



**Regional workshop for the review of draft International Standards for Phytosanitary Measures
Russian Speaking Countries – Baltic, Eastern European and CIS Countries
Bykovo, Moscow Region, Russian Federation
27-31 July 2009**

Report

1. Opening of the session

The meeting was opened by Nikolai Tryakhov, Deputy Director of Directorate for Phytosanitary Surveillance and Grain Quality and Safety Control of the Federal Service for Veterinary and Phytosanitary Surveillance (Rosselkhoz nadzor); Ulluby Magomedov, Director of the All-Russian Center for Plant Quarantine (FGU VNIKKR); Muzafar Abasov, Deputy Director of the All-Russian Center for Plant Quarantine; Orlando Sosa, IPPC; Ringolds Arnitis, EPPO President; Andrei Orlinski, EPPO Scientific Officer; Fawzi Taher on behalf of FAO for Central Asia and Eastern Europe Regions. The presenters highlighted the importance of the meeting emphasizing that it is the first workshop of its kind for Russian speaking countries. The Director welcomed the participants to the institute and urged the guests to learn more about its work. He underscored the importance of the workshop and the need for more consultations of this type. EPPO highlighted the role of the Organization in ensuring greater interaction of countries in the standard setting process of the IPPC and pledged further support in this area. FAO thanked the participants, the organizers, the host and the donors for making the workshop possible. FAO underscored that the workshop is important in the standard setting process and urged the countries to ensure that the workshop can be held every year. In this regard countries were asked to consider how the workshop can be sustained and funded annually.

The meeting was attended by 20 experts from 16 countries and was facilitated by EPPO, FAO and the IPPC Secretariat. Participant introductions were made at which point the host institute was then invited to make a presentation on their work. The presentation highlighted the general aspects of phytosanitary surveillance providing data and statistics on pest distribution on a number of plant species. The capacity of the institute on a range of plant protection aspects including training courses, diagnostics, International cooperative initiatives on plant quarantine and meetings was demonstrated and a tour of the facilities was made.

2. Purpose of the workshop

The IPPC Officer, Orlando Sosa outlined that the main purpose of this workshop was to provide participants from countries in each FAO region and in particular the Russian speaking countries with a regional forum to discuss the draft International Standards for Phytosanitary Measures (ISPMs). These discussions would help participants gain a better understanding of the national and regional impact of these proposed standards and provide a basis for the development and submission of national comments. This workshop covered the following draft ISPMs:

- Diagnostic Protocol on *Thrips palmi*
- Seven cold treatments for fruit flies
 - Cold treatment of *Citrus sinensis* for *Ceratitis capitata*
 - Cold treatment of *Citrus reticulata* × *Citrus sinensis* for *Ceratitis capitata*
 - Cold treatment of *Citrus sinensis* for *Bactrocera tryoni*
 - Cold treatment of *Citrus reticulata* × *Citrus sinensis* for *Bactrocera tryoni*
 - Cold treatment of *Citrus limon* for *Bactrocera tryoni*
 - Cold treatment of *Citrus paradisi* for *Ceratitis capitata*
 - Cold treatment of *Citrus reticulata* cultivars and hybrids for *Ceratitis capitata*

- Revision of ISPM No. 7: Export certification system
- Revision of ISPM No. 12: Guidelines for phytosanitary certificates
- Draft ISPM: Design and operation of post-entry quarantine stations
- Glossary of phytosanitary terms (Amendment to ISPM No. 5)

3. Overview of the IPPC

Orlando Sosa gave an overview of the IPPC, ISPMs and the standard setting process. It was noted that this meeting is held to assist countries in the preparation of their comments on draft ISPMs. Official comments should be submitted to the IPPC Secretariat by the national IPPC contact point before the deadline of 30 September 2009.

4. Adoption of the agenda

The agenda was discussed and adopted (Appendix 1). Avetik Nersisyan was elected as chair of the meeting and Renata Kamalova (Anastasia Kostikova) was elected as rapporteur.

5. Review of documents and discussion on draft ISPMs

The participants discussed the standards in plenary and held general discussions to determine which comments were to be captured. A presentation was given on each of the drafts by an FAO officer and staff of either the host institute, EPPO or from the IPPC Secretariat, after which the participants held general discussions. The drafts were then reviewed in detail with technical, editorial and substantive comments being recorded in the corresponding templates. The participants were invited to take note of the comments collected at the workshop and to utilize them as they felt appropriate in their preparation of national comments. Any points that could not be agreed were not recorded in the comments and participants agreed to address these issues when submitting their national comments.

The reporting officer reminded the participants that national comments should be submitted through the NPPO's contact points to the IPPC Secretariat no later than 30 September. The participants were reminded to follow the guidelines for the submission of comments on draft ISPMs (Annex III) and instructions for the use of the templates (given at the end of each template). These are available in the Russian language.

The following sections capture the main discussion points for each of the draft ISPMs.

5.1 Diagnostic Protocol on *Thrips palmi* Avetik Nersisyan / Renata Kamalova

A presentation on the proposed diagnostic protocol was given by Natalya Sherokolava, Deputy Director and Head of the Diagnostic Division of the host Quarantine Center. This was followed by a group discussion of the standard addressing paragraph by paragraph.

With regard to the title, the group was reminded that this standard, if adopted, would be listed as Annex 1 to ISPM 27. There was also a general comment that the standard does not adequately address slide preparation methods.

Further specific comments mainly from the Russian expert present were made concerning diagnostic features of thrips in general, the family Thripidae and extraction procedures of *T. palmi* from soil. In addition the group agreed that eggs, larvae, pupae and adults of *T. palmi* could also be detected on packaging materials.

The workshop participants noted that the diagnostic protocol was highly technical, and it was agreed that each country should submit the technical comments to the standard following an in-depth review by the relevant staff of the NPPO of each country following the workshop.

5.2 Seven cold treatments for fruit flies, Yakov Mordkovich (Chief of the Disinfestation Department of FGU VNIKR) / Renata Kamalova

- Cold treatment of *Citrus sinensis* for *Ceratitis capitata*

- Cold treatment of *Citrus reticulata* × *Citrus sinensis* for *Ceratitis capitata*
- Cold treatment of *Citrus sinensis* for *Bactrocera tryoni*
- Cold treatment of *Citrus reticulata* × *Citrus sinensis* for *Bactrocera tryoni*
- Cold treatment of *Citrus limon* for *Bactrocera tryoni*
- Cold treatment of *Citrus paradisi* for *Ceratitis capitata*
- Cold treatment of *Citrus reticulata* cultivars and hybrids for *Ceratitis capitata*

Mr. Mordkovich, cold treatment expert from the Russian Federation introduced the standard. In general discussion on the standard the group suggested that the order of the treatments be reorganized by target pest rather than the current presentation in the standard. In addition since the efficacies across treatments is similar it was suggested that perhaps the most efficacious treatment should be used that could eliminate infestation by either *Bactrocera* or *Ceratitis* species since there is no way for the inspector to determine this at time of treatment.

Title – the group discussed the title and raised concerns with the use of the term “cold treatments”. The group preferred the use of the term “refrigeration” instead. However the recommendation was revisited and it was agreed that the term “cold treatment” should be defined in the glossary of phytosanitary terms as are other forms of treatment such as Heat treatment, fumigation etc.

There was a general discussion around the need for the treatment schedules as presented in the standard and the practicality of using them. The feeling was that NPPOs should use the most effective treatment regardless of the target species. It was felt that the practitioner should be able to use this standard easily and not have to decide which of the schedules should be used. In addition it was felt that the standard should not be linked to a specific cultivar but to target pest. Concern was raised about other cultivars of citrus and whether these treatments could be applied effectively. Would additional treatment schedules have to be developed?

Concerning the SCOPE of the standard, it was agreed that it should be specified that the recommended cold treatments may not also apply to mobile refrigeration, including ocean going refrigerated ships. Ship refrigeration occurs under differing conditions and would not be suitable for use in applying cold treatment to a consignment while in transport.

Consideration was given that the title be renamed as follows “Cold treatment of *fruits of* “*Citrus cultivar name*” for ‘*pest scientific name*’ ”

5.3 Revision of ISPM No. 7: Export certification system Fawzi Taher/ Renata Kamalova

There were considerable discussions on the standard. In particular, discussion on paragraphs 25 and 26 were prolonged since the group debated on the delegation of functions of the NPPO and the issues concerning conflict of interest that could arise. The group left the paragraphs unchanged.

5.4 Revision of ISPM No. 12: Guidelines for phytosanitary certificates Andrei Orlinski / Anastasia Kostikova

Andrei Orlinski introduced the standard. There was a healthy discussion of the standard. Paragraph 25 was of particular interest as there was some confusion concerning what to consider as the original certificate since phytosanitary certificates are usually issued along with several copies, usually in triplicate. A modified sentence was proposed.

Discussions were raised concerning cases where a consignment has already shipped and a request is made for the issuance of a phytosanitary certificate. It was noted that in ISPM 7 the situation described has been omitted. The group felt that provisions should be introduced to cover cases of this type. Paragraph 57 indent 5 was considered as introducing a discrepancy and the proposal was to replace this bullet with the previous

construction, i.e., the certificate can only be issued on inspection. The group felt that issuance of a phytosanitary certificate after dispatch of a consignment is not a good practice but that if it does happen the NPPOs concerned should resolve the issue bilaterally. The proposal to delete the last bullet was made and accepted and likewise all other instances should be deleted, i.e. Paragraphs 112; 119 second sentence;

Paragraph 59 raised issue with whether some countries requirements to present an import permit constituted additional phytosanitary information. A member indicated that an import permit is a barrier to trade and that simply posting import requirements, for example, on a website would negate the need for an import permit. After discussions it was agreed to introduce a sentence in respect of including information on import permits in the certificate and make it consistent with paragraph 109.

Paragraph 98 had considerable discussions since it was difficult to comprehend how an NPPO will certify against what it does not know. It was considered that the paragraph should be deleted. Other participants argued to retain the sentence since it covers rare cases e.g. exporting turf (peat) to countries where contact with the NPPO is non-existent and/or difficult, in such cases then the exporting country can certify for pests it believes need to be regulated. After discussions it was agreed to delete the reference to regulated pest and replace it by “certify the general condition of the consignment”.

Paragraph 103 – Concerning language used in preparation of the phytosanitary certificate the group agreed that NPPOs of the importing country should provide the NPPO of exporting country its requirements in English as per Article 7.2b of the IPPC.

A point was made concerning a validity term for a phytosanitary certificate. After some discussion, it was decided that a field regarding the period of validity of the certificate may (not obligatory) be added to the model certificates in Annexes 1 and 2 (paragraphs 141 and 146) and to make it consistent with paragraph 44.

The issue whether or not to include a liability clause in the phytosanitary certificate was discussed at length. The group decided to delete it agreeing that it does not constitute relevant phytosanitary information for inclusion in the certificate.

5.5 Draft ISPM: Design and operation of post-entry quarantine stations Andrei Orlinski / Anastasia Kostikova.

Mr. Orlinski introduced the standard. There were no substantive issues raised. The group debated whether negative pressure could be created under screen house conditions as shown in paragraph 64 and 66.

5.6 Glossary of phytosanitary terms (Amendment to ISPM No. 5) Andrei Orlinski / Renata Kamalova.

Mr. Andrei Orlinski made a presentation on the proposed amendment to the glossary. The EPPO chair advised the group that CPM agreed that terms that are standard language or terms that are well understood should be deleted from the glossary hence the reason for the proposal. After much discussion, there was no consensus reached for the deletion of the term.

Technical and editorial comments were made on the draft ISPMs and these comments are attached to the report (see Annex III). Participants were invited to take note of the comments collected at this workshop and utilize these comments as they felt appropriate in their preparation of national comments. National comments should be submitted through the NPPO contact point to the IPPC Secretariat no later than 30 September 2009 and participants were reminded to follow the *Instructions for the Use of the Template* (see Annex IV).

6. Other business

6.1 Guide to the implementation of good forest health practices in support of international phytosanitary standards

Andrei Orlinski presented the topic. He related the conclusions of a recent working group meeting held in Rome in April 2009. He underscored the importance of the forest sector to consider implementation of the ISPMs. He described that through the initiative a guide will be developed to assist the forest sector in

understanding the ISPMs and their application. The guide will help to link the language used in the ISPMs with that used in the forest sector. In addition the initiative will also help the forest sector understand the linkage between the IPPC and other International Agreements such as the CBD.

6.2 The Green Customs Initiative: Capacity building for environmental security

Orlando Sosa, IPPC Officer, introduced a paper for information purposes. He described the initiative and asked the members to consider closer collaboration with customs in their countries and to take opportunities provided by the initiative to improve synergies with Customs.

7. Organization of future regional workshops on draft ISPMs

Participants were asked to consider the future of regional workshops for the review of draft ISPMs. The participants informed that the meeting was important, that more should be done and that each country should lobby with their governments for supporting future workshops. Some participants expressed the opinion that in addition to workshops on standard setting other workshops on interpretation and implementation of the standards is needed since there is a great variability in the region in terms of implementation. The FAO officers described the technical cooperation programme and the TCP facility as potential funding sources for addressing these concerns.

The group felt it was not in a capacity to decide future funding for workshops on ISPM but hoped that the donors and agencies involved in this workshop could continue their support.

8 Next Steps

8.1 Review and discussion of draft standards

The group reviewed the comments in the templates and made corrections.

8.2 Submitting National Comments

The IPPC officer described the process of submitting comments to the IPPC Secretariat. He directed the participants to the guidelines prepared.

9. Date and location of the next meeting

The participants agreed that next year's meeting should be held in Russia (possibly, Armenia) during the 3rd week of July 2010. The Russian Federation and Armenia both offered to host the workshop.

10. Close

Closing remarks were given by Mr. Magomedov, Director of the All-Russian Center for Plant Quarantine. Participants were thanked for their valuable contributions and encouraged to coordinate the submission of national country comments to the Secretariat. The FAO regional plant protection officers were also thanked for their special contribution, as were the donors FAO/IPPC/EPPO/and the Russian Federation who helped make the workshop possible. Finally, it was noted that experience and continuity were achieved by having the same person participate each year and the group benefited from the expertise of many different disciplines and experiences.

Appendices:

Appendix 1: Agenda

Appendix 2: List of participants

Appendix 3: Guidelines for use of templates for comments

Appendix 4: Completed templates with workshop comments on each ISPM

APPENDIX 1 – AGENDA



Regional workshop for the review of draft International Standards for Phytosanitary Measures (ISPMs)

27-31, July 2009

All-Russian Center for Plant Quarantine (FGU VNIKR),
Bykovo, Moscow Region, Russian Federation

Agenda

Monday	
09:00 – 09:30	Registration
09:30 – 10:30	Opening of the workshop - Opening address (Name of person opening meeting) - Overview of the workshop (IPPC Secretariat and EPPO) - Introductions (FAO-SEC; FAO-REU) - Local and logistical information (Host)
10:30 – 11:00	Coffee break
11:00 – 12:30	- Election of chair and rapporteur - Adoption of the agenda - Overview of the IPPC (FAO officer or IPPC Secretariat) - Overview of the review of draft ISPMs (EPPO)
12:30 – 13:30	Lunch
13:30 – 15:00	Review and discussion of draft standards (SC member) - Draft 1/6: Diagnostic Protocol on <i>Thrips palmi</i>
15:00 – 15:30	Coffee break
15:30 – 17:00	Review and discussion of draft standards (SC member) - Draft 1/6 (continued): Diagnostic Protocol on <i>Thrips palmi</i>
Tuesday	
09:00 – 10:30	Review and discussion of draft standards (SC member) - Draft 2/6: Seven cold treatments for fruit flies Cold treatment of <i>Citrus sinensis</i> for <i>Ceratitis capitata</i> Cold treatment of <i>Citrus reticulata</i> × <i>Citrus sinensis</i> for <i>Ceratitis capitata</i> Cold treatment of <i>Citrus sinensis</i> for <i>Bactrocera tryoni</i> Cold treatment of <i>Citrus reticulata</i> × <i>Citrus sinensis</i> for <i>Bactrocera tryoni</i> Cold treatment of <i>Citrus limon</i> for <i>Bactrocera tryoni</i> Cold treatment of <i>Citrus paradisi</i> for <i>Ceratitis capitata</i> Cold treatment of <i>Citrus reticulata</i> cultivars and hybrids for <i>Ceratitis capitata</i> Cold treatment of <i>Citrus limon</i> for <i>Ceratitis capitata</i>
10:30 – 11:00	Coffee break

11:00 – 12:30	Review and discussion of draft standards (SC member) - Draft 2/6 (continued): Seven cold treatments for fruit flies Cold treatment of <i>Citrus sinensis</i> for <i>Ceratitis capitata</i> Cold treatment of <i>Citrus reticulata</i> × <i>Citrus sinensis</i> for <i>Ceratitis capitata</i> Cold treatment of <i>Citrus sinensis</i> for <i>Bactrocera tryoni</i> Cold treatment of <i>Citrus reticulata</i> × <i>Citrus sinensis</i> for <i>Bactrocera tryoni</i> Cold treatment of <i>Citrus limon</i> for <i>Bactrocera tryoni</i> Cold treatment of <i>Citrus paradisi</i> for <i>Ceratitis capitata</i> Cold treatment of <i>Citrus reticulata</i> cultivars and hybrids for <i>Ceratitis capitata</i> Cold treatment of <i>Citrus limon</i> for <i>Ceratitis capitata</i>
12:30 – 13:30	Lunch
13:30 – 15:00	Review and discussion of draft standards (SC member) - Draft 3/6: Revision of ISPM No. 7: Export certification system
15:00 – 15:30	Coffee break
15:30 – 17:00	Review and discussion of draft standards (SC member) - Draft 3/6 (continued): Revision of ISPM No. 7: Export certification system
Wednesday	
09:00 – 10:30	Review and discussion of draft standards (SC member) - Draft 4/6: Amendments to ISPM No. 5: <i>Glossary of phytosanitary terms</i>
10:30 – 11:00	Coffee break
11:00 – 12:30	Review and discussion of draft standards (SC member) - Draft 5/6: Revision of ISPM No. 12: Guidelines for phytosanitary certificates
12:30 – 13:30	Lunch
13:30 – 15:00	Review and discussion of draft standards (SC member) - Draft 5/6 (continued): Revision of ISPM No. 12: Guidelines for phytosanitary certificates
15:00 – 15:30	Coffee break
15:30 – 17:00	Review and discussion of draft standards (SC member) - Draft 6/6: Design and operation of post-entry quarantine stations
Thursday	
09:00 – 10:30	Review and discussion of draft standards (SC member) - Draft 6/6 (continued): Design and operation of post-entry quarantine stations
10:30 – 11:00	Coffee break
11:00 – 12:30	Other matters: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Guide to the implementation of good forest health practices in support of international phytosanitary standards • The Green Customs Initiative: Capacity Building for Environmental Security
12:30 – 13:30	Lunch
13:30 – 15:00	Other matters – continued: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • identification of sponsors • funding strategy and action plan

15:00 – 15:30	Coffee break
15:30 – 17:00	Call for experts
Friday	
09:00 – 10:30	Review and discussion of draft standards (SC member or IPPC Secretariat) - Review of agreed comments - Finalization of comment tables
10:30 – 11:00	Coffee break
11:00 – 12:30	- Next steps: Submitting national comments - Tentative dates for regional workshop for 2010 (between July – September 15, 2010)
12:30 – 13:30	Lunch
13:30 – 15:00	Close (FAO officer or IPPC Secretariat)

APPENDIX 2 – PARTICIPANTS LIST



Regional workshop for the review of draft International Standards for Phytosanitary Measures (ISPMs)

27-31 July 2009

All Russian Center for Plant Quarantine
Bykovo, Moscow Region, Russian Federation

Participants List

Participants	
<p>The Chief State Inspection for Seed-Farming, Plant Protection and Quarantine: Yuliya Shimanskaya Leading Expert 8, Krasnozvyezdnyaya, 220034, Minsk, Belarus Tel: +375 17 288 11 67 Fax: +375 17 288 11 67 E-mail: labqbel@tut.by; labkarbel@mail.ru</p>	<p>State Plant Protection Service, Ministry of Agriculture: Ringolds Arnitis Director REPUBLIKAS LAUK. 2, RIGA, LV-1981 Latvia Tel: +371-670-27-098 Fax: +371-670-27-302 E-mail: Ringolds.arnitis@vaad.gov.lv</p>
<p>The State Plant Quarantine Laboratory: Angela Morkovkina Chief 60, Mayakovskogo str., Bishkek, Kyrgyzstan Tel: +996-777-919-747 Fax: +996-777-919-747 E-mail: a_morkovkina@yahoo.com</p>	<p>The State Inspection for Plant Quarantine and Agriculture: Meruzhan Tarzyan Chief of the Plant Quarantine Division 39A, Mamikonyantsa str., Erevan, 0051, Armenia Tel: +374-10-297-196 Fax: +374-94-66-67-45 E-mail: phytosanitary@rambler.ru</p>
<p>The Chief Inspection for Phytosanitary Surveillance and Seed Control: Yuri Malanchuk Chief of the Environmental, Agrochemical and Plant Protection Department 162, bul. Shtefan chel Mare, Kishinev, MD-2004, Moldova Tel: +373-22-210-009 Fax: +373-22-210-009 E-mail: malanciuciurie@mail.md</p>	<p>Center for Quarantine, Identification, Arbitrary Testing and Product Disinfection: Nikanor Cherneutsan Director 100B, Yalovenskaya str., Kishinev, MD-2070, Moldova Tel: +373-22-284-405 Fax: +373-22-284-405 E-mail: centruldecarantina@mail.md; rotaru.tanya@rambler.ru</p>
<p>The State Phytosanitary Surveillance Service of the Ministry of Agriculture: Dilzara Agaeva International Coordinator 5, R. Aliyev str., Baku, AZ 1025, Azerbaijan Tel: +994 12 490 2464 Fax: +994 12 490 8178 E-mail: a_dilzara@yahoo.com</p>	<p>The State Phytosanitary Surveillance Service of the Ministry of Agriculture: Vassilya Salamova Chief Advisor, Plant Quarantine Section 5, R. Aliyev str., Baku, AZ 1025, Azerbaijan Tel: +994 12 490 2464 Fax: +994 12 490 5128 E-mail: vasila_s@rambler.ru</p>

Participants	
<p>Chief State Plant Quarantine Inspection: Inna Kalii Leading Expert, Division for Collaboration with International Organizations 7, Koloskovan str., Kiev, 03138, Ukraine Tel: +38-044-257-97-22 Fax: +38-044-257-97-22 E-mail: kaliy@derzhkarantin.kiev.ua</p>	<p>Chief State Plant Quarantine Inspection: Gennadii Silyuk Deputy Chief of the Division for Internal Quarantine 7, Koloskovan str., Kiev, 03138, Ukraine Tel: +38-044-524-31-07 Fax: +38-044-524-31-07 E-mail: sg-@ukr.net</p>
<p>Estonian State Plant Production Inspectorate: Olga Yunus Leading Expert Teaduse2, 75501 Saku, Harjumaa, Estonia Tel: +372-671-25-67 Fax: +372 -671-26-24 E-mail: olga.junus@gmail.com olga.junus@plant.agri.ee</p>	<p>State Plant Protection Service: Edmundas Morkevicius Director Kalvariju str. 62, LT-09304 Vilnius, Lithuania Tel: +370-5-275-27-50 Fax: +370-5-275-21-28 E-mail: vaat@vaat.lt</p>
<p>Plant Quarantine Service of Khatlon Region: Mikhrozhiddin Khassanov Director 94, Umar Khayom st., Qurghon-teppa city, Khatlon Region, Tadjikistan Tel: +992-92-771-38-00 Fax: +992-3442-3-17-12 E-mail: sisppqs@gmail.com</p>	<p>Chief State Plant Quarantine Inspection: Shukhrat Sattorov Director 17 Babura str., 1st tupik, Tashkent, Uzbekistan Tel: +998-71-255-62-39 Fax: +998-71-255-34-28 E-mail: glavkaruz@mail.ru</p>

Other participants	
<p>FAO: Avetik Nersisyan Plant Production and Protection Officer FAO subregional office for Central and Eastern Europe Benzur utca 34, 1068 Budapest, Hungary Tel: +361-814-1240 Fax: +361-351-7029 E-mail: avetik.nersisyan@fao.org</p>	<p>FAO: Fawzi Taher Crop Protection Officer FAO subregional office for Central Asia Ivedik cad. No:55 06170 yenimahalle, Ankara, Turkey Tel: +90-312-307-95-09 Fax: +90-312-327-17-05 E-mail: fawzi.taher@fao.org</p>
<p>IPPC Secretariat: Orlando Sosa Agricultural Officer, IPPC Secretariat Food and Agriculture Organization of the UN Viale delle Terme di Caracalla 00153 Rome, Italy Tel: (+39) 06 57053613 Fax: (+39) 06 5705 4819 E-mail: orlando.sosa@fao.org</p>	<p>EPPO: Andrei Orlinski Scientific Officer 1, rue Le Notre, 75016, Paris, France Tel: +(33)1-45-20-78-09 Fax: +(33)1-42-24-89-43 E-mail: orlinski@eppo.fr</p>

APPENDIX 3 - GUIDELINES FOR USE OF TEMPLATES FOR COMMENTS

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE USE OF THE TEMPLATE

A template is provided to facilitate the submission and compilation of member comments. The instructions have been modified since last year; please review both the instructions and the examples. Paragraph numbers have been included in the draft standards, and each paragraph has a row in the template with the corresponding number. It is important to be accurate in allocating comments to paragraphs, since the compilation of comments will be done automatically and only based on paragraph numbers.

To facilitate compilation of comments and the work of the Standards Committee, please apply the following and refer to the table of examples below:

- do not add or delete columns, and do not change their width or formatting of the actual table.
- ensure that all comments refer to the appropriate section of the text and paragraph number.
- if proposals are made to add, delete or move paragraphs to the text of the standard, subsequent comments should continue to refer to the paragraph numbers used in the draft standard sent for consultation.
- only one type of comment should be made in each row of the template; when more than one type of comment needs to be made on the same paragraph, **insert a new row**, include all appropriate information (including paragraph number) and fill in your comment. **Do not use automatic numbering.**
- ensure that all cells of the row are completed when a comment is made.
- use formatting to indicate proposed additions (e.g. underline) and deletions (e.g. ~~strikethrough~~), with colour as appropriate (e.g. red or blue) and not tracked changes of the Word processor
- only include those sentences from the draft standard to display the suggested modifications. Do not include paragraphs or sentences for which no modifications are suggested.
- to provide a comment on a footnote, please enter it in a row with the number of the paragraph with which the footnote is associated.
- delete the rows of the template in which no comments are made.

Specific guidelines for each column in the template and examples of comments

General comments apply to the entirety of the standard. Comments on specific sections of the standard can be provided as described below.

1. Section

- This gives the titles of sections as they appear in the draft, plus a row for general comments. To propose changes to section titles, include new wording in the "proposed rewording" column.

2. Paragraph number (Paragraph)

- To propose a new paragraph, add a row and qualify the paragraph number with a letter (e.g. 12a, to indicate that the new paragraph follows paragraph 12).
- To propose to move a paragraph, indicate the new location in the "proposed rewording" column (e.g. move para 51 to after para 47). **Do not alter the paragraph numbers.**

3. Sentence/row/indent, etc.

- Clearly identify the specific place in the paragraph, such as sentence, row of a table, indent, etc, where the comment applies (e.g. sentence 2, indent 5, row 2, footnote 3, figure 15, etc). Table rows have been also numbered similar to the paragraphs
- The text as circulated for consultation should be used as a basis for counting sentences, bullet points, etc. Please do not refer to page or line numbers as these may vary depending on the word processor used or language version of the draft.

4. Type of comment

Indicate whether the type of comment refers to one of the three choices: substantive, editorial, or translation. Please only use these keywords: Substantive, Editorial, Translation.

- substantive comments include technical comments. They take into account conceptual changes, addition of new aspects or ideas, scientific corrections and technical adjustments.
- editorial comments clarify or simplify the text without changing the meaning. This includes spelling or grammatical corrections, suggestions of different but equivalent words, and simplification of sentence structure.
- translation comments correct points that are considered to be inaccurately translated into another language version of the text.

5. Proposed rewording

Suggestions to change the text should always include proposed rewording. Modifications to the original text should be clearly identifiable (i.e. text that is added or deleted should appear in a distinct way from unchanged text). For example, text added can be underlined and text deleted can be ~~struck through~~ (with colours as appropriate). Tracked changes should not be used.

6. Explanation

Detailed explanations should give justification for the comment made and should be sufficient for the Standard Committee to understand the intention of the comment and the proposed rewording.

7. Country

- There should be only one name in this column.
- The country name should be indicated in every row for which a comment is made. The country name should be that of the country submitting the comments.
- Comments made on behalf of an organization (such as an RPPO) should include only the organization name, and not the names of the member countries.

Examples of comments using the template

1. Section	2. Paragraph number	3. Sentence/row/indent, etc.	4. Type of comment (Substantive, Editorial, Translation) only	5. Proposed rewording	6. Explanation	7. Country
BACKGROUND	[9]	Sentence 1	Substantive	The main purpose of the IPPC is to protect <u>plants secure common and effective actions to prevent the spread and introduction of pests of plants and plant products</u>	To be consistent with the text of the IPPC.	COUNTRY NAME
BACKGROUND	[9]	Sentence 2	Editorial	In doing so, contracting parties undertake the promotion of appropriate measures for the control of <u>regulated</u> pests.	The scope of the IPPC addresses regulated pests.	COUNTRY NAME
BACKGROUND	[17]	Sentence 4	Editorial	Thus <u>Additionally</u> , while pursuing the	Clearer wording	COUNTRY NAME
1.4 Supervision activities	[26]	Sentence 3	Substantive	The FF-ALPP programme, including	The term regulatory control is unclear and	COUNTRY

1. Section	2. Paragraph number	3. Sentence/row/indent, etc.	4. Type of comment (Substantive, Editorial, Translation) only	5. Proposed rewording	6. Explanation	7. Country
				regulatory control domestic regulation	text should use specific terms clarifying what is meant.	NAME
1.4 Supervision activities	[32]	New 2nd indent	Substantive	- operation of surveillance procedures - <u>fruit sampling</u> - surveillance capability	Fruit sampling is necessary as part of surveillance	COUNTRY NAME
1.6 Tolerance level	[44a]	After para 44	Substantive	add new paragraph after 44: <u>For quarantine pests the tolerance level generally equals zero. Setting the level of detection to zero implies that all units of the consignment must be included in the sample. Hence, for quarantine pests, a detection level that is as small as technically possible approaches the zero tolerance level.</u>	to explain the particular situation for quarantine pests	COUNTRY NAME
3. Phytosanitary Risk Categories and Measures	[61]	Whole para	Editorial	Move para 61 to after para 47	More appropriate location.	COUNTRY NAME

APPENDIX 4 - COMPLETED TEMPLATES: DRAFT INTERNATIONAL STANDARDS FOR PHYTOSANITARY MEASURES – 2009

Template for comments - Draft ISPMs for country consultation, 2009

**DRAFT: DESIGN AND OPERATION OF
POST-ENTRY QUARANTINE STATIONS FOR PLANTS**

See [instructions](#) on how to use this template at the end of the document. Following these will greatly facilitate the compilation of comments and the work of the Standards Committee.

1. Section	2. Paragraph number	3. sentence/row/indent, etc.	4. Type of comment (Substantive, Editorial, Translation)	5. Proposed rewording	6. Explanation	7. Country
GENERAL COMMENTS						
TITLE	[1]					
Contents	[2]					
Introduction	[3]					
SCOPE	[4]					
SCOPE	[5]					
SCOPE	[6]					
REFERENCES	[7]					
DEFINITIONS	[8]					
DEFINITIONS	[9]					
OUTLINE OF REQUIREMENTS	[10]					
OUTLINE OF REQUIREMENTS	[11]					
OUTLINE OF REQUIREMENTS	[12]					

1. Section	2. Paragraph	3. sentence/row/indent, etc.	4. Type of comment (Substantive,Editorial, Translation)	5. Proposed rewording	6. Explanation	7. Country
OUTLINE OF REQUIREMENTS	[13]					
OUTLINE OF REQUIREMENTS	[14]					
OUTLINE OF REQUIREMENTS	[15]					
BACKGROUND	[16]					
BACKGROUND	[17]					
BACKGROUND	[18]					
REQUIREMENTS	[19]					
2. General Requirements for PEQ	[20]					
2. General Requirements for PEQ	[21]					
2. General Requirements for PEQ	[22]					
2. General Requirements for PEQ	[23]					
2. Specific Requirements	[24]					
2.1 PEQ stations	[25]					
2.1 PEQ stations	[26]					
2.1 PEQ stations	[27]					
2.2 Location	[28]					
2.2 Location	[29]					
2.3 Physical requirements	[30]					
2.3 Physical requirements	[31]					

1. Section	2. Paragraph	3. sentence/row/indent, etc.	4. Type of comment (Substantive, Editorial, Translation)	5. Proposed rewording	6. Explanation	7. Country
2.3 Physical requirements	[32]					
2.4 Operational requirements	[33]					
2.4 Operational requirements	[34]					
2.4 Operational requirements	[35]					
2.4 Operational requirements	[36]	Sentence 2	Substantive	A procedural manual, approved by the NPPO, should describe what show how the station meets the quarantine requirements <u>the station should meet.</u>	Technically it sounds better	Lithuania
2.4.1 Staff requirements	[37]					
2.4.1 Staff requirements	[38]					
2.4.2 Technical and operational procedures	[39]					
2.4.2 Technical and operational procedures	[40]					
2.4.3 Documentation	[41]					
2.4.3 Documentation	[42]	Sentence 1, subpoint 1	Substantive	A list of <u>PEQ staff and other authorized persons</u> to enter the station.	To specify	Belarus
2.5 Diagnosis and removal of infestations of quarantine pests or vectors	[43]					
2.5 Diagnosis and removal of infestations of quarantine pests or vectors	[44]					
2.5 Diagnosis and	[45]					

1. Section	2. Paragraph number	3. sentence/row/indent, etc.	4. Type of comment (Substantive,Editorial, Translation)	5. Proposed rewording	6. Explanation	7. Country
removal of infestations of quarantine pests or vectors						
2.6 Audit of PEQ stations	[46]					
2.6 Audit of PEQ stations	[47]					
3. Conclusion of PEQ	[48]					
3. Conclusion of PEQ	[49]					
3. Conclusion of PEQ	[50]					
SPECIFICATIONS FOR PEQ STATIONS	[51]					
SPECIFICATIONS FOR PEQ STATIONS	[52]					
SPECIFICATIONS FOR PEQ STATIONS	[53]					
SPECIFICATIONS FOR PEQ STATIONS	[54]					
SPECIFICATIONS FOR PEQ STATIONS	[55]					
SPECIFICATIONS FOR PEQ STATIONS	[56]					
SPECIFICATIONS FOR PEQ STATIONS	[57]					
SPECIFICATIONS FOR PEQ STATIONS	[58]					
SPECIFICATIONS FOR PEQ STATIONS	[59]					
SPECIFICATIONS FOR PEQ STATIONS	[60]					

1. Section	2. Paragraph number	3. sentence/row/indent, etc.	4. Type of comment (Substantive, Editorial, Translation)	5. Proposed rewording	6. Explanation	7. Country
SPECIFICATIONS FOR PEQ STATIONS	[61]					
SPECIFICATIONS FOR PEQ STATIONS	[62]					
SPECIFICATIONS FOR PEQ STATIONS	[63]					
SPECIFICATIONS FOR PEQ STATIONS	[64]					
SPECIFICATIONS FOR PEQ STATIONS	[65]					
SPECIFICATIONS FOR PEQ STATIONS	[66]					

Template for comments - Draft ISPMs for country consultation, 2009

DRAFT: ISPM No. 7 (Export Phytosanitary Certification System)

See [instructions](#) on how to use this template at the end of the document. Following these will greatly facilitate the compilation of comments and the work of the Standards Committee.

1. Section	2. Paragraph number	3. sentence/row/indent, etc.	4. Type of comment (substantive, Editorial or Translation)	5. Proposed rewording	6. Explanation	7. Country
GENERAL COMMENTS						
TITLE	[1]					
TITLE	[2]					
CONTENTS	[3]					
INTRODUCTION	[4]					
SCOPE	[5]					
SCOPE	[6]					
REFERENCES	[7]					
DEFINITIONS	[8]					
DEFINITIONS	[9]					
OUTLINE OF REQUIREMENTS	[10]					
OUTLINE OF REQUIREMENTS	[11]	To add after Sentence 2	Substantive		In ISPM 15 there is a mark substituting the phytosanitary certificate. Should the mark be included in the certification system?	Estonia
OUTLINE OF REQUIREMENTS	[12]					

1. Section	2. Paragraph number	3. sentence/row/indent, etc.	4. Type of comment (substantive, Editorial or Translation)	5. Proposed rewording	6. Explanation	7. Country
OUTLINE OF REQUIREMENTS	[13]					
REQUIREMENTS	[14]					
REQUIREMENTS	[15]					
1. Legal Authority	[16]					
1. Legal Authority	[17]					
1. Legal Authority	[18]					
1. Legal Authority	[19]	Sentence 1	Editorial	The NPPO may have the authority to prevent the export of consignments that do not meet an importing country's <u>phytosanitary</u> requirements.	To specify	Lithuania, Armenia
2. NPPO Responsibility	[20]					
2. NPPO Responsibility	[21]	Sentence 1	Editorial	<u>To implement phytosanitary certification</u> an NPPO involved in phytosanitary certification should:	For clarity	Russia
3. Resources and Infrastructure	[22]					
3.1 Personnel	[23]					
3.1 Personnel	[24]					
3.1 Personnel	[25]					
3.1 Personnel	[26]					
3.2 Information on importing country phytosanitary requirements	[27]					
3.2 Information on importing country phytosanitary	[28]	Sentence 1	Substantive	The NPPO should, to the extent possible, have available official current information concerning the import <u>phytosanitary</u>	NPPO has no trading partners as it doesn't participate in trade. Moreover, not all requirements, only phytosanitary ones.	Russia Uzbekistan

1. Section	2. Paragraph number	3. sentence/row/indent, etc.	4. Type of comment (substantive, Editorial or Translation)	5. Proposed rewording	6. Explanation	7. Country
requirements				requirements of <u>importing countries</u> of its trading partners.		
3.3 Technical information on pests	[29]					
3.3 Technical information on pests	[30]					
3.4 Equipment	[31]					
3.4 Equipment	[32]					
4. Documentation	[33]					
4. Documentation	[34]					
4.1 Phytosanitary certificates	[35]					
4.1 Phytosanitary certificates	[36]					
4.2 Procedures	[37]					
4.2 Procedures	[38]					
4.2 Procedures	[39]					
4.2 Procedures	[40]					
4.2 Procedures	[41]	Sentence 1, Subpoint 1	Substantive	working with industry <u>stakeholders</u>	A broader notion is proposed	Belarus
4.2 Procedures	[41]	Sentence 1, Subpoint 7	Editorial	<u>national certification system review</u>	To specify	Belarus
4.3 Records	[43]					
4.3 Records	[44]	Sentence 1	Substantive	A copy of each phytosanitary certificate should be retained for purposes of validation and trace-back	To specify the retention period	Azerbaijan
4.3 Records	[45]					

1. Section	2. Paragraph number	3. sentence/row/indent, etc.	4. Type of comment (substantive, Editorial or Translation)	5. Proposed rewording	6. Explanation	7. Country
4.3 Records	[46]					
5. Communication	[47]					
5.1 Within the exporting country	[48]					
5.1 Within the exporting country	[49]	Sentence 1	Substantive	The NPPO should have procedures in place for timely communication to relevant government personnel and to industry stakeholders concerning changes in:	In accordance with the changes in paragraph 41	Belarus
5.2 Between NPPOs	[50]					
5.2 Between NPPOs	[51]					
5.2 Between NPPOs	[52]	Sentence 2	Editorial	Communication between IPPC contact points is considered to be authentic <u>official</u>	The term “authentic” is not clear	Estonia
5.2 Between NPPOs	[53]	To add 53 [a]	Substantive	If after inspection the importing NPPO becomes aware that an exported consignment does not comply with the importing country’s phytosanitary requirements, the IPPC contact point or designated alternative contact point in the exporting country should be so advised as soon as possible according to the ISPM №13.	A part of the first sentence is expanded for clarity purposes	Russia
5.2 Between NPPOs	[53]	Sentence 1	Substantive	If cases of non-compliance have been identified, or If after certification the exporting NPPO...	To specify	Armenia
6. System Review Mechanism	[54]	Sentence 1	Editorial	Mechanism of <u>National Certification System Review</u>	To specify	Belarus
6. System Review Mechanism	[55]					
Appendix 1: Preliminary title	[56]					
BEST PRACTICES FOR NPPOS ISSUING	[57]					

1. Section	2. Paragraph number	3. sentence/row/indent, etc.	4. Type of comment (substantive, Editorial or Translation)	5. Proposed rewording	6. Explanation	7. Country
PHYTOSANITARY CERTIFICATES <i>[under development]</i>						

Template for comments - Draft ISPMs for country consultation, 2009

DRAFT: Annex to ISPM No. 28 (PHYTOSANITARY TREATMENTS FOR REGULATED PESTS)

See [instructions](#) on how to use this template at the end of the document. Following these will greatly facilitate the compilation of comments and the work of the Standards Committee.

1. Section	2. Paragraph number	3. sentence/row/indent, etc.	4. Type of comment (Substantive, Editorial, Translation)	5. Proposed rewording	6. Explanation	7. Country
GENERAL COMMENTS			Translation	If translated into Russian the term “cold treatment” is unclear, it should be accompanied by the term “refrigeration” in the Russian version.	The terms are considered equivalent.	Russia
GENERAL COMMENTS			Editorial	To reorganize the order of Annexes, all Annexes on <i>Ceratitis capitata</i> should follow one another (1-5) Or Combine all Annexes on <i>Ceratitis capitata</i> into one Annex, the same thing concerns Annexes on <i>Bactrocera tryoni</i> .	For better perception	Russia and all the participants
GENERAL COMMENTS			Substantive	Should cultivars be indicated in the standard?	The standard should be designed for a pest not a cultivar. What technical	Russia

1. Section	2. Paragraph number	3. sentence/row/indent, etc.	4. Type of comment (Substantive,Editorial, Translation)	5. Proposed rewording	6. Explanation	7. Country
					justification does the indication of a specific cultivar have? There is no particular evidence for cold resistance of <i>Ceratitis capitata</i> (points 40 and 54)	
GENERAL COMMENTS			Substantive	It is necessary to include the term “cold treatment” into the Glossary	For harmonized use of terminology	Russia
GENERAL COMMENTS			Editorial	To add the word fruits. For example: Cold treatment of <i>Citrus limon</i> <u>fruits</u> for <i>Ceratitis capitata</i>	Cold treatment is conducted for fruits not the plant itself. It is not very correct to use the Latin name without specifying it.	Russia
GENERAL COMMENTS			Substantive	Schedule 1: 2 C for 16 days (<u>16 days x 24 hours</u>)	To add the number of hours during the day	Lithuania
TITLE	[1]					
TITLE	[2]					
Endorsement	[3]					
Scope of the treatment	[4]					
Scope of the treatment	[5]					
Treatment description	[6]					
Name of treatment	[7]					
Active ingredient	[8]					
Treatment type	[9]					
Target pest	[10]					
Target regulated articles	[11]					
Treatment schedule	[12]	The last sentence	Substantive	The commodity temperature should be monitored, <u>documented</u> and not exceed the stated level	For transparency of information	Kyrgyzstan

1. Section	2. Paragraph number	3. sentence/row/indent, etc.	4. Type of comment (Substantive,Editorial, Translation)	5. Proposed rewording	6. Explanation	7. Country
Other relevant information	[13]					
References	[14]					
TITLE	[15]					
TITLE	[16]					
Endorsement	[17]					
Scope of the treatment	[18]					
Scope of the treatment	[19]					
Treatment description	[20]					
Name of treatment	[21]					
Active ingredient	[22]					
Treatment type	[23]					
Target pest	[24]					
Target regulated articles	[25]					
Treatment schedule	[26]					
Other relevant information	[27]					
References	[28]					
TITLE	[29]					
TITLE	[30]					
Endorsement	[31]					
Scope of the treatment	[32]					
Scope of the treatment	[33]					
Treatment description	[34]					
Name of treatment	[35]					

1. Section	2. Paragraph number	3. sentence/row/indent, etc.	4. Type of comment (Substantive,Editorial, Translation)	5. Proposed rewording	6. Explanation	7. Country
Active ingredient	[36]					
Treatment type	[37]					
Target pest	[38]					
Target regulated articles	[39]					
Treatment schedule	[40]	The whole paragraph	Editorial	<p>Schedule: <u>2-3 C for 16 days</u> Efficacy and confidence level (De Lima <i>et al.</i>, 2007): For cultivar ‘Navel’ the efficacy is <u>ED_{99,9973} at 2 C and ED_{99,9988} at 3 C</u> at the 95% confidence level. For cultivar ‘Valencia’ the efficacy is <u>ED_{99,9960} at 2 C and ED_{99,9976} at 3 C</u> at the 95% confidence level.</p>	<p>There should be only one schedule because the difference between schedule 1 and 2 is unclear. It simplifies practical application; the instructions should be clear and precise.</p> <p>Or, it should be specified why the values are the same</p>	Russia, Kyrgyzstan
Other relevant information	[41]					
References	[42]					
TITLE	[43]					
TITLE	[44]					
Endorsement	[45]					
Scope of the treatment	[46]					
Scope of the treatment	[47]					
Treatment description	[48]					
Name of treatment	[49]					
Active ingredient	[50]					
Treatment type	[51]					
Target pest	[52]					

1. Section	2. Paragraph number	3. sentence/row/indent, etc.	4. Type of comment (Substantive,Editorial, Translation)	5. Proposed rewording	6. Explanation	7. Country
Target regulated articles	[53]					
Treatment schedule	[54]	The whole paragraph	Editorial	<p>Schedule 1: 2 °C for 16 days Efficacy and confidence level: ED_{99,9968} at the 95% confidence level (De Lima et al., 2007).</p> <p>Schedule 2: 3 °C for 16 days Efficacy and confidence level: ED_{99,9989} at the 95% confidence level (De Lima et al., 2007).</p> <p>Schedule: 2-3 C for 16 days Efficacy and confidence level: ED_{99,9968} at 2 C and ED_{99,9989} at 3 C at the 95% confidence level (De Lima et al., 2007).</p>	See paragraph 40	Russia
Other relevant information	[55]					
References	[56]					
TITLE	[57]					
TITLE	[58]					
Endorsement	[59]					
Scope of the treatment	[60]					
Scope of the treatment	[61]					
Treatment description	[62]					
Name of treatment	[63]					
Active ingredient	[64]					
Treatment type	[65]					
Target pest	[66]					
Target regulated articles	[67]					

1. Section	2. Paragraph number	3. sentence/row/indent, etc.	4. Type of comment (Substantive, Editorial, Translation)	5. Proposed rewording	6. Explanation	7. Country
Treatment schedule	[68]	The whole paragraph	Editorial	Schedule 2: 3 °C for 14 days Efficacy and confidence level: ED_{99,9928} at the 95% confidence level (De Lima et al., 2007). Schedule: 2-3 C for 14 days Efficacy and confidence level: ED_{99,9935} at 2 C and ED_{99,9928} at 3 C at the 95% confidence level (De Lima et al., 2007).	See paragraph 40	Kyrgyzstan
Other relevant information	[69]	Column 2, sentence 2	Editorial	The schedules 1 and 2 were <u>was</u> developed using cultivar 'Lisbon'	To be consistent with the previous changes.	Azerbaijan
References	[70]					
TITLE	[71]					
TITLE	[72]					
Endorsement	[73]					
Scope of the treatment	[74]					
Scope of the treatment	[75]					
Treatment description	[76]					
Name of treatment	[77]					
Active ingredient	[78]					
Treatment type	[79]					
Target pest	[80]					
Target regulated articles	[81]					
Treatment schedule	[82]					
Other relevant information	[83]					
References	[84]					
TITLE	[85]					

1. Section	2. Paragraph number	3. sentence/row/indent, etc.	4. Type of comment (Substantive,Editorial, Translation)	5. Proposed rewording	6. Explanation	7. Country
TITLE	[86]					
Endorsement	[87]					
Scope of the treatment	[88]					
Scope of the treatment	[89]					
Treatment description	[90]					
Name of treatment	[91]					
Active ingredient	[92]					
Treatment type	[93]					
Target pest	[94]					
Target regulated articles	[95]					
Treatment schedule	[96]					
Other relevant information	[97]					
References	[98]					
TITLE	[99]					
TITLE	[100]					
Endorsement	[101]					
Scope of the treatment	[102]					
Scope of the treatment	[103]					
Treatment description	[104]					
Name of treatment	[105]					
Active ingredient	[106]					
Treatment type	[107]					
Target pest	[108]					

1. Section	2. Paragraph number	3. sentence/row/indent, etc.	4. Type of comment (Substantive,Editorial, Translation)	5. Proposed rewording	6. Explanation	7. Country
Target regulated articles	[109]					
Treatment schedule	[110]					
Other relevant information	[111]					
References	[112]					

Template for comments - Draft ISPMs for country consultation, 2009

DRAFT: Revision of ISPM No. 12 (*Phytosanitary Certificates*)

See [instructions](#) on how to use this template at the end of the document. Following these will greatly facilitate the compilation of comments and the work of the Standards Committee.

1. Section	2. Paragraph number	3. sentence/row/indent, etc.	4. Type of comment (Substantive,Editorial, Translation)	5. Proposed rewording	6. Explanation	7. Country
<i>GENERAL COMMENTS</i>						
TITLE	[1]					
TITLE	[2]					
Contents	[3]					
INTRODUCTION	[4]					
SCOPE	[5]					
SCOPE	[6]					
REFERENCES	[7]					
DEFINITIONS	[8]					

1. Section	2. Paragraph number	3. sentence/row/indent, etc.	4. Type of comment (Substantive, Editorial, Translation)	5. Proposed rewording	6. Explanation	7. Country
DEFINITIONS	[9]					
OUTLINE OF REQUIREMENTS	[10]					
OUTLINE OF REQUIREMENTS	[11]					
BACKGROUND	[12]					
BACKGROUND	[13]					
REQUIREMENTS FOR PHYTOSANITARY CERTIFICATES	[14]					
1. General Considerations	[15]					
1. General Considerations	[16]					
1. General Considerations	[17]					
1. General Considerations	[18]					
1.1 Purpose of phytosanitary certificates	[19]					
1.1 Purpose of phytosanitary certificates	[20]					
1.2 Certificates	[21]					
1.2 Certificates	[22]					
1.2 Certificates	[23]					
1.2 Certificates	[24]					
1.2 Certificates	[25]	Sentence 1	Substantive	The certificate issued for the first time is considered to be the original. The certificate can be issued in several duplicates (but under the same number), at	A broader wording, which makes it possible to avoid technical problems in future	Azerbaijan

1. Section	2. Paragraph number	3. sentence/row/indent, etc.	4. Type of comment (Substantive, Editorial, Translation)	5. Proposed rewording	6. Explanation	7. Country
				least one of which is obligatory an original.		
1.2.1 Attachments to certificates	[26]					
1.2.1 Attachments to certificates	[27]					
1.3 Changes to issued certificates	[28]					
1.3.1 Replacement certificates	[29]					
1.3.1 Replacement certificates	[30]	Sentence 1	Substantive	Replacement certificates are certificates that replace a phytosanitary certificate and should be issued only by the NPPO of the country issuing the original certificate and <u>only</u> in exceptional circumstances.	For this provision not to become a common practice. Additional information should be provided.	Lithuania
1.3.1 Replacement certificates	[31]					
1.3.1 Replacement certificates	[32]					
1.3.2 Certified copies	[33]					
1.3.2 Certified copies	[34]					
1.3.3 Alterations to certificates	[35]					
1.3.3 Alterations to certificates	[36]					
1.4 Mode of issuance	[37]					
1.4 Mode of issuance	[38]					
1.4 Mode of issuance	[39]					
1.4 Mode of issuance	[40]					
1.5 Mode of transmission	[41]					

1. Section	2. Paragraph	3. sentence/row/indent, etc.	4. Type of comment (Substantive, Editorial, Translation)	5. Proposed rewording	6. Explanation	7. Country
1.5 Mode of transmission	[42]					
1.6 Duration of validity	[43]					
1.6 Duration of validity	[44]					
2. Considerations for Importing and Exporting Countries	[45]					
2. Considerations for Importing and Exporting Countries	[46]					
2. Considerations for Importing and Exporting Countries	[47]					
2. Considerations for Importing and Exporting Countries	[48]					
2.1 Unacceptable certificates	[49]					
2.1 Unacceptable certificates	[50]					
2.1.1 Invalid phytosanitary certificates	[51]					
2.1.1 Invalid phytosanitary certificates	[52]	Sentence 1, subpoint 7	Substantive	phytosanitary certification of prohibited products-plants, plant products and regulated articles prohibited for import	A more detailed description	Lithuania
2.1.1 Invalid phytosanitary certificates	[53]					
2.1.2 Fraudulent certificates	[54]					
2.1.2 Fraudulent certificates	[55]					
2.2 Importing countries'	[56]					

1. Section	2. Paragraph number	3. sentence/row/indent, etc.	4. Type of comment (Substantive, Editorial, Translation)	5. Proposed rewording	6. Explanation	7. Country
requirements for the preparation and issuance of phytosanitary certificates						
2.2 Importing countries' requirements for the preparation and issuance of phytosanitary certificates	[57]	Sentence 2, subpoint 1	Substantive	Countries may require that certificates be completed in a specific language or one of the importing countries' listed languages However, countries are encouraged to include one of the official languages of FAO, preferably English, but one of the languages should be English. The language issue can also be settled at bilateral negotiations between NPPOs.	To facilitate international trade	Lithuania
2.2 Importing countries' requirements for the preparation and issuance of phytosanitary certificates	[57]	Sentence 2, subpoint 5	Substantive	In the case where certificates are issued after dispatch, countries may require that the inspection date be indicated in the additional declaration section <u>Phytosanitary certificates shouldn't be issued after dispatch.</u>	Such situation doesn't comply with the declaring part of the phytosanitary certificate and should not be a regular practice. If it has occurred, at bilateral negotiations the NPPOs of the both countries decide what will happen to the consignment.	Estonia
3. Specific Principles and Guidelines for the Preparation and Issuance of Phytosanitary Certificates	[58]					
3. Specific Principles and Guidelines for the Preparation and Issuance of Phytosanitary Certificates	[59]	To add the sentence:	Substantive	<u>References to quarantine import permits may, but not necessarily should be included in phytosanitary certificates.</u>	Not all countries have a developed system of phytosanitary regulations and import permits fill in this gap.	Lithuania
3. Specific Principles and Guidelines for the Preparation and Issuance of Phytosanitary Certificates	[60]					

1. Section	2. Paragraph number	3. sentence/row/indent, etc.	4. Type of comment (Substantive, Editorial, Translation)	5. Proposed rewording	6. Explanation	7. Country
3. Specific Principles and Guidelines for the Preparation and Issuance of Phytosanitary Certificates	[61]					
3.1 Requirements for completing the various components of a phytosanitary certificate	[62]					
3.1 Requirements for completing the various components of a phytosanitary certificate	[63]					
No. _____	[64]					
No. _____	[65]					
Plant Protection Organization of _____	[66]					
Plant Protection Organization of _____	[67]	Sentences 1 and 2	Editorial	This component requires the name of the official organization and the name of the country that is issuing the certificate. <u>The name of the NPPO may be added here (if it is not part of the printed form) and the name of the country issuing the certificate.</u>	To specify	Russia
TO: Plant Protection Organization(s) of _____	[68]					
TO: Plant Protection Organization(s) of _____	[69]					
I. Description of Consignment	[70]					
Name and address of	[71]					

1. Section	2. Paragraph	3. sentence/row/indent, etc.	4. Type of comment (Substantive, Editorial, Translation)	5. Proposed rewording	6. Explanation	7. Country
exporter: _____						
Name and address of exporter: _____	[72]					
Declared name and address of consignee: _____	[73]					
Declared name and address of consignee: _____	[74]					
Number and description of packages: _____	[75]					
Number and description of packages: _____	[76]					
Distinguishing marks: _____	[77]					
Distinguishing marks: _____	[78]					
Place of origin: _____	[79]					
Place of origin: _____	[80]					
Place of origin: _____	[81]					
Place of origin: _____	[82]					
Place of origin: _____	[83]					

1. Section	2. Paragraph	3. sentence/row/indent, etc.	4. Type of comment (Substantive, Editorial, Translation)	5. Proposed rewording	6. Explanation	7. Country
Declared means of conveyance: _____	[84]					
Declared means of conveyance: _____	[85]	Sentence 2	Substantive	<u>The conveyance identification</u> (for example, the ship's name and voyage number or the aircraft's flight number, <u>the carriage or car number</u>) should be included, if known .	A more detailed description	Russia
Declared point of entry: _____	[86]					
Declared point of entry: _____	[87]	Sentence 1	Editorial	This should be the first point of arrival <u>entry</u>	To specify	Lithuania
Declared point of entry: _____	[88]					
Name of produce and quantity declared: _____	[89]					
Name of produce and quantity declared: _____	[90]					
Botanical name of plants: _____	[91]					
Botanical name of plants: _____	[92]					
Botanical name of plants: _____	[93]					
Certifying statement	[94]					
Certifying statement	[95]					
Certifying statement	[96]	The whole paragraph	Editorial	To unite with paragraph 99: <u>The exporting countries may include the optional clause on their phytosanitary certificates or not</u> - "They are deemed to be practically free from other pests. Optional	For better understanding	Armenia

1. Section	2. Paragraph number	3. sentence/row/indent, etc.	4. Type of comment (Substantive, Editorial, Translation)	5. Proposed rewording	6. Explanation	7. Country
				<i>clause</i> ”		
Certifying statement	[97]					
Certifying statement	[98]	Sentence 1	Editorial	In instances where import requirements are not specific and/or regulated pests are not specified, the exporting country can <u>may certify the general phytosanitary condition of the consignment. for any regulated pests believed by it to be of regulatory concern.</u>	Practical cases are reflected more precisely	Moldova
Certifying statement	[99]	The whole paragraph	Editorial	To unite with paragraph 96: The exporting countries may include the optional clause on their phytosanitary certificates or not.	Insufficient clarity	Armenia
Certifying statement	[100]					
Certifying statement	[101]					
Certifying statement	[102]					
Certifying statement	[103]	Sentence 1	Editorial	The term “ <i>phytosanitary import requirements</i> ” means officially specified conditions which should be met in order to prevent the introduction and/or spread of pests.	An exact definition from the Glossary (ISPM № 5)	Belarus
Certifying statement	[103]	Sentence 2	Substantive	Phytosanitary requirements should be specified in advance by the NPPO of the importing country in legislation, regulations or elsewhere (e.g. import permits and bilateral agreements and arrangements) <u>and should be published or provided in advance (in English) for the NPPO of the exporting country (IPPC, article VII, 2b)</u>	To facilitate international trade	Lithuania
Certifying statement	[104]					
II. Additional Declaration	[105]					
II. Additional	[106]					

1. Section	2. Paragraph number	3. sentence/row/indent, etc.	4. Type of comment (Substantive, Editorial, Translation)	5. Proposed rewording	6. Explanation	7. Country
Declaration						
II. Additional Declaration	[107]					
II. Additional Declaration	[108]					
II. Additional Declaration	[109]					
II. Additional Declaration	[110]					
II. Additional Declaration	[111]					
II. Additional Declaration	[112]	Sentence 1	Editorial	In special cases as referred to in section 2.2, where a phytosanitary certificate is issued after the consignment's dispatch, the date of inspection should be added to this section of the certificate.	It has been described above in paragraph 57	Estonia
III. Disinfestation and/or Disinfection Treatment	[113]					
III. Disinfestation and/or Disinfection Treatment	[114]					
Stamp of organization	[115]					
Stamp of organization	[116]					
Name of authorized officer	[117]					
Name of authorized officer	[118]	A new sentence at the end of the paragraph	Substantive	<u>The validity period of the phytosanitary certificate may, but not necessarily should be, stated in the certificate</u>	To specify	Estonia

1. Section	2. Paragraph number	3. sentence/row/indent, etc.	4. Type of comment (Substantive,Editorial, Translation)	5. Proposed rewording	6. Explanation	7. Country
Name of authorized officer	[119]	Sentence 2	Substantive	In special cases as referred to in section 2.2, where a phytosanitary certificate is issued after the consignment's dispatch, the date of inspection should be added to this section of the certificate	It was mentioned in paragraph 57	Estonia
Name of authorized officer	[120]	Sentence 1	Substantive	The inclusion of a financial liability statement in a phytosanitary certificate is optional.	It contradicts paragraph 59	Lithuania
Financial liability statement	[121]					
Financial liability statement	[122]					
4. Specific Principles and Guidelines for the Use of Phytosanitary Certificates for Transit and for Re-export Consignments	[123]					
4. Specific Principles and Guidelines for the Use of Phytosanitary Certificates for Transit and for Re-export Consignments	[124]					
4. Specific Principles and Guidelines for the Use of Phytosanitary Certificates for Transit and for Re-export Consignments	[125]					
4.1 Conditions for issuing a phytosanitary certificate for re-export	[126]					
4.1 Conditions for	[127]					

1. Section	2. Paragraph number	3. sentence/row/indent, etc.	4. Type of comment (Substantive, Editorial, Translation)	5. Proposed rewording	6. Explanation	7. Country
issuing a phytosanitary certificate for re						
4.1 Conditions for issuing a phytosanitary certificate for re	[128]					
4.1 Conditions for issuing a phytosanitary certificate for re	[129]					
4.1 Conditions for issuing a phytosanitary certificate for re	[130]	Sentence 1, 2	Substantive	If the consignment is repacked <u>or reloaded with integrity being affected</u> , additional inspection should be carried out, whatever the stringency of the requirements. If, however, the consignment is not repacked <u>or reloaded without the integrity being affected</u> and it has not been exposed to contamination or infestation by pests, two cases arise	To specify	Ukraine
4.1 Conditions for issuing a phytosanitary certificate for re	[131]					
4.2 Conditions for issuing a phytosanitary certificate for an imported consignment	[132]					
4.2 Conditions for issuing a phytosanitary certificate for an imported consignment	[133]					
4.2 Conditions for issuing a phytosanitary certificate for an imported consignment	[134]					
4.3 Transit	[135]					
4.3 Transit	[136]					

1. Section	2. Paragraph	3. sentence/row/indent, etc.	4. Type of comment (Substantive,Editorial, Translation)	5. Proposed rewording	6. Explanation	7. Country
4.3 Transit	[137]					
4.3 Transit	[138]					
ANNEX 1	[139]					
Model Phytosanitary Certificate	[140]					
I. Description of Consignment	[141]					
II. Additional Declaration	[142]					
III. Disinfestation and/or Disinfection Treatment	[143]					
ANNEX 2	[144]					
Model Phytosanitary Certificate for Re-Export	[145]					
I. Description of Consignment	[146]					
II. Additional Declaration	[147]					
III. Disinfestation and/or Disinfection Treatment	[148]					
APPENDIX 1	[149]					
ELECTRONIC CERTIFICATION, Information on standard XML schemes AND EXCHANGE MECHANISMS	[150]					

1. Section	2. Paragraph number	3. sentence/row/indent, etc.	4. Type of comment (Substantive, Editorial, Translation)	5. Proposed rewording	6. Explanation	7. Country
APPENDIX 2	[151]					
RECOMMENDED WORDING FOR ADDITIONAL DECLARATIONS	[152]					
RECOMMENDED WORDING FOR ADDITIONAL DECLARATIONS	[153]					
RECOMMENDED WORDING FOR ADDITIONAL DECLARATIONS	[154]					
RECOMMENDED WORDING FOR ADDITIONAL DECLARATIONS	[155]					
RECOMMENDED WORDING FOR ADDITIONAL DECLARATIONS	[156]					
RECOMMENDED WORDING FOR ADDITIONAL DECLARATIONS	[157]					
RECOMMENDED WORDING FOR ADDITIONAL DECLARATIONS	[158]					
RECOMMENDED WORDING FOR ADDITIONAL DECLARATIONS	[159]					
RECOMMENDED	[160]					

1. Section	2. Paragraph number	3. sentence/row/indent, etc.	4. Type of comment (Substantive,Editorial, Translation)	5. Proposed rewording	6. Explanation	7. Country
WORDING FOR ADDITIONAL DECLARATIONS						
RECOMMENDED WORDING FOR ADDITIONAL DECLARATIONS	[161]					
RECOMMENDED WORDING FOR ADDITIONAL DECLARATIONS	[162]					

Template for comments - Draft ISPMs for country consultation, 2009

DRAFT: Annex to ISPM No. 27 (DIAGNOSTIC PROTOCOLS FOR REGULATED PESTS)

Thrips palmi

See [instructions](#) on how to use this template at the end of the document. Following these will greatly facilitate the compilation of comments and the work of the Standards Committee.

1. Section	2. Paragraph number	3. sentence/row/indent, etc.	4. Type of comment (Substantive,Editorial, Translation)	5. Proposed rewording	6. Explanation	7. Country
GENERAL COMMENTS			Substantive	To give a more detailed description of the slide preparation procedure.	The procedure for preparation of thrips for microscope examination is not sufficiently described.	Russia
TITLE	[1]					
TITLE	[2]					
Contents	[3]					

1. Section	2. Paragraph number	3. sentence/row/indent, etc.	4. Type of comment (Substantive, Editorial, Translation)	5. Proposed rewording	6. Explanation	7. Country
Endorsement	[4]					
1. Pest Information	[5]					
1. Pest Information	[6]					
1. Pest Information	[7]					
1. Pest Information	[8]					
Figure 1:	[9]					
Figure 2:	[10]					
Figure 3:	[11]					
2. Taxonomic Information	[12]					
2. Taxonomic Information	[13]					
3. Detection	[14]					
3. Detection	[15]	Sentence 1. lines 3-5	Substantive	larva I: on the leaves, flowers, fruits, <u>packing material</u> -larva II: on the leaves, flowers, fruits, <u>packing material</u> -pupa I: in the soil, <u>on packing material</u> -pupa II: in the soil, <u>on packing material</u> -adult: on the leaves, flowers, fruits, <u>packing material</u>	To be consistent with paragraph 7	Ukraine
3. Detection	[16]					
3. Detection	[17]					
3. Detection	[18]					
3. Detection	[19]	Subpoint 5	Substantive	For microscope slide preparation and identification, the thrips will have to be	Chemicals provided in the protocol are not applicable in all countries	Russia

1. Section	2. Paragraph number	3. sentence/row/indent, etc.	4. Type of comment (Substantive, Editorial, Translation)	5. Proposed rewording	6. Explanation	7. Country
				removed from the traps using glue-removing fluids based on citrus oils, dichloromethane, a turpentine substitute, <u>xylenes or other dissolvents</u>		
3. Detection	[20]	The whole paragraph	Substantive	There are no recognized methods for extracting thrips pupae from the soil. <u>Methods for extracting thrips pupae from the soil are described by Lewis Trevor, 1973.</u>	The information provided in the protocol is incorrect. Relevant extraction methods are described in detail by Lewis Trevor (1973).	Russia
4. Identification	[21]					
4. Identification	[22]	Sentence 1	Editorial	Identification of thrips species <u>Trips palmi</u> by morphological examination is restricted to adult specimens <u>limited to the adult specimens.</u>	To precise.	Russia
4. Identification	[23]					
4.1 Morphological identification of the adult thrips	[24]					
4.1.1 Preparation of thrips for microscopic exa	[25]					
4.1.1 Preparation of thrips for microscopic exa	[26]					
4.1.1 Preparation of thrips for microscopic exa	[27]	Sentence 1	Substantive	It is necessary to give a precise description of the slide preparation method	To avoid a linkage to another protocol.	Russia
4.1.1 Preparation of thrips for microscopic exa	[28]					
4.1.2 Identification of the family Thripidae	[29]					

1. Section	2. Paragraph number	3. sentence/row/indent, etc.	4. Type of comment (Substantive, Editorial, Translation)	5. Proposed rewording	6. Explanation	7. Country
4.1.2 Identification of the family Thripidae	[30]					
Table 1: Family Thripidae – shared characteristics	[31]					
Body part	[32]					
Antennae	[33]					
Forewings (if fully developed)	[34]					
Abdomen – female	[35]	The whole paragraph	Editorial	with a serrated ovipositor, which is turned downwards at the apex	A serrated ovipositor is a character of the suborder. A serrated ovipositor is found in other families, as well.	Russia
Median sternites – male	[36]					
4.1.3 Identification of the genus <i>Thrips</i>	[37]					
4.1.3 Identification of the genus <i>Thrips</i>	[38]					
Table 2: Genus <i>Thrips</i> – shared characteristics, adult specimens	[39]					
Body part	[40]					
Female	[41]	The title of the line The whole paragraph	Substantive Substantive	<u>Wings of a female</u> <u>The wings are complete, shortened or absent</u>	To specify part of the body, a female is not a body part A more detailed description of the characteristic	Ukraine Russia
Antennae	[42]					
Ocellar setae	[43]					
Pronotum	[44]					

1. Section	2. Paragraph	3. sentence/row/indent, etc.	4. Type of comment (Substantive, Editorial, Translation)	5. Proposed rewording	6. Explanation	7. Country
Prosternal basantra	[45]					
Forewings	[46]					
Metascutum	[47]					
Metasternal furca	[48]					
Tarsi	[49]					
Abdominal tergites and sternites	[50]					
Abdominal tergites	[51]					
Abdominal sternites and pleurotergites	[52]					
4.1.3 Identification of the genus <i>Thrips</i>	[53]					
4.1.3 Identification of the genus <i>Thrips</i>	[54]					
4.1.3 Identification of the genus <i>Thrips</i>	[55]					
4.1.4 Identification of <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[56]					
4.1.4 Identification of <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[57]					
4.1.4 Identification of <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[58]					
4.1.4 Identification of <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[59]					
Table 3: A list of morphological characters that collectively distinguish <i>Thrips palmi</i> from other species in the genus <i>Thrips</i>	[60]					

1. Section	2. Paragraph number	3. sentence/row/indent, etc.	4. Type of comment (Substantive, Editorial, Translation)	5. Proposed rewording	6. Explanation	7. Country
Table 3: A list of morphological characters that collectively distinguish <i>Thrips palmi</i> from other species in the genus <i>Thrips</i>	[61]					
Table 3: A list of morphological characters that collectively distinguish <i>Thrips palmi</i> from other species in the genus <i>Thrips</i>	[62]					
Table 3: A list of morphological characters that collectively distinguish <i>Thrips palmi</i> from other species in the genus <i>Thrips</i>	[63]					
Table 3: A list of morphological characters that collectively distinguish <i>Thrips palmi</i> from other species in the genus <i>Thrips</i>	[64]					
Table 3: A list of morphological characters that collectively distinguish <i>Thrips palmi</i> from other species in the genus <i>Thrips</i>	[65]					
Table 3: A list of morphological characters that collectively distinguish <i>Thrips palmi</i> from other species in the genus <i>Thrips</i>	[66]					

1. Section	2. Paragraph number	3. sentence/row/indent, etc.	4. Type of comment (Substantive, Editorial, Translation)	5. Proposed rewording	6. Explanation	7. Country
Table 3: A list of morphological characters that collectively distinguish <i>Thrips palmi</i> from other species in the genus <i>Thrips</i>	[67]					
Table 3: A list of morphological characters that collectively distinguish <i>Thrips palmi</i> from other species in the genus <i>Thrips</i>	[68]					
Table 3: A list of morphological characters that collectively distinguish <i>Thrips palmi</i> from other species in the genus <i>Thrips</i>	[69]					
Table 3: A list of morphological characters that collectively distinguish <i>Thrips palmi</i> from other species in the genus <i>Thrips</i>	[70]	The whole paragraph	Editorial	To change the word order: <u>Abdominal tergite VIII has complete posteromarginal comb with long and fine ripples</u>	To specify	Armenia Russia
Table 3: A list of morphological characters that collectively distinguish <i>Thrips palmi</i> from other species in the genus <i>Thrips</i>	[71]					
Table 3: A list of morphological characters that collectively distinguish <i>Thrips palmi</i> from other species in the genus <i>Thrips</i>	[72]					

1. Section	2. Paragraph number	3. sentence/row/indent, etc.	4. Type of comment (Substantive,Editorial, Translation)	5. Proposed rewording	6. Explanation	7. Country
Table 3: A list of morphological characters that collectively distinguish <i>Thrips palmi</i> from other species in the genus <i>Thrips</i>	[73]					
Table 3: A list of morphological characters that collectively distinguish <i>Thrips palmi</i> from other species in the genus <i>Thrips</i>	[74]					
4.1.4 Identification of <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[75]					
4.1.4 Identification of <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[76]					
4.1.4 Identification of <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[77]					
4.1.4 Identification of <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[78]					
4.1.4 Identification of <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[79]					
4.1.4 Identification of <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[80]					
4.1.4 Identification of <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[81]					
4.1.4 Identification of <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[82]					
4.1.4 Identification of <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[83]					
4.1.4 Identification of <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[84]					

1. Section	2. Paragraph number	3. sentence/row/indent, etc.	4. Type of comment (Substantive, Editorial, Translation)	5. Proposed rewording	6. Explanation	7. Country
4.1.4 Identification of <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[85]					
4.1.4 Identification of <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[86]					
4.1.4 Identification of <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[87]					
4.1.4 Identification of <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[88]					
4.1.4 Identification of <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[89]					
4.1.4 Identification of <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[90]					
4.1.4 Identification of <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[91]					
4.1.4 Identification of <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[92]					
4.1.4 Identification of <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[93]					
4.1.4 Identification of <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[94]					
4.1.4 Identification of <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[95]					
Table 4: Simplified checklists of the diagnostic features for quick recognition: (a) the genus <i>Thrips</i> ; (b) <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[96]					
Table 4: Simplified checklists of the diagnostic features for quick recognition: (a) the genus <i>Thrips</i> ; (b)	[97]					

1. Section	2. Paragraph number	3. sentence/row/indent, etc.	4. Type of comment (Substantive, Editorial, Translation)	5. Proposed rewording	6. Explanation	7. Country
<i>Thrips palmi</i>						
Table 4: Simplified checklists of the diagnostic features for quick recognition: (a) the genus <i>Thrips</i> ; (b) <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[98]					
Table 4: Simplified checklists of the diagnostic features for quick recognition: (a) the genus <i>Thrips</i> ; (b) <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[99]					
Table 4: Simplified checklists of the diagnostic features for quick recognition: (a) the genus <i>Thrips</i> ; (b) <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[100]					
Table 4: Simplified checklists of the diagnostic features for quick recognition: (a) the genus <i>Thrips</i> ; (b) <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[101]					
Table 4: Simplified checklists of the diagnostic features for quick recognition: (a) the genus <i>Thrips</i> ; (b) <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[102]					
Table 4: Simplified checklists of the diagnostic features for quick recognition: (a)	[103]					

1. Section	2. Paragraph number	3. sentence/row/indent, etc.	4. Type of comment (Substantive, Editorial, Translation)	5. Proposed rewording	6. Explanation	7. Country
the genus <i>Thrips</i> ; (b) <i>Thrips palmi</i>						
Table 4: Simplified checklists of the diagnostic features for quick recognition: (a) the genus <i>Thrips</i> ; (b) <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[104]					
Table 4: Simplified checklists of the diagnostic features for quick recognition: (a) the genus <i>Thrips</i> ; (b) <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[105]					
Table 4: Simplified checklists of the diagnostic features for quick recognition: (a) the genus <i>Thrips</i> ; (b) <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[106]					
Table 4: Simplified checklists of the diagnostic features for quick recognition: (a) the genus <i>Thrips</i> ; (b) <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[107]					
Table 4: Simplified checklists of the diagnostic features for quick recognition: (a) the genus <i>Thrips</i> ; (b) <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[108]					
Table 4: Simplified checklists of the diagnostic features for	[109]					

1. Section	2. Paragraph number	3. sentence/row/indent, etc.	4. Type of comment (Substantive, Editorial, Translation)	5. Proposed rewording	6. Explanation	7. Country
quick recognition: (a) the genus <i>Thrips</i> ; (b) <i>Thrips palmi</i>						
Table 4: Simplified checklists of the diagnostic features for quick recognition: (a) the genus <i>Thrips</i> ; (b) <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[110]					
Table 4: Simplified checklists of the diagnostic features for quick recognition: (a) the genus <i>Thrips</i> ; (b) <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[111]					
Table 4: Simplified checklists of the diagnostic features for quick recognition: (a) the genus <i>Thrips</i> ; (b) <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[112]					
Table 4: Simplified checklists of the diagnostic features for quick recognition: (a) the genus <i>Thrips</i> ; (b) <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[113]					
Table 4: Simplified checklists of the diagnostic features for quick recognition: (a) the genus <i>Thrips</i> ; (b) <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[114]					
Table 4: Simplified checklists of the	[115]					

1. Section	2. Paragraph number	3. sentence/row/indent, etc.	4. Type of comment (Substantive, Editorial, Translation)	5. Proposed rewording	6. Explanation	7. Country
diagnostic features for quick recognition: (a) the genus <i>Thrips</i> ; (b) <i>Thrips palmi</i>						
Table 4: Simplified checklists of the diagnostic features for quick recognition: (a) the genus <i>Thrips</i> ; (b) <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[116]					
Figure 4:	[117]					
Figure 5 (Figs 5.1 to 5.12)	[118]					
Fig. 5.1(a), (b):	[119]					
Fig. 5.2(a), (b):	[120]					
Fig. 5.3(a), (b):	[121]					
Fig. 5.4(a), (b):	[122]					
Fig. 5.5(a), (b):	[123]					
Fig. 5.6(a)–(c):	[124]					
Fig. 5.7(a)–(e):	[125]					
Fig. 5.8(a)–(c)::	[126]					
Fig. 5.9(a), (b):	[127]					
Fig. 5.10(a), (b):	[128]					
Fig. 5.11(a), (b):	[129]					
Fig. 5.12(a)–(c):	[130]					
4.2Molecular assays for identifying <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[131]					
4.2 Molecular	[132]					

1. Section	2. Paragraph number	3. sentence/row/indent, etc.	4. Type of comment (Substantive, Editorial, Translation)	5. Proposed rewording	6. Explanation	7. Country
assays for identifying <i>Thrips palmi</i>						
4.2 Molecular assays for identifying <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[133]					
4.2 Molecular assays for identifying <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[134]					
4.2 Molecular assays for identifying <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[135]					
4.2 Molecular assays for identifying <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[136]					
4.2 Molecular assays for identifying <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[137]					
4.2 Molecular assays for identifying <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[138]					
4.2.1 SCAR marker-generated sequence-based real-time PCR assay for <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[139]					
4.2.1 SCAR marker-generated sequence-based real-time PCR assay for <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[140]					
4.2.1 SCAR marker-generated sequence-based real-time PCR assay for <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[141]					
4.2.1 SCAR marker-generated sequence-	[142]					

1. Section	2. Paragraph	3. sentence/row/indent, etc.	4. Type of comment (Substantive,Editorial, Translation)	5. Proposed rewording	6. Explanation	7. Country
based real-time PCR assay for <i>Thrips palmi</i>						
4.2.1 SCAR marker-generated sequence-based real-time PCR assay for <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[143]					
4.2.2 COI sequence-based real-time PCR assay for <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[144]					
4.2.2 COI sequence-based real-time PCR assay for <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[145]					
4.2.2 COI sequence-based real-time PCR assay for <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[146]					
4.2.2 COI sequence-based real-time PCR assay for <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[147]					
4.2.2 COI sequence-based real-time PCR assay for <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[148]					
4.2.3 ITS2 sequence-based PCR-RFLP assay for nine species of thrips including <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[149]					
4.2.3 ITS2 sequence-based PCR-RFLP assay for nine species of thrips including <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[150]					
4.2.3 ITS2 sequence-based PCR-RFLP assay for nine species of	[151]					

1. Section	2. Paragraph	3. sentence/row/indent, etc.	4. Type of comment (Substantive,Editorial, Translation)	5. Proposed rewording	6. Explanation	7. Country
thrips including <i>Thrips palmi</i>						
4.2.3 ITS2 sequence-based PCR-RFLP assay for nine species of thrips including <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[152]					
4.2.3 ITS2 sequence-based PCR-RFLP assay for nine species of thrips including <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[153]					
4.2.3 ITS2 sequence-based PCR-RFLP assay for nine species of thrips including <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[154]					
4.2.3 ITS2 sequence-based PCR-RFLP assay for nine species of thrips including <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[155]					
4.2.4 COI sequence-based PCR-RFLP assay for ten species of thrips including <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[156]					
4.2.4 COI sequence-based PCR-RFLP assay for ten species of thrips including <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[157]					
4.2.4 COI sequence-based PCR-RFLP assay for ten species of thrips including <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[158]					

1. Section	2. Paragraph number	3. sentence/row/indent, etc.	4. Type of comment (Substantive,Editorial, Translation)	5. Proposed rewording	6. Explanation	7. Country
4.2.4 COI sequence-based PCR-RFLP assay for ten species of thrips including <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[159]					
4.2.4 COI sequence-based PCR-RFLP assay for ten species of thrips including <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[160]					
4.2.4 COI sequence-based PCR-RFLP assay for ten species of thrips including <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[161]					
4.2.4 COI sequence-based PCR-RFLP assay for ten species of thrips including <i>Thrips palmi</i>	[162]					
5. Records	[163]					
5. Records	[164]					
5. Records	[165]					
6. Contact points for further information	[166]					
6. Contact points for further information	[167]					
7. Acknowledgements	[168]					
7. Acknowledgements	[169]					
8. References	[170]					