiring		verification of consignment identity or integrity (further	verification of consignment identity or integrity (further	Specific cross-reference.
		further phytosanitary		details provided in ISPM 23:2005)	details provided in ISPM 23 :2005)	Verification of identity and integrity
		measures, 1st parag.,				is a section of ISPM 23, and this
		1st indent				aspect is expected to remain
						(note: these terms are currently
						under consideration in the TPG,
						but it is currently proposed that
25	126	3. Measures for Non-	13	The transit system may include measures, established	The transit system may include measures, established	they both be maintained) Specific cross-reference to one
23	120.	compliance and	10		by the NPPO, for non-compliance and emergency	aspect of ISPM 13. Expected to
		Emergency Situations			situations (for example, accidents in the country of	remain
		gama, amandono			transit which could lead to the unexpected escape of a	

		APPENDIX 15 – TABL	E 2			
ISP M		Location of reference	Ref.ISP M	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
					regulated pest from a consignment moving in transit). ISPM 13:2001 contains specific guidelines for the country of transit for issuing notices of non-compliance to the exporting country and, where appropriate, to the country of destination.	
		ISPM 26 Esta	blishment	of pest free areas for fruit flies (Tephritidae)		
26	127.	Background	4, 5, 9	A pest free area is "an area in which a specific pest does not occur as demonstrated by scientific evidence and in which, where appropriate, this condition is being officially maintained" (ISPM 5). Areas initially free from fruit flies may remain naturally free from fruit flies due to the presence of barriers or climate conditions, and/or maintained free through movement restrictions and related measures (though fruit flies have the potential to establish there) or may be made free by an eradication programme (ISPM 9:1998). ISPM 4:1995 describes different types of pest free areas and provides general guidance on the establishment of pest free areas. However, a need for additional guidance on establishment and maintenance of pest free areas specifically for fruit flies (fruit fly-pest free areas, FF-PFA) was recognized. This standard describes additional requirements for establishment and maintenance of FF-PFAs. The target pests for which this standard was developed include insects of the order Diptera, family Tephritidae, of the genera	A pest free area is "an area in which a specific pest does not occur as demonstrated by scientific evidence and in which, where appropriate, this condition is being officially maintained" (ISPM 5). Areas initially free from fruit flies may remain naturally free from fruit flies due to the presence of barriers or climate conditions, and/or maintained free through movement restrictions and related measures (though fruit flies have the potential to establish there) or may be made free by an eradication programme (ISPM 9:1998). ISPM 4:1995 describes different types of pest free areas and provides general guidance on the establishment of pest free areas. However, a need for additional guidance on establishment and maintenance of pest free areas specifically for fruit flies (fruit fly-pest free areas, FF-PFA) was recognized. This standard describes additional requirements for establishment and maintenance of FF-PFAs. The target pests for which this standard was developed include insects of the order Diptera, family Tephritidae, of the genera Anastrepha, Bactrocera, Ceratitis, Dacus, Rhagoletis and Toxotrypana.	[ISPMs under revision: 4] General cross-references to ISPMs 4 and 9
26		Requirements, 1st parag.	4	The concepts and provisions of ISPM 4:1995 apply to the establishment and maintenance of pest free areas	The concepts and provisions of ISPM 4:1995 apply to the establishment and maintenance of pest free areas for all pests including fruit flies and therefore ISPM 4 should be referred to in conjunction with this standard.	[ISPMs under revision: 4] General cross-reference to ISPM 4, which is on pest free areas
26	129.	1.2 Documentation and record-keeping, 1st parag.	4		The phytosanitary measures used for the establishment and maintenance of FF-PFA should be adequately documented as part of phytosanitary procedures. They	[ISPMs under revision: 4]

			APPENDIX 15 – TABL	E 2			
IS M	Р		Location of reference	Ref.ISP M	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
2	6 1	30.	2.1 Characterization of the FF-PFA		should be reviewed and updated regularly, including corrective actions, if required (see also ISPM 4:1995). Further guidance on establishing and describing a PFA is provided in ISPM 4:1995.	should be reviewed and updated regularly, including corrective actions, if required (see also ISPM 4:1995). Further guidance on establishing and describing a PFA is provided in ISPM 4:1995.	Specific cross-reference to ISPM 4. Corrective actions are expected to remain in that standard [ISPMs under revision: 4] General cross-reference to ISPM
22	6 1	31.	2.2.2 Surveillance activities prior to establishment, 2nd parag.	8	should be undertaken for a period determined by the climatic characteristics of the area, and as technically appropriate for at least 12 consecutive months in the FF-PFA in all relevant areas of commercial and noncommercial host plants to demonstrate that the pest is not present in the area. There should be no populations detected during the surveillance activities prior to establishment. A single adult detection, depending on its status (in accordance with ISPM 8:1998), may not disqualify an area from subsequent designation as an FF-PFA. For qualifying the area as a pest free area, there should be no detection of an immature specimen, two or more fertile adults, or an inseminated female of the target species during the survey period. There are different trapping and fruit sampling regimes for different fruit fly species. Surveys should be conducted using the guidelines in Appendixes 1 and 2. These	Prior to the establishment of a FF-PFA, surveillance should be undertaken for a period determined by the climatic characteristics of the area, and as technically appropriate for at least 12 consecutive months in the FF-PFA in all relevant areas of commercial and non-commercial host plants to demonstrate that the pest is not present in the area. There should be no populations detected during the surveillance activities prior to establishment. A single adult detection, depending on its status (in accordance with ISPM 8:1998), may not disqualify an area from subsequent designation as an FF-PFA. For qualifying the area as a pest free area, there should be no detection of an immature specimen, two or more fertile adults, or an inseminated female of the target species during the survey period. There are different trapping and fruit sampling regimes for different fruit fly species. Surveys should be conducted using the guidelines in Appendixes 1 and 2. These guidelines may be revised as trap, lure and fruit	[ISPMs under revision: 8] General reference to the statuses in ISPM 8.
22	6 1	32.	2.4.1 Suspension, 2nd parag.	17	in the implementation of the corrective action plan as specified in this standard and immediate notification to interested importing countries' NPPOs (see ISPM 17:2002). The whole or part of the FF-PFA may be suspended or revoked. In most cases a suspension radius will delimit the affected part of the FF-PFA. The radius will depend on the biology and ecology of the	sampling efficiencies improve. If the criteria for an outbreak are met, this should result in the implementation of the corrective action plan as specified in this standard and immediate notification to interested importing countries' NPPOs (see ISPM 17:2002). The whole or part of the FF-PFA may be suspended or revoked. In most cases a suspension radius will delimit the affected part of the FF-PFA. The radius will depend on the biology and ecology of the target fruit fly. The same radius will generally apply for	General cross-reference to ISPM 17 on pest reporting.

		APPENDIX 15 – TABL	E 2			
ISP			Ref.ISP	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
IVI		reference	M			
				evidence supports any proposed deviation. Where a suspension is put in place, the criteria for lifting the suspension should be made clear. Interested importing countries' NPPOs should be informed of any change in FF-PFA status.	all FF-PFAs for a given target species unless scientific evidence supports any proposed deviation. Where a suspension is put in place, the criteria for lifting the suspension should be made clear. Interested importing countries' NPPOs should be informed of any change in FF-PFA status.	
		Annex 1, Actions to apply the corrective action plan		occurrence (ISPM 8:1998), no further action is required.	(1.1) If the detection is a transient non-actionable occurrence (ISPM 8:1998), no further action is required.	[ISPMs under revision: 8] Specific cross-reference to one pest status in ISPM 8,"transient non-actionable". It has to remain here, but the wording may need to be modified when ISPM 8 is revised.
26	134.	Annex 1, Actions to apply the corrective action plan	9	area As per ISPM 9:1998, specific corrective or eradication actions should be implemented immediately in the	(3) Implementation of control measures in the affected area As per ISPM 9:1998, specific corrective or eradication actions should be implemented immediately in the affected area(s) and adequately communicated to the community. Eradication actions may include:	Specific cross-reference to ISPM 9. It is expected that these aspects will remain in ISPM 9
		Annex 1, Actions to apply the corrective action plan		informed of any change in FF-PFA status as appropriate, and IPPC pest reporting obligations observed (ISPM 17:2002).	(5) Notification of relevant agencies Relevant NPPOs and other agencies should be kept informed of any change in FF-PFA status as appropriate, and IPPC pest reporting obligations observed (ISPM 17:2002).	General cross-reference to ISPM 17, which is on pest reporting.
		Annex 2, Section 3. Documentation and Record-Keeping		used in the eradication area should be adequately documented, reviewed and updated (see also ISPM 4:1995). Such documents should be made available to the NPPO of the importing country on request.	used in the eradication area should be adequately documented, reviewed and updated (see also ISPM 4:1995). Such documents should be made available to the NPPO of the importing country on request.	[ISPMs under revision: 4] Specific cross-reference to a basic element of ISPM 4, expected to remain valid
26	137.	APPENDIX 1: Fruit fly trapping (2011), 1. Pest status and survey types, 3rd parag.	8, 26, 30	characteristics of the pest population before the initiation or during the application of suppression and eradication measures to verify the population levels and to evaluate the efficacy of the control measures. These	Monitoring surveys are necessary to verify the characteristics of the pest population before the initiation or during the application of suppression and eradication measures to verify the population levels and to evaluate the efficacy of the control measures. These are necessary for situations A, B and C. Delimiting	[ISPMs under revision: 8] Although there would not normally be a reference to ISPM 26 as this annex belongs to it, the text is not understandable without.

		APPENDIX 15 – TABL	E 2			
IS	Р		Ref.ISP	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
IVI		reference	M			
				area considered to be infested by or free from the pest such as boundaries of an established FF-ALPP (situation B) (ISPM 30:2008) and as part of a corrective action plan when the pest exceeds the established low prevalence levels or in an FF-PFA (situation E) (ISPM 26:2006) as part of a corrective action plan when a detection occurs. Detection surveys are to determine if the pest is present in an area, that is to demonstrate pest absence (situation D) and to detect a possible entry of the pest into the FF-PFA (pest transient	surveys are applied to determine the boundaries of an area considered to be infested by or free from the pest such as boundaries of an established FF-ALPP (situation B) (ISPM 30:2008) and as part of a corrective action plan when the pest exceeds the established low prevalence levels or in an FF-PFA (situation E) (ISPM 26:2006) as part of a corrective action plan when a detection occurs. Detection surveys are to determine if the pest is present in an area, that is to demonstrate pest absence (situation D) and to detect a possible entry of the pest into the FF-PFA (pest transient actionable)	Specific cross-reference to "transient actionable" in ISPM 8. Needed here, but may need to be adjusted at revision of ISPM 8.
		IODIA OZ DI	4.	actionable) (ISPM 8:1998).	(ISPM 8 :1998).	
	7 400			tocols for regulated pests	December 1 detection and a still at the still	HODAin-d -in-n- 7
		parag.	(previou s), 8, 9, 13, 17, 20	for the appropriate application of phytosanitary measures (see for example ISPM 4:1995, ISPM 6:1997, ISPM 7:1997, ISPM 9:1998 and ISPM 20:2004). In particular, contracting parties need proper diagnostic procedures for determination of pest status and pest reporting (ISPM 8:1998; ISPM 17:2002), and the diagnosis of pests in imported consignments (ISPM 13:2001).	measures (see for example ISPM 4:1995, ISPM 6:1997, ISPM 7:1997, ISPM 9:1998 and ISPM 20:2004). In particular, contracting parties need proper diagnostic procedures for determination of pest status and pest reporting (ISPM 8:1998; ISPM 17:2002), and the diagnosis of pests in imported consignments (ISPM 13:2001).	[ISPMs revised since: 7; under revision: 4, 6, 8] General cross-references.
	7 139	parag.	8, 13, 17	the pest, preserved/mounted specimens or test materials (e.g. photograph of gels, ELISA plate printout results) should be retained, in particular in cases of noncompliance (ISPM 13:2001) and where pests are found for the first time (ISPM 17:2002). Additional items may be required under other ISPMs such as ISPM 8:1998.	Evidence such as culture(s) of the pest, nucleic acid of the pest, preserved/mounted specimens or test materials (e.g. photograph of gels, ELISA plate printout results) should be retained, in particular in cases of noncompliance (ISPM 13:2001) and where pests are found for the first time (ISPM 17:2002). Additional items may be required under other ISPMs such as ISPM 8:1998.	[ISPMs under revision: 8] General cross-references
2	7 140	. APPENDIX 2: List of adopted diagnostic protocols		by the Commission of Phytosanitary Measures as annexes to ISPM 27:2006. Diagnostic protocols are published separately and are available on the	The following diagnostic protocols have been adopted by the Commission of Phytosanitary Measures as annexes to ISPM 27:2006. Diagnostic protocols are published separately and are available on the International Phytosanitary Portal (https://www.ippc.int).	Note, not relevant as Appendix 2 was deleted.

		APPENDIX 15 – TABLE 2				
IS M	Р	Location of Ref.ISP reference M	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons	
2	7 141	. APPENDIX 2: List of	Annex no. Title of diagnostic protocol	Annex no. Title of diagnostic protocol	Note, not relevant as Appendix 2	Adoption year
		adopted diagnostic	DP 1:2010 Thrips palmi Karny	DP 1:2010 Thrips palmi Kar20/10	was deleted.	2010
		protocols	DP 2:2012 Plum pox virus	DP 2:2012 Plum pox virus 2012		2012
			DP 3:2012 Trogoderma granarium Everts	DP 3:2012 Trogoderma gra 201 i2m Everts		2012
		ISPM 28 Phytosanitary	treatments for regulated pests		'	
2	9 142	2.5 Transparency, 2nd 17 parag.	Any change in the status of the regulated pest in the area under consideration, or in the importing contracting party's territory, relevant to recognition shall be communicated appropriately and promptly	Any change in the status of the regulated pest in the area under consideration, or in the importing contracting party's territory, relevant to recognition shall be communicated appropriately and promptly as required by the IPPC (Article VIII.1(a)) and relevant ISPMs (e.g. ISPM 17:2002).	General cross-reference	
2	9 143	the Recognition of Pest Free Areas and Areas of Low Pest Prevalence, 1st parag.	NPPOs are responsible for designation, maintenance and surveillance of PFAs and ALPPs within their territories (Article IV.(2)e of the IPPC). To establish PFAs or ALPPs and before asking for recognition, NPPOs should take into account the appropriate ISPMs	NPPOs are responsible for designation, maintenance and surveillance of PFAs and ALPPs within their territories (Article IV.(2)e of the IPPC). To establish	[ISPMs under revision: 4, 8] General cross-references. These topics will remain in the ISPMs referred to, even if revised	
2	9 144	. 3. Requirements for the Recognition of Pest Free Areas and Areas of Low Pest Prevalence, 5thparag.	In other cases, such as in areas where a pest has recently been eradicated (ISPM 9:1998) or suppressed, more detailed information and verification may be	In other cases, such as in areas where a pest has recently been eradicated (ISPM 9:1998) or suppressed, more detailed information and verification may be required, including items listed in section 4.1 of the present standard.	General cross-reference to eradication Internal cross-reference	
2	9 145	4.1 Request for recognition by the NPPO of the exporting contracting party, 1st parag.	recognition of a PFA or ALPP to an importing contracting party. To support its request, the exporting contracting party provides a technical information package based on ISPM 4:1995 or ISPM 22:2005 as appropriate. This information package should be sufficiently detailed to demonstrate objectively that the	The exporting contracting party submits its request for recognition of a PFA or ALPP to an importing contracting party. To support its request, the exporting contracting party provides a technical information package based on ISPM 4:1995 or ISPM 22:2005 as appropriate. This information package should be sufficiently detailed to demonstrate objectively that the areas are, and are likely to remain, PFAs or ALPPs, as	[ISPMs under revision: 4] Specific cross-reference to some elements of ISPM 4 and 22	

			APPENDIX 15 – TABL	E 2			
IS	Р		Location of	Ref.ISP	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
M			reference	M		·	
					appropriate. The package may include the following	appropriate. The package may include the following	
					information:	information:	
					- the type of recognition requested, i.e. either a		
					PFA or an ALPP	PFA or an ALPP	
					- location and description of the area to be		
					recognized, with supporting maps, as appropriate	recognized, with supporting maps, as appropriate	
						pest(s) under consideration, and biology(ies) and	
						known distribution relevant to the area (as described in	
_		40	4.4.4.	4 00	ISPM 4 or ISPM 22 as appropriate)	ISPM 4 or ISPM 22 as appropriate)	10004
2	9 1	46.	4.4 Assessment of the	4, 22		provisions of the relevant ISPMs that specifically	[ISPMs under revision: 4]
			technical information,		'	address either PFAs (ISPM 4:1995) or ALPPs	General cross-references
			1st parag., 1st indent ISPM 30 Estal	hlichmont	(ISPM 22:2005), including the following information: of areas of low pest prevalence for fruit flies (Tephrit	(ISPM 22 :2005), including the following information:	
2	0 1	17		14, 22		The International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC,	Conord and enesitie eress
3	1	41.	,	14, 22		1997) contains provisions for areas of low pest	General and specific cross- references to ISPM 22. Specific
			parag.			prevalence (ALPPs), as does the World Trade	cross-reference to ISPM 14. Both
						Organization Agreement on the Application of Sanitary	are expected to remain valid
						and Phytosanitary Measures (Article 6 of the WTO-SPS	are expected to remain valid
						Agreement). ISPM 22 :2005 describes different types of	
						ALPPs and provides general guidance on the	
						establishment of ALPPs. ALPPs may also be used as	
					part of a systems approach (ISPM 14:2002).	part of a systems approach (ISPM 14:2002).	
3	0 1	48.	Background, 8th	29	If an FF-ALPP is established for export of fruit fly host	If an FF-ALPP is established for export of fruit fly host	General cross-reference to ISPM
			parag.			commodities, the parameters for establishment and	29, on recognition of PFAs and
						maintenance of the FF-ALPP should be determined and	ALPPs.
						agreed to in conjunction with the importing country and	
						in consideration of the guidelines presented in this	
		10	10 5	00	standard and in accordance with ISPM 29:2007.	standard and in accordance with ISPM 29: 2007 .	
3	0 1	49.		22	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	The phytosanitary procedures used for the	Specific cross-reference to ISPM
			and record-keeping,			determination, establishment, verification and	22. Corrective actions are
			1st parag.			maintenance of an FF-ALPP should be adequately	expected to remain
						documented. These procedures should be reviewed	
						and updated regularly, including the corrective actions if required (as described in ISPM 22:2005). It is	
					III required (as described III ISPIVIZZ.2003). It IS	ii requireu (as describeu iii isrivi 22 .2003). It is	

		APPENDIX 15 – TABL	.E 2			
IS N	Р	Location of reference	Ref.ISP M	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
3	0 144 a			the operational plan be prepared for the FF-ALPP. Specific control measures may be applied to reduce	recommended that a manual of procedures relating to the operational plan be prepared for the FF-ALPP. Specific control measures may be applied to reduce fruit fly populations to or below the specified level of low	Specific cross-reference to ISPM 22 and 26. Corrective actions are
		fruit fly species population level, 1st parag.			pest prevalence. Suppression of fruit fly populations may involve the use of more than one control option; some of these are described in section 3.1.4.2 of ISPM 22:2005 and Annex 1 of ISPM 26:2006.	expected to remain
	0 144 b	measures related to movement of host material or regulated articles		and 2.2.3 of ISPM 26:2006.	Phytosanitary measures may be required to reduce the risk of entry of the specified pests into the FF-ALPP. These are outlined in section 3.1.4.3 of ISPM 22:2005 and 2.2.3 of ISPM 26:2006.	Specific cross-reference to ISPM 22 and 26. Corrective actions are expected to remain
	144 c	maintain low prevalence levels of target fruit fly species, 2nd parag.	22	be increasing (but remains below the specified level for the area), a threshold set by the NPPO for the application of additional control measures may be reached. At this point the NPPO may require implementation of such measures (e.g. as described in section 3.1.4.2 of ISPM 22:2005). This threshold should be set to provide adequate warning of potentially exceeding the specified level of low pest prevalence and avert suspension.	reached. At this point the NPPO may require implementation of such measures (e.g. as described in section 3.1.4.2 of ISPM 22 ;2005). This threshold should be set to provide adequate warning of potentially exceeding the specified level of low pest prevalence and avert suspension.	Specific cross-reference to ISPM 22. Corrective actions are expected to remain
63	0 144 d	Appendix 2, 1.2 Establishment of an FF-ALPP as a buffer zone		2.1 of this standard. The movement of relevant fruit fly host commodities into the area may need to be	The establishment procedures are described in section 2.1 of this standard. The movement of relevant fruit fly host commodities into the area may need to be regulated. Additional information can be found in section 2.2.3 of ISPM 26:2006.	Specific cross-reference to ISPM 26. Corrective actions are expected to remain
63	0 144 e	Appendix 2, 1.3 Maintenance of an FF- ALPP as a buffer zone	22, 26	2.3 of this standard. Since the buffer zone has features similar to the area or place of production it protects, procedures for maintenance may include those listed for the FF-PFA as described in section 2.3 of	Maintenance procedures include those listed in section 2.3 of this standard. Since the buffer zone has features similar to the area or place of production it protects, procedures for maintenance may include those listed for the FF-PFA as described in section 2.3 of ISPM 26:2006 and sections 3.1.4.2, 3.1.4.3 and 3.1.4.4	Specific cross-reference to ISPM 22 and 26. Corrective actions are expected to remain

		APPENDIX 15 – TABL				
IS	Р		Ref.ISP	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
IVI		reference	M			
					of ISPM 22:2005. The importance of information dissemination may also be considered in the maintenance of an FF-ALPP as a buffer zone.	
3		2.2.4 Domestic declaration of an FF-ALPP		accordance with ISPM 8:1998) specifically by confirming compliance with the procedures established in accordance with this standard (surveillance and controls). The NPPO should declare and notify the establishment of the FF-ALPP, as appropriate.	The NPPO should verify the status of the FF-ALPP (in accordance with ISPM 8:1998) specifically by confirming compliance with the procedures established in accordance with this standard (surveillance and controls). The NPPO should declare and notify the establishment of the FF-ALPP, as appropriate.	[ISPMs under revision: 8] Specific cross-reference.
		2.5.1 Suspension of FF-ALPP status, 2nd parag.	17	undue delay of these actions (further information on	Relevant importing NPPOs should be notified without undue delay of these actions (further information on pest reporting requirements is provided in ISPM 17:2002).	General cross-reference. ISPM 17 is on pest reporting
3	11	2.5.3 Loss of FF-ALPP status		if reinstatement has failed to take place within a justifiable time frame, taking into account the biology of the fruit fly target species. Relevant importing NPPOs should be notified without undue delay of the change in status of the FF-ALPP (further information on pest reporting requirements is provided in ISPM 17:2002).	Loss of FF-ALPP status should occur after suspension if reinstatement has failed to take place within a justifiable time frame, taking into account the biology of the fruit fly target species. Relevant importing NPPOs should be notified without undue delay of the change in status of the FF-ALPP (further information on pest reporting requirements is provided in ISPM 17:2002).	General cross-reference. ISPM 17 is on pest reporting
		Annex 2, (6) Notification of relevant agencies		informed of corrective actions. Information on pest reporting requirements under the IPPC is provided in ISPM 17:2002.	Relevant NPPOs and other agencies should be kept informed of corrective actions. Information on pest reporting requirements under the IPPC is provided in ISPM 17:2002.	Specific cross-reference. ISPM 17 is on pest reporting and expected to still contain these elements even if revised
3	0 1	Appendix 2, 1. An FF- ALPP as a buffer zone	26	is such that it is likely to disperse from an infested area into a protected area, it may be necessary to define a buffer zone with a low fruit fly prevalence (as described in ISPM 26:2006). Establishment of the FF-ALPP and FF-PFA should occur at the same time, enabling the	In cases where the biology of the target fruit fly species is such that it is likely to disperse from an infested area into a protected area, it may be necessary to define a buffer zone with a low fruit fly prevalence (as described in ISPM 26:2006). Establishment of the FF-ALPP and FF-PFA should occur at the same time, enabling the FF-ALPP to be defined for the purpose of protecting the FF-PFA.	Specific cross-reference, expected to remain in ISPM 26

			APPENDIX 15 – TA	\BL	E 2			
ISI	Р		Location	of		Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
IVI			reference		M			
_						for sampling of consignments		
3	1 1		Background, parag.	1st	20, 23	complements, ISPM 20:2004 and ISPM 23:2005. Inspection of consignments of regulated articles moving in trade is an essential tool for the management of pest risks and is the most frequently used phytosanitary procedure worldwide to determine if pests are present	This standard provides the statistical basis for, and complements, ISPM 20:2004 and ISPM 23:2005. Inspection of consignments of regulated articles moving in trade is an essential tool for the management of pest risks and is the most frequently used phytosanitary procedure worldwide to determine if pests are present and/or the compliance with phytosanitary import	General cross-reference. Still expected to apply if these standards are revised.
						requirements.	requirements.	
3	1 1	56.	Background, parag.	4th	1	used by NPPOs are documented and transparent, and take into account the principle of minimum impact (ISPM 1:2006), particularly because inspection based on sampling may lead to the refusal to issue a phytosanitary certificate, refusal of entry, or treatment	It is important that sampling procedures established and used by NPPOs are documented and transparent, and take into account the principle of minimum impact (ISPM 1:2006), particularly because inspection based on sampling may lead to the refusal to issue a phytosanitary certificate, refusal of entry, or treatment or destruction of a consignment or part of a	Specific cross-reference to a principle. Expected to remain in ISPM 1.
						consignment.	consignment.	
						o (Solanum spp.) micropropagative material and min		
3	1:	57.	Background parag.	3rd	16	of plants for planting for seed potatoes (sometimes known as "seed potato certification schemes") frequently include specific requirements for pests as well as non-phytosanitary requirements such as varietal purity, size of the product etc. Many seed potato certification schemes require potato micropropagative material to be derived from plants that have been tested and found free from the pests covered by the scheme. Such schemes are usually designed to control pests present in the production country that are of national economic importance. Therefore, the pests covered by a specific scheme or the strength of measures may not always meet all of the phytosanitary import	As per ISPM 16:2002, programmes for the certification of plants for planting for seed potatoes (sometimes known as "seed potato certification schemes") frequently include specific requirements for pests as well as non-phytosanitary requirements such as varietal purity, size of the product etc. Many seed potato certification schemes require potato micropropagative material to be derived from plants that have been tested and found free from the pests covered by the scheme. Such schemes are usually designed to control pests present in the production country that are of national economic importance. Therefore, the pests covered by a specific scheme or the strength of measures may not always meet all of the phytosanitary import requirements of importing countries. In such cases, additional phytosanitary measures may be required.	General cross-reference

		APPENDIX 15 – TABL	E 2			
ISP M		Location of reference	Ref.ISP M	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
33	158.	Pest Risk Analysis, 2nd parag.	2, 11 (previou		PRA provides technical justification for identifying regulated pests and for establishing phytosanitary	[ISPMs revised since: 11]
		2nd parag.	s), 21	import requirements for potato micropropagative material and minitubers. PRA should be carried out by the NPPO of the importing country in accordance with ISPM 2:2007 and ISPM 11:2004 for the pathways of "potato micropropagative material" and "minitubers" from given origins. The PRA may identify quarantine	import requirements for potato micropropagative material and minitubers. PRA should be carried out by the NPPO of the importing country in accordance with ISPM 2:2007 and ISPM 11:2004 for the pathways of "potato micropropagative material" and "minitubers" from given origins. The PRA may identify quarantine pests associated with these pathways. The PRA should	General cross-reference to the three standards on PRA
22	150	0.1 Dethucus and sife	10	quarantine pests.	pests.	Constal areas reference ICDM 10
	159.	lists of regulated potato pests		importing country is encouraged to establish pathway- specific regulated pest lists for potato micropropagative material and minitubers respectively and, on request, should provide these lists to NPPOs of exporting countries. Guidance on regulated pest lists is provided in ISPM 19:2003.	For the purposes of this standard, the NPPO of the importing country is encouraged to establish pathway-specific regulated pest lists for potato micropropagative material and minitubers respectively and, on request, should provide these lists to NPPOs of exporting countries. Guidance on regulated pest lists is provided in ISPM 19:2003.	General cross-reference. ISPM 19 is about pest lists
	160.	management options		sequence of establishment, maintenance and production of pest free potato micropropagative material and minitubers is provided in Appendix 3.	The pest risk management measures are determined based on the PRA. It may be appropriate for the measures to be integrated into a systems approach for production of potato material (as described in ISPM 14:2002). A flow chart showing the normal sequence of establishment, maintenance and production of pest free potato micropropagative material and minitubers is provided in Appendix 3.	General cross-reference. ISPM 14 is about systems approaches
33	161.	3.2 Maintenance and propagation facilities for pest free potato micropropagative material	10	micropropagative material should be operated separately from the facilities that establish potato plants in vitro and conduct the testing for regulated pests (although exceptional circumstances are described in section 3.3). The facility should be operated as a pest free production site (as described in ISPM 10:1999)	A facility that maintains and propagates pest free potato micropropagative material should be operated separately from the facilities that establish potato plants in vitro and conduct the testing for regulated pests (although exceptional circumstances are described in section 3.3). The facility should be operated as a pest free production site (as described in ISPM 10:1999) with respect to the pests of potato regulated by the	General cross-reference. ISPM 10 is about pest free places of production and pest free production sites

		APPENDIX 15 – TABL	E 2			
ISI M)	Location of reference	Ref.ISP M	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
				importing country for potato micropropagative material. The facility should:	importing country for potato micropropagative material. The facility should:	
3		4.2 Minituber facilities, 1st parag.		A minituber production facility should be operated as a pest free production site (as described in ISPM 10:1999) with respect to pests regulated by the importing country for minitubers. Pests that may be of concern include those for potato micropropagative material i.e. viruses, viroids, phytoplasmas and bacteria (listed in Appendix 1) and also fungi, nematodes, arthropods etc. (listed in Appendix 2).	A minituber production facility should be operated as a pest free production site (as described in ISPM 10:1999) with respect to pests regulated by the importing country for minitubers. Pests that may be of concern include those for potato micropropagative material i.e. viruses, viroids, phytoplasmas and bacteria (listed in Appendix 1) and also fungi, nematodes, arthropods etc. (listed in Appendix 2).	General cross-reference.
3	163.	j	12 (previou s)	Pest free potato micropropagative material and minitubers moving in international trade should be accompanied by a phytosanitary certificate issued by the NPPO of the exporting country according to ISPM 12:2001 and complying with the phytosanitary import requirements of the importing country. The use of seed potato certification labels may assist with lot identification, in particular when these labels specify the	Pest free potato micropropagative material and minitubers moving in international trade should be accompanied by a phytosanitary certificate issued by the NPPO of the exporting country according to ISPM 12:2001 and complying with the phytosanitary import requirements of the importing country. The use of seed potato certification labels may assist with lot identification, in particular when these labels specify the reference number of the lot, including where appropriate the producer's identification number.	[ISPMs revised since: 12] General cross-reference to a standard revised since. ISPM 12 is about phytosanitary certificate. Cross-reference still applies
		ISPM 34 Desi	gn and op	eration of post-entry quarantine stations for plants		
3	164.		(previou s	plants for planting or other plants according to ISPM 2:2007 and ISPM 11:2004. The PRA determines the pest risk associated with the plants and identifies phytosanitary measures, which may include post-entry quarantine for a specified period, to manage the risk. The physical and operational characteristics of a PEQ station determine the level of confinement provided by the station and its ability to confine adequately various quarantine pests.	phytosanitary measures for specified commodities of plants for planting or other plants according to ISPM 2:2007 and ISPM 11:2004. The PRA determines the pest risk associated with the plants and identifies phytosanitary measures, which may include post-entry quarantine for a specified period, to manage the risk. The physical and operational characteristics of a PEQ station determine the level of confinement provided by the station and its ability to confine adequately various quarantine pests.	[ISPMs revised since: 11] General cross-reference to the standards on PRA. ISPM 11 was revised since but cross-reference still applies
		ISPM 35 Syst	ems appro	pach for pest risk management of fruit flies (Tephritid	ae)	

		APPENDIX 15 – TABL	E 2			
ISF M	'	Location of reference	Ref.ISP M	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
3	165.	Background, 1st parag.	2, 11 (previou s)	pests of economic importance and their introduction may pose a pest risk. To identify and manage the target fruit fly species risk, a PRA should be conducted by the	Many species of fruit flies of the family Tephritidae are pests of economic importance and their introduction may pose a pest risk. To identify and manage the target fruit fly species risk, a PRA should be conducted by the NPPO of the importing country and phytosanitary measures may be applied (ISPM 2:2007, ISPM 11:2004).	[ISPMs revised since: 11] General cross-reference to the standards on PRA. ISPM 11 was revised since but cross-reference still applies
3:	166	Background, 3rd and 4th parag.	14, 26	two measures that are independent of each other, and may include any number of measures that are dependent on each other (ISPM 14:2002). Treatments used in an FF SA are those not considered sufficiently efficacious to be applied as a single measure. The measures may be applied in different places at different times and may therefore involve a number of organizations and individuals. Often, countries have used phytosanitary measures such as treatments or pest free areas for fruit flies (FF-PFAs) (ISPM 26:2006) to support import or movement of host fruit. In other cases, prohibition has been applied. An FF SA may be an alternative to facilitate the export and movement of fruit fly hosts into endangered areas. NPPOs may recognize FF SAs as being equivalent to single measures. The exporting country may seek formal approval of equivalence of these measures with the importing country. In cases where an effective FF SA has been implemented, components of those systems may be used by other importing and	A systems approach requires a combination of at least two measures that are independent of each other, and may include any number of measures that are dependent on each other (ISPM 14:2002). Treatments used in an FF SA are those not considered sufficiently efficacious to be applied as a single measure. The measures may be applied in different places at different times and may therefore involve a number of organizations and individuals. Often, countries have used phytosanitary measures such as treatments or pest free areas for fruit flies (FF-PFAs) (ISPM 26:2006) to support import or movement of host fruit. In other cases, prohibition has been applied. An FF SA may be an alternative to facilitate the export and movement of fruit fly hosts into endangered areas. NPPOs may recognize FF SAs as being equivalent to single measures. The exporting country may seek formal approval of equivalence of these measures with the importing country. In cases where an effective FF SA has been implemented, components of those systems may be used by other importing and exporting countries to facilitate the movement of fruit from areas with similar conditions.	General cross-references. ISPM 14 is about systems approaches and ISPM 26 about fruit fly PFAs
3	167.	Decision to Implement an FF SA, 1st parag.	14	establish and communicate its technically justified phytosanitary import requirements. A combination of pest risk management measures integrated into an	It is the responsibility of the importing country to establish and communicate its technically justified phytosanitary import requirements. A combination of pest risk management measures integrated into an FF SA is one of the options that the importing country	General cross-references. ISPM 14 is about systems approaches

		APPENDIX 15 – TABL	APPENDIX 15 – TABLE 2						
ISF)		Ref.ISP	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons			
IVI		reference	M						
					may select as the basis for phytosanitary import				
_	- 400		0.4	requirements (ISPM 14:2002).	requirements (ISPM 14: 2002).				
3	168		24		The importing country does not explicitly require a	General cross-references. ISPM			
		Implement an FF SA,			systems approach, but the NPPO of the exporting	24 is about equivalence			
		2nd parag., (2)			country deems a systems approach to be a suitable and effective approach for achieving the importing country's				
					phytosanitary import requirements. The exporting				
					country may need to negotiate formal approval of the				
					equivalence of measures with the importing country				
				(ISPM 24:2005).	(ISPM 24: 2005).				
3	5 169		2		It may be advisable that NPPOs involve other	Specific cross-reference to an			
		Implement an FF SA,			stakeholders in the development of an FF SA	element of ISPM 2, expected to			
		5th parag.		(ISPM 2:2007).	(ISPM 2 :2007).	remain			
3	5 170	6. Non-conformity and			The NPPO of the importing country should notify the	General cross-references. ISPM			
		Non-compliance, 3rd			NPPO of the exporting country of any non-compliances	13 is about notification of non-			
		parag.	<u> </u>	(see ISPM 13:2001).	(see ISPM 13 :2001).	compliance			
	0 474			sures for plants for planting	10 110011	TIODM : 1 : 441			
31	b 171	Background, 1st		Several ISPMs provide general guidance on pest risk	Several ISPMs provide general guidance on pest risk	[ISPMs revised since: 11]			
		parag.		management (e.g. ISPM 2:2007, ISPM 11:2004, ISPM 21:2004, ISPM 32:2009). The conclusions from	management (e.g. ISPM 2: 2007 , ISPM 11: 2004 , ISPM 21: 2004 , ISPM 32: 2009). The conclusions from	General cross-references to			
			5), 21, 32		pest risk analyses (PRAs) should be used to decide the	General cross-references to standards dealing with pest risk			
					phytosanitary measures to reduce the pest risk to an	management			
				acceptable level for the importing country.	acceptable level for the importing country.	management			
36	6 172	. 1. Basis for	2, 11	The importing country may establish and shall	The importing country may establish and shall	[ISPMs revised since: 11]			
		Regulation, 1st parag.	(previou	communicate its technically justified phytosanitary	communicate its technically justified phytosanitary				
			s), 21		import requirements for plants for planting (refer to	Specific cross-reference to a basic			
				ISPM 2:2007, ISPM 11:2004 and ISPM 21:2004).	ISPM 2 :2007 , ISPM 11 :2004 and ISPM 21 :2004).	element of all PRA standards			
				Annex 1 outlines factors to be taken into account when					
					the NPPO of the importing country conducts a PRA for				
0.4	0 470	A Decision 6	0.4	plants for planting.	plants for planting.	0 15			
36	6 173		24		If in the latter case the NPPO of the exporting country	Specific cross-references to a			
		Regulation, 3rd parag.			deems that the "integrated measures" that it has put in	basic element of ISPM 24 on			
					place are equivalent to the phytosanitary import requirements of an importing country, the exporting	equivalence			
				country should seek formal approval of equivalence of	country should seek formal approval of equivalence of				

		APPENDIX 15 – TABL	E 2			
ISP M			Ref.ISP	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
		reference	M	these measures with the importing country (ISPM 24:2005).	these measures with the importing country (ISPM 24:2005).	
36	174.	3. Responsibilities of the NPPO of the Exporting Country, last indent	17	providing adequate information on relevant pest	providing adequate information on relevant pest outbreaks to the NPPO of the importing country in accordance with ISPM 17:2002.	Specific cross-references to a basic element of ISPM 17 (reporting of outbreaks)
36	175.	3.4 Export inspections and issuance of phytosanitary certificates	12	NPPO to undertake growing season inspections and may also reduce the frequency or intensity of export inspections of consignments of plants for planting. A	The integrated measures may reduce the need for the NPPO to undertake growing season inspections and may also reduce the frequency or intensity of export inspections of consignments of plants for planting. A phytosanitary certificate should be issued in compliance with ISPM 12:2011.	General cross-references. ISPM 12 is about phytosanitary certificates
36	176.	4. Responsibilities of the NPPO of the Importing Country, 2nd parag.	13	NPPO of the exporting country of any non-compliances	The NPPO of the importing country should notify the NPPO of the exporting country of any non-compliances (see ISPM 13:2001) that are found upon import or at a later stage in the country of import.	General cross-references. ISPM 13 is about non-compliance
36		4.1 Auditing	13, 20	NPPO of the exporting country to provide reports on audits undertaken by the producer and by the NPPO of the exporting country. It may also request to audit the integrated measures as developed and set up by the exporting country. This audit may consist of documentation review, inspection and testing of plants produced using integrated measures, and, where appropriate, site visits as a demonstration of the integrated measures used (see ISPM 20:2004) or visits to specific sites provided that there is specific justification, for example in cases of non-compliance (ISPM 13:2001).	The NPPO of the importing country may request the NPPO of the exporting country to provide reports on audits undertaken by the producer and by the NPPO of the exporting country. It may also request to audit the integrated measures as developed and set up by the exporting country. This audit may consist of documentation review, inspection and testing of plants produced using integrated measures, and, where appropriate, site visits as a demonstration of the integrated measures used (see ISPM 20:2004) or visits to specific sites provided that there is specific justification, for example in cases of non-compliance (ISPM 13:2001).	General cross-references to ISPM 13, which is about non-compliance. Specific cross-references to audits in ISPM 20 (expected to remain)
36	178.	Annex 1, Intended uses that affect pest risk	32	high pest risk commodity category. Different intended uses that affect the pest risk may include whether plants are grown as annuals or perennials, whether they are	Plants for planting are classified in ISPM 32:2009 as a high pest risk commodity category. Different intended uses that affect the pest risk may include whether plants are grown as annuals or perennials, whether they are grown indoors or outdoors, whether they are grown in urban areas, field or nursery etc.	Specific cross-reference to the ISPM on classification of commodities ISPM 32. Plants for planting likely to remain classified as high risk.

	APPENDIX 15 – TABLE 2					
ISP M		Ref.ISP	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons	
141	reference	M				
_	PT 1	18	This treatment applies to the invadiation of finite and	This treatment applies to the impediation of finite and	General cross-reference, ISPM 18	
P T1	Scope of the treatment	10	vegetables at 70 Gy minimum absorbed dose to prevent the emergence of adults of <i>Anastrepha ludens</i> at the stated efficacy. This treatment should be applied in	This treatment applies to the irradiation of fruits and vegetables at 70 Gy minimum absorbed dose to prevent the emergence of adults of <i>Anastrepha ludens</i> at the stated efficacy. This treatment should be applied in accordance with the requirements outlined in ISPM 18:2003	is about irradiation	
	PT 2					
P T2	Scope of the treatment	18	vegetables at 70 Gy minimum absorbed dose to prevent the emergence of adults of <i>Anastrepha obliqua</i> at the stated efficacy. This treatment should be applied in	This treatment applies to the irradiation of fruits and vegetables at 70 Gy minimum absorbed dose to prevent the emergence of adults of <i>Anastrepha obliqua</i> at the stated efficacy. This treatment should be applied in accordance with the requirements outlined in ISPM 18:2003	General cross-reference. ISPM 18 is about irradiation	
	PT 3					
P T3	Scope of the treatment		vegetables at 100 Gy minimum absorbed dose to prevent the emergence of adults of <i>Anastrepha serpentina</i> at the stated efficacy. This treatment should	This treatment applies to the irradiation of fruits and vegetables at 100 Gy minimum absorbed dose to prevent the emergence of adults of <i>Anastrepha serpentina</i> at the stated efficacy. This treatment should be applied in accordance with the requirements outlined in ISPM 18:2003	General cross-reference. ISPM 18 is about irradiation	
		PT4				
P T4	Scope of the treatment	18	vegetables at 100 Gy minimum absorbed dose to prevent the emergence of adults of <i>Bactrocera jarvisi</i> at the stated efficacy. This treatment should be applied in	This treatment applies to the irradiation of fruits and vegetables at 100 Gy minimum absorbed dose to prevent the emergence of adults of <i>Bactrocera jarvisi</i> at the stated efficacy. This treatment should be applied in accordance with the requirements outlined in ISPM 18:2003	General cross-reference. ISPM 18 is about irradiation	
		PT 5				

		APPENDIX 15 – TABL	E 2			
ISP		Location of	Ref.ISP	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
M		reference	M			
P		Scope of the	18		This treatment applies to the irradiation of fruits and	General cross-reference. ISPM 18
T5		treatment			vegetables at 100 Gy minimum absorbed dose to	is about irradiation
					prevent the emergence of adults of Bactrocera tryoni at	
					the stated efficacy. This treatment should be applied in	
				accordance with the requirements outlined in ISPM 18:2003	accordance with the requirements outlined in ISPM 18:2003	
			PT 6	15PW 10.2003	15PW 10 .2003	
D	184	Scope of the	FIU	This treatment applies to the irradiation of fruits and	This treatment applies to the irradiation of fruits and	General cross-reference. ISPM 18
T6	104.	treatment			vegetables at 200 Gy minimum absorbed dose to	is about irradiation
. •					prevent the emergence of adults of <i>Cydia pomonella</i> at	is assat in adiation
					the stated efficacy. This treatment should be applied in	
					accordance with the requirements outlined in	
				ISPM 18:2003	ISPM 18 :2003	
			PT 7			
P	185.	Scope of the	18		This treatment applies to the irradiation of fruits and	General cross-reference. ISPM 18
T7		treatment			vegetables at 150 Gy minimum absorbed dose to	is about irradiation
					prevent the emergence of adults of fruit flies at the	
					stated efficacy. This treatment should be applied in	
				ISPM 18:2003	accordance with the requirements outlined in ISPM 18:2003	
			PT 8	101 W 10.2000	101 W 10-2000	
Р	186.	Scope of the	18	This treatment applies to the irradiation of fruits and	This treatment applies to the irradiation of fruits and	General cross-reference. ISPM 18
T8		treatment		vegetables at 60 Gy minimum absorbed dose to prevent	vegetables at 60 Gy minimum absorbed dose to prevent	is about irradiation
					the development of phanerocephalic pupae of	
					Rhagoletis pomonella at the stated efficacy. This	
					treatment should be applied in accordance with the	
			DT 0	requirements outlined in ISPM 18:2003	requirements outlined in ISPM 18:2003	
			PT 9			

		APPENDIX 15 – TABLE 2					
ISP			Ref.ISP	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons	
IVI		reference	M				
P	187.	Scope of the	18		This treatment applies to the irradiation of fruits and	General cross-reference. ISPM 18	
Т9		treatment			vegetables at 92 Gy minimum absorbed dose to prevent	is about irradiation	
					the reproduction in adults of Conotrachelus nenuphar at		
					the stated efficacy. This treatment should be applied in		
				ISPM 18:2003	accordance with the requirements outlined in ISPM 18: 2003		
P	188.		18		Treatment should be applied in accordance with the	General cross-reference. ISPM 18	
T9				requirements of ISPM 18:2003.	requirements of ISPM 18 :2003 .	is about irradiation	
			PT 10				
Р	189.	Scope of the	18		This treatment applies to the irradiation of fruits and	General cross-reference. ISPM 18	
Τ		treatment			vegetables at 232 Gy minimum absorbed dose to	is about irradiation	
10					prevent the emergence of adults of Grapholita molesta		
					at the stated efficacy. This treatment should be applied		
				In accordance with the requirements outlined in ISPM 18:2003	in accordance with the requirements outlined in ISPM 18:2003		
Р	190.		18		Treatment should be applied in accordance with the	General cross-reference. ISPM 18	
T1				requirements of ISPM 18:2003.	requirements of ISPM 18:2003.	is about irradiation	
0			DT 44				
	101	2 41	PT 11				
P T4	191.	Scope of the	18	This treatment applies to the irradiation of fruits and		General cross-reference. ISPM 18	
11		treatment		vegetables at 232 Gy minimum absorbed dose under	vegetables at 232 Gy minimum absorbed dose under	is about irradiation	
•					hypoxic conditions to prevent oviposition of <i>Grapholita</i> molesta at the stated efficacy. This treatment should be		
				applied in accordance with the requirements outlined in	applied in accordance with the requirements outlined in		
				ISPM 18:2003	ISPM 18 :2003		
P	192.		18	Treatment should be applied in accordance with the	Treatment should be applied in accordance with the	General cross-reference. ISPM 18	
T1				requirements of ISPM 18:2003.	requirements of ISPM 18 :2003 .	is about irradiation	
1							
			PT 14				

		APPENDIX 15 – TABI				
ISI	Р	Location of	Ref.ISP	Current text	Proposed revision	Reasons
M		reference	M			
P		Scope of the	18		This treatment applies to the irradiation of fruits and	General cross-reference. ISPM 18
T	1	treatment			vegetables at 100 Gy minimum absorbed dose to	is about irradiation
4					prevent the emergence of adults of Ceratitis capitata at	
					the stated efficacy. This treatment should be applied in	
					accordance with the requirements outlined in	
_	40			ISPM 18:2003	ISPM 18 :2003	0 1 (100)(10
P	194	•			Treatment should be applied in accordance with the	General cross-reference. ISPM 18
1	1			requirements of ISPM 18:2003.	requirements of ISPM 18 :2003 .	is about irradiation
4		DD 2				
_	10	DP 2	104			
D					General guidance on sampling methodologies is	General cross-reference, to the
P	2	Identification, 2 nd		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	described in ISPM 31:2008—(Methodologies for	standard dealing on sampling
		parag., 1st sentence		sampling of consignments).	sampling of consignments).	
	40	DP 6	140	1	1. 2. (
	190	5. Records, 2 nd parag.	13		In instances where other contracting parties may be	General cross-reference, to the
					affected by the results of the diagnosis, retention of the	standard dealing on non-
					original sample (labelled for traceability) culture(s) of the pest, preserved or mounted specimens, or test	compliance
					materials (e.g. photograph of gels, ELISA results	
					printout, PCR amplicons) for at least for one year is	
					recommended, especially in cases of non-compliance	
					(ISPM 13: 2001 , Guidelines for the notification of non-	
					compliance and emergency action) and where pests	
					are found for the first time in a country or an area.	
			l .	are reality of all area.	are reality of all area.	